are 60 second binary options legitAn Assessment of the Legitimacy and Risks of 60-Second Binary Options

I. Introduction: Defining 60-Second Binary Options

A. Overview of Binary Options as Financial Instruments

Binary options represent a distinct category of financial derivatives characterized by their unique payout structure. Fundamentally, they are contracts based on a simple binary proposition – typically a "yes" or "no" answer regarding the future price movement of an underlying asset within a predetermined timeframe.¹ These underlying assets can encompass a wide range, including stocks, currency pairs (forex), commodities like gold or oil, and market indices.²

The defining feature of a binary option is its "all-or-nothing" payout mechanism.² When the option contract expires, there are only two possible outcomes for the trader. If the trader's prediction about the asset's price movement (e.g., whether it will be above or below a specific price, known as the strike price, at expiration) proves correct, the option expires "in-the-money." In this scenario, the trader receives a predetermined, fixed payout, typically consisting of their initial investment plus a percentage profit.¹ Conversely, if the prediction is incorrect, the option expires "out-of-the-money," and the trader loses the entire amount invested in that specific trade.¹ This fixed-loss (the stake) and fixed-gain structure contrasts sharply with traditional financial instruments where profit and loss potential can be variable.¹

Crucially, unlike traditional options (often referred to as "vanilla" options), binary options do not confer the right to buy or sell the underlying asset itself.¹ The holder does not gain any ownership potential or obligation related to the asset.¹ Binary options are purely speculative contracts focused solely on predicting price direction or the occurrence of a specific event within the contract's timeframe.¹ They are sometimes referred to by alternative names such as "digital options" (particularly in forex and interest rate markets), "all-or-nothing options," or "fixed return options (FROs)".²

related posts : Best Binary Options Brokers (in 2025)

B. Specific Characteristics of 60-Second Binary Options

Within the broader category of binary options, 60-second options are distinguished by their extremely short duration. These contracts have an expiration period of precisely one minute (60 seconds) from the moment the trade is executed.⁶ This ultra-short timeframe makes them one of the most rapid and dynamic forms of trading available, offering the potential for immediate financial outcomes – both gains and losses – within that single minute.⁶

The fundamental task for a trader using 60-second options is to predict whether the price of a chosen asset will finish higher or lower than the entry price exactly 60 seconds later.⁶ The appeal lies in the potential to capitalize on even minor price fluctuations and the possibility of executing numerous trades within a short period, such as an hour.⁶

However, this extremely compressed timeframe poses significant analytical challenges. Conducting thorough market analysis, whether fundamental or technical, becomes practically impossible within a one-minute window.⁶ Decisions often rely heavily on immediate price action, rapid pattern recognition from very short-term charts (perhaps looking only at the last hour or even just recent visual trends), or technical indicators designed for high-frequency movements like RSI or Bollinger Bands.⁶ This contrasts significantly with longer-term trading strategies that allow for more considered analysis and planning.⁶

C. Functionality: The Trading Process

The process of engaging in a 60-second binary option trade typically follows these steps:

- 1. **Asset Selection:** The trader chooses an underlying asset from the broker's available list (e.g., EUR/USD currency pair, Apple stock, Gold).⁵
- 2. **Directional Prediction:** The trader predicts whether the asset's price will be higher ("Call" or "Up") or lower ("Put" or "Down") than the current price after exactly 60 seconds.⁶
- 3. **Investment Amount:** The trader determines the amount of capital they wish to risk on this single trade (the stake or premium).⁸
- 4. Trade Execution: The trade is placed, and the 60-second countdown begins.¹⁴
- 5. Expiration and Settlement: After 60 seconds, the option automatically expires and settles.¹
 - If the prediction was correct (in-the-money), the trader receives their initial stake back, plus a fixed percentage profit. This profit percentage is predetermined by the broker and often ranges between 60% and 90%, although variations exist.⁴ For example, a correct \$100 trade with an 80% payout would return \$180 (\$100 stake + \$80 profit).⁴
 - If the prediction was incorrect (out-of-the-money), the trader loses the entire amount staked on that trade.¹

D. Implications of the Structure

The structure and functionality of 60-second binary options carry significant implications. While the basic premise – predicting 'up' or 'down' – appears deceptively simple ¹, the extremely short timeframe introduces profound practical difficulties. The speed required for decision-making and the near impossibility of conducting meaningful, traditional market analysis within 60 seconds elevate the complexity significantly.⁶ Success in such an environment may rely more on reacting to instantaneous volatility or algorithmic signals than on reasoned financial strategy, making the apparent simplicity a potential trap, especially for inexperienced individuals drawn to the format.⁶

Furthermore, the potential for "rapid financial gains" ¹² and achieving "instant results" ⁶ within just one minute serves as a potent marketing angle. This likely fuels their popularity among those seeking quick profits or excitement.⁶ However, this focus on speed and potential reward often obscures the symmetrical risk: the potential for equally rapid, and total, loss of the invested capital on each trade.⁴ This marketing narrative, emphasizing the upside while potentially downplaying the significant downside risk, can create a skewed perception of the product's nature and probabilities, contributing to the risks faced by participants.

II. Regulatory Status and Oversight

The regulatory landscape for binary options, particularly short-term variants like 60-second options, varies significantly across major financial jurisdictions. A consistent theme, however, is one of increasing scrutiny, restriction, and outright prohibition, especially concerning retail investors.

A. Regulation in the United States (US)

In the United States, binary options occupy a complex regulatory space overseen primarily by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Binary options trading *can* be legal, but *only* under stringent conditions: the options must be listed and traded on a CFTC-regulated Designated Contract Market (DCM) or an SEC-registered national securities exchange.³

However, only a very limited number of exchanges meet these requirements and are authorized to offer binary options to US residents. Historically, exchanges like Nadex (North American Derivatives Exchange), Cantor Exchange, and CME Group have been mentioned as offering CFTC-regulated binary options or similar event contracts.⁹

KalshiEX LLC is another DCM permitted to offer specific event-based binary options contracts under CFTC oversight.²⁵ These regulated venues represent only a small fraction of the overall binary options market accessible online.¹⁰

The vast majority of binary options trading, especially that promoted online, occurs through internet-based platforms operating outside of US registration and regulatory oversight.¹ It is generally illegal for these offshore entities to solicit or accept funds from US residents for binary options trading.⁹ Such platforms often operate in violation of US laws, potentially acting as unregistered broker-dealers or securities exchanges if the underlying assets are securities ¹⁰, or illegally offering commodity options (e.g., on forex or metals) to US citizens.¹⁰

Consequently, US regulators have issued numerous strong warnings and investor alerts regarding the significant risks of fraud, manipulation, and loss associated with unregistered, off-exchange binary options platforms.³ Both the CFTC and SEC have actively pursued enforcement actions against fraudulent operators and unregistered platforms targeting US investors.²⁶ The CFTC maintains a "Registration Deficient" (RED) List to warn the public about unregistered foreign entities believed to be soliciting US residents illegally.²¹

B. Regulation in the European Union (EU)

The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), coordinating with national competent authorities (NCAs) across the EU, identified severe investor protection concerns related to binary options offered to retail clients. These concerns stemmed from the products' inherent complexity, lack of transparency, the structural negative expected return (where the payout structure favors the broker), embedded conflicts of interest between providers and clients, and documented evidence of substantial losses among retail investors (with studies showing 74-89% of retail accounts losing money).²

Acting on these concerns under MiFIR (Markets in Financial Instruments Regulation) product intervention powers, ESMA implemented a temporary EU-wide prohibition on the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail clients, effective from July 2, 2018.² This temporary ban was renewed several times, extending its effect.³⁹

Following the expiration of ESMA's temporary EU-wide measures, numerous individual EU member states, including major markets like France and Ireland, adopted permanent national product intervention measures replicating the ban.³⁰ These national actions effectively solidified the prohibition on selling binary options to retail clients across most of the European Union. Limited exceptions were sometimes

carved out for very specific types of binary options, such as those with a term of at least 90 days, backed by a prospectus, fully hedged by the provider, and structured such that the client could not lose their entire initial payment.³⁷ However, these exceptions do not apply to the typical short-term binary options, including 60-second options, commonly offered online. Although classified as financial instruments under MiFID, their sale to retail clients is now broadly prohibited in the EU.⁴⁷

C. Regulation in the United Kingdom (UK)

Post-Brexit, the UK's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) adopted a similarly strict stance. Building on ESMA's temporary measures (which initially applied while the UK was subject to EU law), the FCA implemented a permanent ban on the sale, marketing, and distribution of *all* binary options to retail consumers, effective April 2, 2019.⁷

The FCA's ban is notably comprehensive, explicitly including "securitised binary options," a category that had certain exemptions under ESMA's temporary rules.⁴⁸ The FCA reasoned that these products posed the same risks and potential for harm to retail investors.⁴⁸

The rationale for the permanent ban was clearly articulated: widespread concerns about the inherent risks of the products, the poor conduct of firms selling them, significant documented consumer harm leading to large and unexpected losses (with the ban estimated to save consumers up to £17 million annually), and the perception of binary options as fundamentally "gambling products dressed up as financial instruments".⁷ The FCA also highlighted that the ban would help reduce the risk of fraud by unauthorised entities.⁴⁸ Consequently, the FCA warns that any firm currently offering binary options services to UK retail consumers is likely operating a scam.⁴⁸ Firms previously authorised for this activity were required to vary their permissions to remove retail offerings.⁵⁰ The FCA continues to pursue enforcement actions against illegal binary options schemes.⁷

D. Global Perspective

The regulatory disapproval of binary options for retail clients extends beyond the US, EU, and UK. Similar bans or severe restrictions have been implemented in other major jurisdictions, including Australia (by ASIC) and Israel.² Canadian regulators have also issued strong warnings and taken action against fraudulent platforms.³ Furthermore, major technology companies like Facebook, Google, and Twitter implemented bans on advertising for binary options trading, reflecting broader societal concerns.²

E. Regulatory Implications

The divergent regulatory approaches globally, particularly the contrast between the outright retail bans in the EU/UK and the highly restricted but not fully prohibited environment in the US (for regulated exchanges), create potential vulnerabilities. Firms banned from operating in Europe might be incentivized to target investors in other regions, including the US, often through illegal, unregulated online channels.⁹ This increases the risk exposure for US residents who might encounter platforms pushed out of stricter jurisdictions.

The consistent focus of the EU and UK bans on *retail* clients is significant.³⁷ It suggests that regulators perceive these products as fundamentally unsuitable for the general public due to their complexity, inherent risks, and the potential for exploitation through conflicts of interest and aggressive marketing. While not explicitly endorsing them for professionals, the distinction implies a recognition that sophisticated investors may have different capabilities for understanding and managing the associated risks. The core regulatory concern appears centered on the devastating impact these products have had when marketed to less informed individuals.

The timeline of the binary options phenomenon also illustrates a common challenge in financial regulation. The rapid proliferation of easily accessible online trading platforms ³ largely preceded the implementation of robust, specific regulatory interventions like the ESMA and FCA bans.⁴⁰ This lag allowed a high-risk, often fraudulent market to flourish for a period, causing significant consumer harm before authorities could effectively respond.³⁴ This underscores the difficulty regulators face in keeping pace with technologically driven financial innovation, particularly products designed for mass-market online accessibility.

The following table summarizes the regulatory status in key jurisdictions:

Table 1: Regulatory Status of Binary Options for Retail Clients

Jurisdiction	Regulatory Body	Status for Retail	Key
	(Primary)	Clients	Regulations/Actions
United States (US)	CFTC / SEC	Legal <i>only</i> if traded on designated regulated exchanges (very limited number). Illegal solicitation by offshore/unregistered	CFTC/SEC oversight of DCMs/Exchanges. Numerous Investor Alerts & Warnings. Enforcement actions against fraud. CFTC

		platforms.	RED List. ³
European Union (EU)	ESMA / National Competent Authorities (NCAs)	Prohibited . Marketing, distribution, and sale banned via ESMA temporary measures followed by permanent national measures in many member states.	ESMA Product Intervention Decisions (2018 onwards). National bans (e.g., Ireland, France). MiFIR Article 40/42 powers. ³⁰
United Kingdom (UK)	Financial Conduct Authority (FCA)	Prohibited . Permanent ban on marketing, distribution, and sale of <i>all</i> binary options (including securitised).	FCA Policy Statement PS19/11 (effective April 2019). Enforcement actions. Scam warnings. ⁷
Australia	Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC)	Prohibited . Ban on sale to retail investors.	ASIC regulatory actions. ²
Israel	Israel Securities Authority (ISA)	Prohibited . Ban approved by Knesset.	National legislation banning binary options. ²

III. Risk Profile and Market Perception

Understanding the legitimacy of 60-second binary options requires a thorough analysis of their inherent risks and how they compare to both traditional financial activities and gambling.

A. Analysis of Inherent Risks

Several fundamental risks are embedded in the structure and nature of binary options, particularly those with extremely short expiries:

• **Highly Speculative Nature:** Binary options are overwhelmingly used for short-term speculation rather than long-term investment or conventional hedging strategies, especially by retail participants.¹ The 60-second timeframe pushes this speculative aspect to an extreme, focusing on fleeting price movements rather than underlying value or trends.⁶

- **High Volatility Exposure:** Ultra-short timeframes like 60 seconds expose trades directly to random market 'noise' and short-term volatility spikes.¹ Predicting price direction over such a brief interval becomes exceptionally difficult, as minor, unpredictable fluctuations can determine the outcome, diminishing the role of analysis and increasing the element of chance.¹⁴
- Potential for Rapid and Total Financial Loss: The core all-or-nothing structure dictates that an incorrect prediction results in the complete loss of the amount invested in that trade.¹ With a 60-second expiry, this total loss can occur almost instantaneously.⁶ Furthermore, the format allows for numerous trades in quick succession, potentially leading to substantial cumulative losses in a very short period.⁸ This high risk of rapid capital depletion makes these instruments particularly hazardous, especially for novice traders or those with limited capital.⁶
- **Complexity Masked by Simplicity:** The binary 'yes/no' outcome appears straightforward.¹ However, accurately assessing the probability of a specific price movement within 60 seconds, understanding the broker's payout structure, and navigating the platform interface under time pressure involves considerable complexity.²⁰ The extreme time constraint eliminates the opportunity for careful deliberation or in-depth analysis before committing funds.⁶
- **Negative Expected Return (House Edge):** A critical structural risk arises from the typical payout structure. Winning trades yield a profit percentage significantly less than 100% (commonly 60-90%), while losing trades result in a 100% loss of the stake.⁴ This asymmetry creates a statistical disadvantage for the trader and an inherent edge for the broker (acting as the counterparty).¹ To merely break even, a trader typically needs a win rate substantially higher than 50% (e.g., needing to win at least 6 out of 10 trades if the payout is around 70-80%), even before accounting for any potential platform manipulation or fees.¹⁴

B. Comparison with Traditional Investment Vehicles

Contrasting 60-second binary options with traditional investments highlights their unique and often unfavorable characteristics:

- **Ownership:** Traditional investments like stocks confer ownership in a company, while bonds represent a loan to an entity.⁵⁵ Even standard ('vanilla') options offer the *potential* right to buy or sell the underlying asset.¹ Binary options provide no such ownership rights or potential; they are purely derivative contracts based on price movement.¹
- **Risk/Reward Profile:** Stocks and bonds offer variable returns and risks, manageable through diversification and long-term strategies.⁵⁵ Vanilla options involve a fixed risk (the premium paid) but potentially unlimited or substantial

variable profit depending on the extent of the underlying asset's price movement.¹ Binary options feature a fixed, predetermined potential profit (the payout percentage) but risk the total loss of the invested amount on every single trade.¹

- **Time Horizon:** Traditional investing typically involves medium to long-term objectives.⁵⁹ 60-second binary options represent the extreme short end of the trading spectrum.⁴
- **Regulation:** Established markets for stocks, bonds, and exchange-traded vanilla options are subject to comprehensive regulation and oversight in major jurisdictions.¹ While binary options *can* trade on regulated US exchanges, the vast majority of the market, particularly for retail participants encountering online platforms, operates in a poorly regulated or entirely unregulated offshore environment, significantly increasing risks.¹
- **Complexity:** While all derivatives involve complexity ⁶¹, the challenges with binary options often relate less to intricate pricing models (like vanilla options) and more to the extreme time pressures, the opacity of offshore broker operations, and the inherent conflict of interest.⁴

C. Comparison with Gambling

The comparison between binary options trading (especially short-term) and gambling is frequently invoked by regulators, critics, and even market participants:

- Skill vs. Chance: Proponents may argue that strategies based on technical or fundamental analysis can improve outcomes.⁶ However, the 60-second timeframe severely limits the applicability and effectiveness of meaningful analysis. The outcome becomes heavily influenced by random short-term price fluctuations ('noise') rather than predictable trends or fundamental factors, significantly increasing the element of chance or luck relative to skill.⁶ Many sources explicitly liken the activity to placing a bet or wager.² The legal distinction often hinges on whether skill or chance is deemed the *dominant* factor determining the outcome.⁶⁶
- Market Perception & Regulation: Regulatory bodies like the UK's FCA have directly labeled binary options as "gambling products dressed up as financial instruments".⁴⁸ Historically, before regulatory frameworks like MiFID II classified them as financial instruments, some jurisdictions regulated them under gambling laws.⁵⁴ Despite the financial instrument classification in many legal frameworks ⁴⁷, the operational characteristics and risk profile lead many observers and regulators to treat them functionally as gambling.²
- House Edge: The asymmetric payout structure (win < 100%, loss = 100%) creates a negative expected value for the trader over the long run, similar to the

'house edge' in casino games.² This structural feature ensures that, on average, the broker (acting as the house/counterparty) is statistically likely to profit from client activity over time.

• Trader Motivation and Psychology: The allure of quick results, the thrill of winning, and the potential for impulsive decision-making and chasing losses are psychological factors often associated with both gambling and short-term binary options trading.⁴ This contrasts with the disciplined, analytical, and risk-managed approach typically associated with professional trading or investing.⁶²

D. Implications of Risk Profile and Comparisons

The extreme reduction of the expiration time to 60 seconds fundamentally alters the nature of the activity. It significantly diminishes the potential for analysis-driven trading, magnifying the role of chance and immediate market volatility.⁶ This shift pushes the activity further along the spectrum away from traditional investing and closer to gambling, making effective risk management exceptionally difficult.⁵⁶ The 60-second constraint is not merely a parameter choice; it arguably transforms the fundamental character of the engagement, increasing its inherent unpredictability and risk.

Consequently, the frequent comparison to gambling is more than a simple analogy. The structural similarities – the all-or-nothing outcome, the inherent house edge favoring the broker, the focus on short-term events, and the potential for addictive behavior ⁵⁴ – are deeply embedded in the product, especially in its short-term variations. Regulatory bodies recognizing these similarities ⁴⁸ reinforces the relevance of this comparison. This suggests that participants might be better served approaching 60-second binary options with the expectation of probable loss associated with games of chance, rather than the potential for calculated returns associated with traditional investments. Framing the activity as "trading" or "investing" may lend it an unwarranted air of legitimacy, whereas terms like "wagering" or "betting" ⁶ might more accurately reflect the underlying mechanics and probabilities, particularly for ultra-short expiries.

There also appears to be a significant mismatch between the perceived nature of the product, often marketed based on simplicity and the potential for rapid profits ⁶, and its actual risk profile. This marketing approach may attract individuals, particularly beginners ⁶, who are unprepared for the high probability of loss and the extreme volatility inherent in the 60-second structure.⁶ This gap between perception and reality constitutes a major risk factor, potentially drawing in participants ill-equipped to understand or withstand the likely adverse financial outcomes.

The following table provides a comparative overview:

Table 2: Comparison: 60-Second Binary Options vs. Traditional Investments vs. Gambling

Feature	60-Second Binary Options	Traditional Stocks/Bonds	Vanilla Options (Exchange-Tra ded)	Casino Gambling (e.g., Roulette)
Underlying Ownership	None ¹	Yes (Stocks) / Creditor (Bonds) ⁵⁵	Potential Right to Own/Sell ¹	None
Risk Profile	Extremely High; Total loss of stake per trade possible instantly ¹	Variable; Lower (Bonds) to High (Stocks); Manageable via diversification/ti me ⁵⁵	High; Risk limited to premium paid, but total loss of premium likely if OTM ¹	High; Defined by game rules; Total loss of stake per bet possible
Profit Potential	Fixed, Capped % (typically <100%) per trade ⁴	Variable; Potentially unlimited (Stocks); Fixed income (Bonds) ⁵⁵	Variable; Potentially substantial based on price movement ¹	Fixed Odds/Payouts based on bet type
Time Horizon	Extremely Short-Term (60 seconds) ⁶	Typically Medium to Long-Term ⁵⁹	Short to Long-Term (Days to Years) ⁶⁰	Extremely Short-Term (per spin/hand)
Regulation (Typical)	Often Unregulated/Off shore; Limited regulated venues (US); Banned for Retail (EU/UK) ¹	Heavily Regulated ¹	Heavily Regulated (on exchanges) ¹	Regulated under Gambling Laws
Skill vs.	Chance highly	Skill/Analysis	Skill/Analysis	Primarily

Chance Element	dominant due to timeframe; Limited scope for analysis ⁶	dominant (long-term); Chance influential (short-term)	significant; Chance plays a role	Chance; Minimal skill influence
Expected Return (Typical)	Negative (Broker Edge) ¹	Positive (long-term market growth/yields)	Variable; Depends on strategy/market	Negative (House Edge)

IV. Broker Operations, Payouts, and Transparency

The operational practices, payout structures, and level of transparency offered by brokers are critical factors in assessing the risks associated with 60-second binary options. Significant concerns arise, particularly within the largely unregulated segment of the market.

A. Payout Structures and Percentages

The defining characteristic of binary options is their fixed, predetermined payout structure. For winning trades (in-the-money), brokers typically offer a payout percentage applied to the initial investment amount. Common advertised payout rates range from 60% to 90% ⁴, with some sources citing 70% as a standard for 60-second options ¹⁷ and others mentioning potential highs of up to 95%.¹⁶ This means a successful \$100 trade might yield a profit of \$60 to \$95.

Conversely, a losing trade (out-of-the-money) invariably results in the loss of 100% of the capital staked on that specific trade.¹ Some brokers may offer a feature providing a small percentage refund (e.g., 10-15%) on losing trades; however, opting for this usually correlates with accepting a lower payout percentage on winning trades.⁸

This inherent asymmetry, where the potential percentage gain on a win is less than the 100% loss on a failure, mathematically disadvantages the trader from the outset.¹ On regulated US exchanges like Nadex, the structure differs slightly: options are priced between \$0 and \$100, settling at \$100 for a win and \$0 for a loss. The trader's profit or loss is the difference between the settlement value (\$100 or \$0) and the price they paid to enter the position (the offer price if buying, or \$100 minus the bid price if selling).¹ Even in this structure, the pricing reflects the probabilities and ensures risk is defined.

B. Transparency and Operational Practices

A significant criticism leveled against many binary options platforms, especially unregulated offshore entities, is a profound lack of transparency.³⁰ This opacity extends to several critical areas:

- Pricing and Execution: There are widespread complaints and regulatory warnings about platforms manipulating their trading software to distort asset prices or trade outcomes, often ensuring client losses.⁹ This can involve using skewed price feeds, delaying trade execution, or altering the recorded outcome of a trade, particularly around the expiry time where small price movements determine the win/loss outcome.⁴ The discontinuous nature of binary option payoffs near expiry makes them particularly vulnerable to such manipulation.⁶⁸
- Withdrawal Issues: One of the most frequently reported problems involves brokers refusing to process client withdrawal requests or creating unreasonable delays and obstacles.³ Tactics include demanding excessive, previously undisclosed fees, imposing unrealistic trading volume requirements before withdrawal is permitted (often tied to bonuses), or simply ignoring client calls and emails.³
- **Aggressive and Misleading Sales:** Unregulated brokers are often associated with aggressive sales tactics, employing "brokers" or "account managers" who pressure clients to deposit more funds, often using misleading information about potential returns or the safety of the platform.³

C. Conflicts of Interest

A fundamental issue plaguing the Over-The-Counter (OTC) binary options market is the inherent conflict of interest between the broker and the client.³⁰ In the typical model used by most online platforms, the broker acts as the direct counterparty to the client's trade.⁴⁹ This means the broker is not merely facilitating a trade but is effectively taking the other side of the client's bet.

The direct consequence of this structure is that the broker profits when the client loses, and the broker loses when the client wins.³⁴ This creates a powerful financial incentive for the broker to ensure that clients ultimately lose money. This conflict stands in stark contrast to traditional agency brokerage models where the broker profits from commissions or spreads regardless of the client's trade outcome.

This conflict of interest is widely seen as a primary driver for many of the fraudulent and unethical practices reported in the industry.³⁴ It motivates behaviors such as manipulating platform software to generate losing trades ¹⁰, designing payout structures with a negative statistical expectation for the client ³⁴, and creating barriers

to withdrawing funds.³

It is important to note that this direct conflict is largely mitigated on regulated exchanges (like Nadex in the US), where the exchange acts as a neutral intermediary matching buyers and sellers or operates under strict oversight designed to ensure fair execution.⁹ However, as previously established, such regulated venues constitute only a minor part of the global binary options market encountered by most retail participants.¹⁰

D. Implications of Broker Practices

The operational realities of many binary options brokers, particularly in the unregulated sphere, reveal critical vulnerabilities for traders. The inherent conflict of interest within the common broker-counterparty model provides a strong financial motive for practices detrimental to clients.³⁴ This is not merely a theoretical risk; the numerous reports of price manipulation and withdrawal refusals suggest that this conflict frequently translates into actual fraudulent behavior.³ The structure itself incentivizes malpractice.

Furthermore, the typical payout structure, offering less than 100% on wins while imposing a 100% loss on failures, creates a mathematical hurdle for traders even under fair conditions.¹ Achieving profitability requires a win rate significantly above 50%, making sustained success statistically challenging purely based on the product's design, before even considering potential broker interference.

This situation is compounded by the lack of transparency prevalent on many platforms.³⁰ When pricing algorithms, execution methods, and data feeds are opaque, it becomes exceedingly difficult for clients to verify the legitimacy of trade outcomes or to distinguish between genuine market movements and platform manipulation. This opacity effectively shields potential malpractice from easy detection, enabling the exploitation of the inherent conflict of interest.

V. Fraud, Scams, and Official Warnings

The binary options market, particularly the segment operating online and outside robust regulation, is notoriously associated with widespread fraudulent activities and scams. Numerous regulatory bodies and law enforcement agencies globally have issued strong warnings concerning these practices.

A. Common Criticisms and Reported Scams

The landscape of binary options is marred by consistent reports of fraudulent

schemes targeting investors:

- **Prevalence of Fraud:** Authorities like the FBI and numerous financial regulators characterize binary options fraud as a significant and growing problem, responsible for substantial financial losses worldwide, estimated by the FBI to be in the billions of dollars annually.¹
- **Refusal to Pay / Withdrawal Blocking:** A cornerstone of many scams is the platform's refusal to credit client accounts with winnings or to process requests for withdrawal of deposited funds.³ Platforms may freeze accounts, ignore emails and phone calls, or invent spurious reasons (like unmet bonus conditions or excessive fees) to deny access to funds.³
- Identity Theft: Some fraudulent platforms solicit sensitive personal information (copies of credit cards, passports, utility bills) under the guise of mandatory identity verification or regulatory compliance.³ This data is then potentially used for identity theft or sold to other criminals.¹⁰
- **Software Manipulation:** Platforms may rig their trading software to ensure client losses.⁹ This can involve manipulating the displayed price feed to differ from the actual market, causing trades to expire out-of-the-money, or even altering the expiration time or outcome of a trade after the fact.¹⁰
- **Misleading Marketing and False Claims:** Fraudulent operations often rely heavily on deceptive marketing practices. This includes exaggerating potential returns while downplaying the substantial risks, using fake testimonials or unauthorized celebrity endorsements, and falsely claiming to be regulated or affiliated with legitimate financial institutions.¹
- Aggressive Sales Tactics and Boiler Rooms: Many complaints involve high-pressure sales calls from individuals posing as brokers or account managers, urging clients to deposit more money, often with promises of guaranteed returns or special strategies.³ These often originate from offshore "boiler room" operations.
- **Recovery Scams ("Reload"):** A particularly pernicious tactic involves fraudsters contacting individuals who have already lost money on binary options platforms. These scammers often impersonate government officials or recovery specialists, promising to retrieve the lost funds in exchange for an upfront fee.³ This is a secondary scam targeting vulnerable victims.

B. Issues Specific to Short Expiry Times

While fraud permeates the broader binary options market, the characteristics of 60-second options may exacerbate certain risks:

• Increased Vulnerability to Impulse: The extremely rapid pace encourages

quick, potentially impulsive decisions and can lead to "overtrading" – placing numerous bets without adequate consideration.¹⁴ This heightened frequency and reduced decision time can make traders more susceptible to psychological pressures like chasing losses or gambling urges, potentially amplifying financial damage.⁴

- **Difficulty in Verification:** Verifying whether a loss on a 60-second option was due to legitimate, albeit volatile, market movement or platform manipulation becomes even more challenging within such a minuscule timeframe. Subtle distortions in price feeds might be harder to detect in real-time.¹⁰
- Amplified Exposure: The ability to place hundreds of trades per day ¹⁴ means traders are repeatedly exposed to the inherent house edge of the payout structure and any potential manipulation by the platform, increasing the speed at which losses can accumulate.

C. Findings and Advisories from Regulatory Bodies

Financial regulators and law enforcement agencies globally have issued clear and consistent warnings about the dangers of binary options, particularly those offered by unregulated entities:

- **CFTC/SEC (US):** Have repeatedly issued joint Investor Alerts detailing the prevalence of fraud, the risks of dealing with unregistered offshore platforms, specific scam tactics (withdrawal issues, identity theft, software manipulation), and the illegality of solicitation by unregistered entities.³ They strongly advise investors to verify the registration status of any platform before investing.³
- **FBI (US):** Considers binary options fraud a major criminal enterprise causing billions in losses globally. They actively investigate these schemes and warn the public about common fraud indicators.²
- **ESMA (EU):** Justified its EU-wide retail ban by citing significant investor protection concerns, including complexity, lack of transparency, negative expected returns, conflicts of interest, and high documented loss rates among retail clients.²
- FCA (UK): Implemented a permanent retail ban, explicitly calling binary options "gambling products" and citing inherent product risks, firm misconduct leading to consumer harm, and the high risk of fraud.⁴⁸ The FCA states that any firm now offering these products to UK retail investors should be presumed to be a scam.⁴⁸
- Other Regulators: Authorities in Australia, Israel, Canada, and elsewhere have taken similar actions, imposing bans or issuing strong public warnings, reflecting a broad international regulatory consensus on the unsuitability and dangers of these products for the retail market.²

D. Implications of Fraud and Warnings

The sheer volume and consistency of fraud reports, enforcement actions, and regulatory warnings from diverse global authorities point to a critical conclusion: fraud within the unregulated binary options market is not an occasional issue involving isolated bad actors, but rather a systemic problem.² The convergence of evidence from independent, credible sources underscores the pervasive nature of deceptive and exploitative practices within this industry segment.

Furthermore, the language employed by regulators in their warnings is often unusually direct and forceful, deviating from typical neutral tones. Phrases like "likely to be a scam" ⁴⁸, "gambling products dressed up as financial instruments" ⁴⁸, "significant potential for fraud" ²², and recounting insider experiences like being told to "leave [his] conscience at the door" ² convey an unambiguous message about the perceived severity of the risks. This strong, unequivocal stance from regulatory bodies worldwide suggests a high degree of certainty regarding the dangers and the prevalence of fraudulent operations, emphasizing that these warnings should be treated with utmost seriousness by potential participants.

While fraudulent practices affect the broader binary options space, the specific characteristics of the 60-second expiry likely amplify these existing problems. The high frequency of trading increases exposure to the house edge and potential manipulation. The minimal time for analysis or verification makes it harder to detect foul play. The rapid-fire nature plays on psychological vulnerabilities like impatience and the desire for instant gratification, potentially leading to more significant and rapid losses for traders interacting with unscrupulous platforms.⁴ The ultra-short timeframe, therefore, seems to create a particularly fertile ground for exploitation within an already high-risk environment.

VI. Synthesis: Evaluating Legitimacy and Risk

Synthesizing the findings regarding the definition, regulation, risk profile, operational practices, and prevalence of fraud allows for an overall evaluation of the legitimacy and risks associated with participating in 60-second binary options trading.

A. Overall Assessment of Legitimacy

The legitimacy of binary options trading exists on a very narrow spectrum. Participation *can* be considered legitimate *only* when conducted through platforms that are fully registered and rigorously overseen by competent financial regulatory authorities in jurisdictions where such trading is permitted, such as the CFTC-regulated DCMs or SEC-regulated exchanges in the United States.⁹ However, these regulated venues represent a minuscule portion of the global binary options market readily accessible online.¹⁰

The overwhelming majority of the online binary options market, particularly platforms aggressively marketing very short-term contracts like 60-second options, operates outside of established regulatory frameworks.¹ These platforms are frequently implicated in fraudulent activities, suffer from a lack of transparency, operate with fundamental conflicts of interest detrimental to clients, and are subject to minimal or no effective oversight.²

The decisive actions taken by regulators in major financial centers – specifically the outright bans on retail sales in the entire European Union and the United Kingdom, alongside severe warnings and limited legality in the US and bans elsewhere – constitute a powerful statement.² This global regulatory consensus effectively condemns binary options, as commonly offered online, as illegitimate and unacceptably harmful financial products for the average retail investor.

Considering the extreme risks inherent in the 60-second timeframe (volatility exposure, lack of analysis time), the strong resemblance to gambling, the negative expected return structure, and their primary availability through unregulated and often fraudulent platforms, the legitimacy of 60-second binary options as a viable or prudent activity for retail participants is exceptionally questionable. Outside the theoretical possibility of them being offered on a strictly regulated exchange (which appears uncommon), they exist almost entirely within the high-risk, low-legitimacy segment of the market.

B. Summary of Significant Risks

Participating in 60-second binary options trading exposes individuals to a confluence of severe risks:

- **High Probability of Financial Loss:** The all-or-nothing payout combined with payout percentages below 100% creates a structural disadvantage and negative expected return.¹
- **Pervasive Fraud Risk:** An extremely high likelihood of encountering fraudulent platforms engaging in practices like withdrawal blocking, identity theft, and software manipulation.²
- **Regulatory Risk:** Engaging with unregulated offshore platforms leaves traders with little to no legal recourse or protection under investor safeguarding laws.⁹
- Market Risk: The extreme volatility and inherent unpredictability of asset prices

over a 60-second interval make accurate forecasting exceedingly difficult.¹

- **Operational Risk:** Potential for platform instability, inaccurate price feeds, poor execution, and significant delays or refusals in processing withdrawals.³
- **Psychological Risk:** The fast-paced, high-stakes nature can encourage impulsive trading, emotional decision-making (like chasing losses), and potentially addictive behavior, mirroring patterns seen in gambling.⁴

C. Implications for Legitimacy and Risk Assessment

The analysis strongly suggests that the legitimacy of engaging in binary options is almost entirely contingent on the regulatory status and oversight of the platform used. The product itself, especially in its ultra-short-term variations like the 60-second option, is viewed so negatively by the global regulatory community that its offering outside these few, strictly controlled environments is overwhelmingly associated with illegitimacy and extreme risk.⁹ Legitimacy is not an inherent quality of the instrument in this market; it is conferred, or more often denied, by the regulatory context.

Furthermore, given the strong parallels drawn with gambling by regulators and analysts, the negative statistical expectancy, the prevalence of fraud designed to ensure client losses, and the limited scope for skill application in ultra-short timeframes, the very term "trading" when applied to typical 60-second binary options may be misleading.² It potentially confers an unwarranted sense of financial legitimacy onto an activity that more closely resembles speculative wagering. This framing could obscure the true nature of the risks involved for potential participants.

VII. Conclusion

A. Recap of Key Findings

This report has examined the nature, regulation, risks, and operational realities of 60-second binary options. Key findings include:

- **Definition:** 60-second binary options are financial derivative contracts based on a 'yes/no' prediction of an asset's price movement over a one-minute timeframe, with a fixed 'all-or-nothing' payout structure.
- **Regulation:** They are banned for retail clients in the EU and UK. In the US, they are legal only on a few highly regulated exchanges, while the vast majority of online platforms operate offshore, often illegally soliciting clients and outside effective regulation. Global consensus trends towards prohibition or severe restriction for retail investors.
- Inherent Risks: These instruments are extremely speculative, highly sensitive to short-term volatility, carry the risk of rapid and total loss of invested capital on

each trade, and possess a structure that typically yields a negative expected return for the trader.

- **Fraud and Scams:** The market, particularly the unregulated segment, is rife with fraud, including refusal to pay out funds, identity theft, manipulation of trading software, misleading marketing, and recovery scams.
- **Broker Practices:** Many brokers operate as counterparties, creating a direct conflict of interest where broker profit derives from client losses. This incentivizes unfair practices, often compounded by a lack of transparency in pricing and execution.
- **Market Perception:** Due to the high element of chance (especially in short timeframes), negative expectancy, and risk profile, 60-second binary options are frequently compared to gambling rather than traditional investing, a view echoed by some regulators.

B. Final Authoritative Statement

Based on the comprehensive analysis of regulatory actions, inherent product structure, operational practices, and widespread documented fraud, 60-second binary options present an extraordinarily high-risk proposition for retail participants. Their legitimacy as a financial trading instrument is severely undermined by the prevalence of unregulated and fraudulent platforms, the inherent conflict of interest in the common broker-client relationship, and a payout structure mathematically biased against the trader.

The overwhelming consensus among major global financial regulators, evidenced by outright bans and stringent warnings, is that these products are unsuitable and dangerous for the retail market. While theoretical legitimacy may exist on a handful of tightly regulated exchanges, the vast majority of accessible 60-second binary options operate in an environment where participants are exposed to an unacceptably high probability of significant financial loss, compounded by the pervasive risk of falling victim to fraudulent schemes. Participation in this market, particularly through unregulated online platforms, should be approached with extreme caution, if not avoided entirely, given the substantial evidence of adverse outcomes for investors.

Works cited

- 1. Binary Option: Definition, How They Trade, and Example Investopedia, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/binary-option.asp</u>
- 2. Binary option Wikipedia, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_option
- 3. Beware of Online Binary Options Schemes, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.sos.mo.gov/bewareofonlinebinaryoptionsschemes

- 4. Binary Options Trading: Risks, Benefits & Strategies | Bajaj Broking, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.bajajbroking.in/blog/binary-option-trading</u>
- 5. Introduction to Binary Options Trading NerdWallet, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.nerdwallet.com/article/investing/binary-options-trading
- 60-Seconds Trading Strategy in Binary Options Modern Diplomacy, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2022/10/27/60-seconds-trading-strategy-in-binary-options/</u>
- 7. UK FCA Charges Four Individuals with £1.2M Binary Options Fraud Finance Magnates, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.financemagnates.com/binary-options/uk-fca-charges-four-persons-in-12m-binary-options-fraud/</u>
- 8. 60 Seconds Binary Options Strategy | PDF | Internet Privacy | Http Cookie Scribd, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://pt.scribd.com/document/248518959</u>
- 9. Are binary options legal in the US? Nadex, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.nadex.com/blog/are-binary-options-legal-in-the-us/
- 10. CFTC/SEC Investor Alert: Binary Options and Fraud, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/fraudadv_binaryopt</u> <u>ions.html</u>
- The use of Contracts for difference ('CFD') Spread Bets and Binary Options ('forbin') to Trade Foreign Exchange ('forex') Commodities and Stocks and Shares in Volatile Financial Markets - Herald Scholarly Open Access, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.heraldopenaccess.us/openaccess/the-use-of-contracts-for-differen ce-cfd-spread-bets-and-binary-options-forbin-to-trade-foreign-exchange-fore x-commodities-and-stocks-and-shares-in-volatile-financial-markets

- 12. 60-Second Binary Options: Trading Strategies & Brokers | Fortunly, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://fortunly.com/articles/60-second-binary-options-trading/</u>
- 13. fortunly.com, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://fortunly.com/articles/60-second-binary-options-trading/#:~:text=60%2Ds</u> <u>econd%20binary%20options%20represent.span%20of%20a%20single%20minut</u> <u>e.</u>
- 14. Best 60-second strategies for binary options trading Binomo Blog, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://blog.binomo.com/best-60-second-strategies-for-binary-options-trading/

15. Binary Options Trading: How It Works, and How To Get Started - FBS, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://ptfbs.com/fbs-academy/traders-blog/what-is-binary-options-trading-

- 16. What Are Binary Options: Definition, How Do They Work, and Example | LiteFinance, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.litefinance.org/blog/for-beginners/what-are-binary-options/
- 17. 60-second Binary Options Strategy focusing on Investment Size TradingPedia, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.tradingpedia.com/binary-options-academy/60-second-binary-optio

ns-strategy-focusing-on-investment-size/

- 18. Payouts in Binary Options TradingPedia, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.tradingpedia.com/binary-options-academy/payout/
- 19. Binary Options Experiences In case of losses, trade immediately. Law Firm Herfurtner, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://kanzlei-herfurtner.com/binary-options/
- 20. Risks vs Return with Binary Option Trading Theseus, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/10024/120432/2/Kiiskinen_Eemi.pdf
- 21. Beware of Off-Exchange Binary Options Trades | CFTC, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/beware of off exc

hange binary options.htm

- 22. Binary Options Fraud FBI, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.fbi.gov/news/stories/binary-options-fraud
- 23. The Basics of Investing In Binary Options Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://dfi.wa.gov/financial-education/information/basics-investing-binary-option</u> <u>s</u>
- 24. A Guide to Trading Binary Options in the US Investopedia, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/061114/guide-trading-binar y-options-us.asp

- 25. Updated: CFTC Grants No-Action Relief for Certain Binary Option Transactions -Westlaw, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://content.next.westlaw.com/practical-law/document/l1a77f71fadbb11ebbea</u> <u>4f0dc9fb69570/Updated-CFTC-Grants-No-Action-Relief-for-Certain-Binary-Op</u> <u>tion-Transactions?viewType=FullText&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.D</u> efault)
- 26. US CFTC Takes Action Against Unregistered Crypto and Binary Options Platforms, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://charltonsquantum.com/us-cftc-takes-action-against-unregistered-crypto</u> <u>-and-binary-options-platforms/</u>
- 27. Banc de Binary Ltd. SEC.gov, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/enforcement-litigation/litigation-releases/lr-22718
- 28. SEC Warns Investors About Binary Options and Charges Cyprus-Based Company with Selling Them Illegally in U.S., accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.sec.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2013-2013-103htm</u>
- 29. CFTC Issues Cease and Desist Order to Binary Options Operator Using Smart Contracts, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.blockchainandthelaw.com/2022/01/cftc-issues-cease-and-desist-or</u> <u>der-to-binary-options-operator-using-smart-contracts/</u>
- Central Bank of Ireland Binary Options Intervention Measure pursuant to Article 42 of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.centralbank.ie/docs/default-source/Regulation/industry-market-sect

ors/investment-firms/mifid-firms/regulatory-requirements-and-guidance/centralbank-binary-options-intervention-measure.pdf

- 31. The end of CFDs and Binary Options? Why the EU has put a stop & what comes next, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.planetcompliance.com/news/the-end-of-cfds-and-binary-options-</u> <u>why-the-eu-has-put-a-stop-what-comes-next/</u>
- 32. ESMA Agrees to Prohibit Binary Options and Restrict CFDs objectivus, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://objectivus.com/esma-agrees-to-prohibit-binary-options-and-restrict-cfd</u> s-to-protect-retail-investors/
- 33. ESMA's stop to binary options in Europe | Boccadutri, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.boccadutri.com/esma-stop-to-binary-options-in-europe/
- 34. ESMA agrees to prohibit binary options and restrict CFDs to protect retail investors, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-agrees-prohibit-bina</u> <u>ry-options-and-restrict-cfds-protect-retail-investors</u>
- EUROPEAN SECURITIES AND MARKETS AUTHORITY DECISION (EU) 2018/795 of 22 May 2018 - to temporarily prohibit th - EUR-Lex.europa.eu., accessed on April 19, 2025,

```
https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32018X0601(01)
```

- 36. Research Article Binary options trading: A deep dive into user perspective and satisfaction Semantic Scholar, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/5a25/be81673bab4d8469ab53acae452c1446c17</u> <u>0.pdf</u>
- 37. The Central Bank, Binary Options and CFDs, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.mccannfitzgerald.com/knowledge/financial-services-regulation/the-central-bank-binary-options-and-cfds</u>
- 38. Binary options and CFDs: the AMF adopts national intervention measures -Autorité des marchés financiers, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.amf-france.org/en/news-publications/news-releases/amf-news-releases/binary-options-and-cfds-amf-adopts-national-intervention-measures</u>
- 39. Notice of ESMA's Product Intervention Renewal Decision in relation to binary options, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.centralbank.ie/regulation/markets-update/esma-guidelines-and-recommendations/markets-update-issue-14-2018/european-securities-and-markets-authority-(esma)/notice-of-esma-s-product-intervention-renewal-decision-in-relation-to-binary-options</u>
- 40. ESMA adopts final product intervention measures on CFDs and ..., accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-adopts-final-product</u> <u>-intervention-measures-cfds-and-binary-options</u>
- 41. Binary options Emissions-EUETS.com, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.emissions-euets.com/internal-electricity-market-glossary/2060-bina</u> <u>ry-options</u>
- 42. ESMA renews binary options prohibition for a further three months from 2

January 2019, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-renews-binary-optio ns-prohibition-further-three-months-2-january-2019

- 43. Notice of ESMA's Product Intervention Renewal Decision in relation to binary options, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/notice-esma%E2%80%99s</u> <u>-product-intervention-renewal-decision-in-relation-binary-option-1</u>
- 44. ESMA renews binary options prohibition for a further three months from 2 April 2019, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-renews-binary-optio</u>ns-prohibition-further-three-months-2-april-2019
- 45. EU Product Intervention Measures for Binary Options Extended A&O Shearman | FinReg, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://finreg.aoshearman.com/eu-product-intervention-measures-for-binary-op</u> <u>tio</u>
- 46. Binary option ban extended, subject to certain exclusions Taylor Wessing, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.taylorwessing.com/en/insights-and-events/insights/2018/09/binary-option-ban-extended-subject-to-certain-exclusions</u>
- 47. Guide on assessing games of chance, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.mygamecounsel.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/32/2018/04/guide_o n_assessing_games_of_chance.pdf
- 48. FCA confirms permanent ban on the sale of binary options to retail ..., accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.fca.org.uk/news/statements/fca-confirms-permanent-ban-sale-bina</u> <u>ry-options-retail-consumers</u>
- 49. PS19/11: Product intervention measures for retail binary options Financial Conduct Authority, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/impact-assessments/product-intervention-ret</u> <u>ail-binary-options.pdf</u>
- 50. UK Financial Conduct Authority Implements Permanent Ban of Sale of Binary Options to Retail Consumers - A&O Shearman | FinReg, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://finreg.aoshearman.com/UK-Financial-Conduct-Authority-Implements-Per mane

51. PS19/11: Product intervention measures for retail binary options | FCA, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.fca.org.uk/publications/policy-statements/ps19-11-product-interventi on-measures-retail-binary-options

- 52. PS19/11: Product intervention measures for retail binary options Financial Conduct Authority, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/policy/ps19-11.pdf
- 53. Compliance Corner: UK Bans Binary Options For Retail Investors Wealth Briefing, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.wealthbriefing.com/html/article.php/compliance-corner%3A-uk-ban</u>

s-binary-options-for-retail-investors-

- 54. Product intervention measures for retail binary options Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) RPC rating: validated - GOV.UK, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5d1df9c340f0b609f39009e5/RPC-4371_1_HMT-FCA_-product_intervention_measures_for_retail_binary_options_-IA_f_opinion.pdf</u>
- 55. Alternative investments explained Raisin Bank, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.raisin.ie/investments/alternative-investments/</u>
- 56. Binary options: Gambling or Trading? Earn, Save, Invest, Grow!, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.bidenko.net/binary-options-gambling-or-trading</u>
- 57. Investor Alert: Binary options and Fraud SEC.gov, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/investor/alerts/ia_binary.pdf
- 58. Types of Investments Indiana Securities Division, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://securities.sos.in.gov/moneywise/investing/types-of-investments/</u>
- 59. Types of Investment CCPC Consumers, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.ccpc.ie/consumers/money/investing/types-of-investment/
- 60. Binary Options Strategies You Should Know Investopedia, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/052014/binary-options-stra tegies.asp

- 61. Options | FINRA.org, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.finra.org/investors/investing/investment-products/options
- 62. Is Options Trading Gambling? | A Data-Backed Exploration OptionsTrading.org, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.optionstrading.org/blog/is-options-trading-gambling/</u>
- 63. Binary Options Investing vs. Gambling: What is the Difference?, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://binarytradingclub.com/binary-options-investing-gambling/</u>
- 64. Is Binary Options Trading Gambling?! YouTube, accessed on April 19, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bNS0UmD-7Pk
- 65. Legal difference between Binary Options regulated by CFTC and gambling regulated by U. S. member states Law Stack Exchange, accessed on April 19, 2025,

https://law.stackexchange.com/questions/77432/legal-difference-between-binary -options-regulated-by-cftc-and-gambling-regulated

- 66. Where is the line between game of chance(gambling) and game of skill? [closed] - Law Stack Exchange, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://law.stackexchange.com/questions/95052/where-is-the-line-between-gam</u> <u>e-of-chancegambling-and-game-of-skill</u>
- 67. Trading vs. Gambling What You Need to Know YouTube, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MNWFiRNZYT0</u>
- 68. Binary Options for the Commodities Markets FICC Markets Standards Board, accessed on April 19, 2025, <u>https://fmsb.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/2016-002-FMSB-Std_BinaryOptions CommoditiesMarkets Final-Updated.pdf</u>