

The Regulatory Status of Binary Options in Singapore

I. Executive Summary

This report provides a comprehensive analysis of the legal and regulatory landscape surrounding binary options within Singapore. The primary financial regulatory authority responsible for overseeing such activities is the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS).¹ MAS functions as Singapore's central bank and integrated financial supervisor, administering the Securities and Futures Act (SFA) 2001, the principal legislation governing the securities and derivatives markets.²

Binary options, characterized as contracts where the payout depends on a yes/no proposition regarding an underlying asset's price movement, fall under the SFA's definition of derivatives or options.⁷ Consequently, any entity seeking to offer binary options trading services to individuals in Singapore is required to obtain a Capital Markets Services (CMS) Licence from MAS for dealing in capital markets products.⁷

However, MAS has consistently and forcefully warned investors about the significant risks associated with binary options. These instruments are characterized by the regulator as highly speculative and inherently risky, carrying a substantial probability of investors losing their entire capital, irrespective of whether the platform is regulated or not.⁸ The warnings are particularly stark regarding unregulated platforms, which MAS notes are frequently fraudulent, often based overseas, and offer no recourse for investors who suffer losses.⁸ The Commercial Affairs Department (CAD) of the Singapore Police Force has reported substantial financial losses incurred by Singaporean residents dealing with such unregulated entities.¹¹

While there is no specific law rendering the act of *trading* binary options illegal for Singaporean residents, the practical reality is that MAS-regulated platforms offering these products to retail investors appear to be non-existent or unapproved. MAS's regulatory posture is overwhelmingly one of caution and discouragement, aimed at protecting retail investors from the dual threats of inherent product risk and platform-related fraud. Trading with unregulated entities, the most likely avenue for participation, is strongly advised against and leaves investors without regulatory safeguards.

related posts : [Best Binary Options Brokers \(in 2025\)](#)

II. Introduction

Binary options represent a specific type of financial instrument where the outcome is

predetermined and binary: the investor receives a fixed monetary payout if their prediction about an underlying asset's price movement is correct within a set timeframe, or they lose their entire investment amount if the prediction is incorrect.⁸ These underlying assets can include stocks, commodities, currencies, or interest rates.⁸ Often referred to as "all-or-nothing options," "digital options," or "fixed-return options," their apparent simplicity can be misleading.¹¹

This report aims to clarify the legal and regulatory status of binary options within the jurisdiction of Singapore. It addresses key questions regarding the responsible regulatory body, licensing requirements for platform operators, the distinction between regulated and unregulated entities, the legality for Singapore residents engaging in trading, official warnings and alerts, and enforcement activities undertaken by the authorities.

Globally, the regulatory treatment of binary options varies significantly. Concerns over widespread fraud and investor harm have led some jurisdictions, such as the European Union (via ESMA), Australia (via ASIC), Belgium, and Canada, to implement bans or severe restrictions on their offering to retail clients.¹⁸ The U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) estimates that binary options scams result in worldwide losses of approximately US\$10 billion annually, often involving fraudulent online platforms.¹⁸ Understanding this international context helps frame the cautious approach adopted by Singaporean authorities.

III. The Regulatory Authority: Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS)

The primary entity responsible for the regulation and supervision of the financial services sector in Singapore is the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS).¹ Established in 1971, MAS functions as both the nation's central bank and its integrated financial regulator, overseeing a wide range of financial institutions across banking, capital markets, insurance, and payment services sectors.⁴

MAS possesses broad powers to establish and enforce the rules governing financial institutions and activities within its jurisdiction. This regulatory framework is implemented through various instruments, including primary legislation passed by Parliament (such as the Securities and Futures Act), subsidiary regulations, legally binding directions and notices issued to specific entities or classes of institutions, and non-binding guidelines and codes promoting best practices.¹ A core function of MAS involves the licensing and ongoing supervision of financial institutions to ensure compliance with these rules and the overall stability and integrity of Singapore's

financial system.¹

The cornerstone legislation relevant to the regulation of binary options is the Securities and Futures Act 2001 (SFA).² The SFA provides the legal framework for the regulation of activities and institutions in the securities and derivatives industry.⁶ As binary options are structured as contracts whose value is derived from an underlying asset, they fall within the scope of "derivatives contracts" or "options" as contemplated by the SFA.⁷

MAS's regulatory objectives, as outlined in the SFA and related guidelines, are multi-faceted. They include the promotion of fair, orderly, and transparent markets; the facilitation of efficient markets for capital allocation and risk transfer; and the reduction of systemic risk within the financial system.³³ These objectives guide MAS's approach to regulating all financial products and services, including complex and potentially high-risk instruments like binary options.

The dual capacity of MAS as both a central bank focused on macroeconomic stability and an integrated financial regulator deeply concerned with market integrity, anti-money laundering (AML), countering the financing of terrorism (CFT), and cybersecurity shapes its perspective on financial innovation and risk.⁴ High-risk products offered through opaque, often cross-border online platforms, which is characteristic of many binary options providers, inherently clash with MAS's core mandates of ensuring financial stability, consumer protection, and preventing illicit financial activities. This institutional posture naturally leads to a highly cautious and scrutinizing stance towards such offerings, particularly when targeted at retail consumers.

Furthermore, the integrated nature of MAS's supervisory role¹ allows it to assess risks across different financial domains comprehensively. The problems frequently associated with unregulated binary options platforms – such as difficulties with fund withdrawals, potential links to money laundering, unlicensed provision of financial services, and significant consumer harm through fraud⁸ – touch upon various areas under MAS's purview (payments, AML, capital markets regulation, consumer protection). This holistic view of the interconnected risks reinforces the rationale for a firm regulatory response, including close collaboration with law enforcement agencies like the Commercial Affairs Department (CAD) of the Singapore Police Force.¹¹

IV. Licensing and Regulation of Binary Options Platforms

Under the SFA, binary options are classified as a form of derivative contract, specifically an option, as their value and payout are contingent upon the price

movement of an underlying asset.⁷ The SFA mandates that entities engaging in regulated activities, which include "dealing in capital markets products," must hold a Capital Markets Services (CMS) Licence issued by MAS.⁶ Since derivatives such as options are defined as capital markets products under the SFA, any platform or entity offering binary options trading services to persons in Singapore is legally required to obtain a CMS Licence.¹¹

MAS consistently draws a sharp distinction between financial institutions it regulates and licenses, and those operating without its authorisation.⁸ The overwhelming majority of MAS communications regarding binary options focus specifically on the dangers posed by *unregulated* platforms.⁸

To aid investors in verifying the regulatory status of a financial service provider, MAS maintains several public resources. The primary tool is the Financial Institutions Directory, which lists all entities licensed or regulated by MAS and the specific activities they are authorised to conduct.⁸ Investors are strongly advised to consult this directory before dealing with any entity offering financial products or services.

While the SFA establishes a legal framework under which binary options, as derivatives, *could* potentially be regulated and offered by licensed entities, the reality for retail investors appears different. MAS has not issued specific guidelines, regulations, or positive communications endorsing or facilitating the offering of binary options to the retail public. All official statements prominently feature warnings about the risks and the prevalence of fraud associated with unregulated platforms.⁸ This stark absence of enabling regulation, coupled with consistent discouragement, strongly suggests that MAS has not actively approved or licensed providers to market binary options specifically to retail investors in Singapore. Assertions found in some external sources suggesting binary options are "legal and regulated" in Singapore²² likely refer to the theoretical possibility under the broad SFA framework covering derivatives, rather than the actual availability of MAS-authorised retail binary options products.

The lack of specific regulatory initiatives or consultation papers aimed at creating a regulated market for *retail* binary options trading stands in contrast to MAS's approach in other areas. For instance, MAS has recently conducted consultations and proposed frameworks for enabling retail access to private market investment funds⁴² and for mitigating risks associated with cryptocurrency trading and stablecoins.⁴⁷ This proactive engagement in developing tailored rules for other complex or novel investment areas, compared to the complete absence of such efforts for binary options, signals a deliberate regulatory strategy. It appears MAS manages the risks

associated with binary options not by crafting specific rules *for* retail participation, but by issuing strong public warnings *against* it, particularly concerning the easily accessible but predominantly unregulated online platforms where these products are most commonly encountered.

The following table summarizes the critical differences between dealing with a (hypothetical) MAS-regulated entity versus an unregulated entity, based on general regulatory principles and the specific warnings issued by MAS regarding binary options platforms:

Table 1: Comparison of Regulated vs. Unregulated Binary Options Platforms

Feature	MAS-Regulated Entity (Hypothetical for Retail Binary Options)	Unregulated Entity (Based on MAS/Police Warnings)
Licensing Status	Holds CMS Licence under SFA	Not licensed or authorised by MAS ⁸
Regulatory Oversight	Subject to MAS rules, supervision, and inspections	Operates outside MAS oversight ⁸
Investor Protection	Subject to conduct rules, potential compensation schemes	No regulatory safeguards for investors ⁸
Recourse for Disputes	Access to dispute resolution mechanisms (e.g., FIDReC)	No access to formal dispute resolution; claims difficult to pursue ⁸
Risk of Fraud/Manipulation	Lower risk due to oversight, but product risk remains	High risk of fraud, scams, software manipulation, withdrawal issues ⁸
Transparency	Subject to disclosure requirements	Often lacks transparency; operations difficult to verify ¹³
Typical Location	Must have presence/compliance	Frequently based overseas, outside Singapore's

	framework in Singapore	jurisdiction ⁸
--	------------------------	---------------------------

V. MAS Investor Warnings and Alerts

MAS has demonstrated a consistent and serious concern regarding binary options through repeated public warnings and alerts issued over several years. These communications, sometimes issued jointly with the CAD, underscore the persistent risks these products pose to investors in Singapore.⁸ Notable warnings were issued in March 2017⁸ and May 2018¹³, often prompted by an increasing number of complaints and reported financial losses from investors.⁸

The key risks consistently highlighted by MAS in these warnings include:

- **Inherent Speculation and Risk:** Binary options are fundamentally speculative instruments with a high probability of investors losing their entire investment, a risk that exists even when dealing with regulated entities.⁸
- **Prevalence of Fraud:** A significant number of unregulated online platforms offering binary options are fraudulent operations designed to cheat investors.⁸
- **Misleading Marketing:** Unregulated providers frequently use deceptive marketing slogans promising unrealistic returns, low risk, or guaranteed profits (e.g., "trading with zero risk," "profit payout of 500% per trade") to lure investors.⁸
- **Offshore Operations:** Many unregulated platforms are based outside Singapore, making it difficult for investors to verify their legitimacy, pursue claims, or recover lost funds.⁸
- **Withdrawal Problems:** Investors frequently report difficulties in withdrawing their funds, with platforms allegedly cancelling requests, refusing to credit accounts, or becoming unresponsive.¹¹
- **Data Security Risks:** There are risks of identity theft or misuse of personal and financial information (like credit card details) provided to these platforms.¹¹
- **Lack of Recourse:** Investors dealing with unregulated entities have no access to established dispute resolution channels like the Financial Industry Disputes Resolution Centre Ltd (FIDReC) in Singapore.⁸

MAS also maintains an Investor Alert List (IAL).⁸ This list serves to warn the public about entities that may be wrongly perceived as being licensed or authorised by MAS. It includes entities that might be targeting Singaporean investors without the required licenses. It is important to note that the IAL is not exhaustive; the absence of a name does not guarantee legitimacy. Several entities with names suggesting options trading have appeared on the IAL over the years.⁵³

In its communications, MAS provides clear guidance for investors to protect themselves. Key recommendations include: always verifying an entity's regulatory status via the MAS Financial Institutions Directory and checking the IAL; being highly skeptical of investment offers promising exceptionally high returns with little or no risk ("if it sounds too good to be true, it probably is"); carefully assessing if an investment is suitable given personal objectives and circumstances; understanding the inherent risks involved; exercising extreme caution when sending money overseas or providing personal/financial details; and reporting suspected fraud immediately to the Singapore Police Force via official channels like the Police Hotline or iWitness platform.⁸

The careful distinction MAS makes in its warnings is noteworthy. It separates the *inherent risk of the product itself* (highly speculative, high chance of loss even if regulated) from the *additional risks posed by unregulated platforms* (fraud, scams, lack of recourse).⁸ This dual critique suggests a fundamental skepticism about the suitability of binary options for retail investors in general, extending beyond the issue of platform legitimacy. Even if a platform were perfectly regulated and operated honestly, MAS views the underlying product as inherently problematic for the average retail investor due to its speculative nature.

Furthermore, the consistent direction for investors to check the MAS Directory and IAL⁸ serves a strategic purpose. Given the prevalence of unregulated online binary options platforms, MAS is aware that the most likely outcome of such checks will be negative – the platform will not be found in the Directory and may appear on the IAL. This process acts as an effective deterrent, guiding investors away from the vast majority of accessible binary options platforms without necessitating an explicit legal prohibition on the act of trading itself. It achieves a protective outcome by leveraging information and due diligence prompts.

Table 2: Summary of Key MAS/CAD Warnings and Actions Regarding Binary Options

Date (Approx.)	Issuing Body	Document/ Source	Key Message	Specific Risks Mentioned	Snippet Reference(s)
Dec 2016	Police/CAD	News Release	Sharp rise in complaints, >\$1M lost to	Speculative, risky, fraudulent	¹¹

			unregulated platforms	platforms, withdrawal issues, unauthorised card use, misleading reviews, high pressure	
Mar 2017	MAS	Investor Warning	Caution against trading binary options with unregulated platforms	Speculative, risky (even if regulated), fraud, misleading marketing, overseas location, unlikely recovery, no recourse	⁸
Mar 2017	Media Reports	Reporting MAS Warning	Echoes MAS warning on risks of unregulated platforms	Speculative, risky, fraud, misleading marketing ("zero risk"), overseas location, unlikely recovery, no recourse	¹²
May 2018	Joint CAD/MAS	Public Advisory	Extreme caution advised for unregulated online trading platforms (incl. BO)	Increased complaints (142 reports, \$7.8M lost in 2017), overseas location, fraud risk, difficult recovery, payment risks	¹³

Sep 2018	IOSCO (incl. SG links)	Statement on Concerns	Global warning on illegal/fraudulent binary options, links to MAS warnings	Fraud, investor losses, unlicensed firms, cross-border issues	23
----------	------------------------	-----------------------	--	---	----

VI. Legal Status for Singapore Residents Trading Binary Options

The analysis of Singapore's regulatory framework and official communications from MAS indicates that there is no specific law or regulation that explicitly prohibits Singapore residents from *trading* binary options per se. The legislative focus, primarily through the SFA, is on regulating the *offering* of such products and the entities that provide these services.⁶ Engaging in regulated activities like dealing in capital markets products (which includes binary options) without a CMS Licence is illegal for the *provider*.¹¹

However, this technical point about the legality of the act of trading for an individual resident must be understood within the context of MAS's strong and consistent stance against participation, especially through unregulated channels. MAS warnings do not state that individuals will face legal penalties for trading, but they unequivocally advise against it due to the severe risks involved.⁸ The core message is one of consumer protection and risk avoidance, not criminalization of the end-user. Dealing with unregulated entities means investors forfeit any regulatory protection and have no access to dispute resolution mechanisms should issues arise, such as refusal to return funds or suspected fraud.⁸

Adding another layer of practical restriction, some financial intermediaries or payment service providers may independently choose not to facilitate transactions related to binary options trading due to the associated risks and regulatory ambiguity. For example, Wise (formerly TransferWise) explicitly lists binary options under prohibited activities in its acceptable use policy, potentially blocking payments to or from such platforms.⁵⁶

Therefore, while not technically illegal for a resident to place a trade, the practical situation is that finding a MAS-regulated platform offering binary options to retail investors appears highly improbable, if not impossible. Any trading activity undertaken by Singapore residents is overwhelmingly likely to occur via the very unregulated, often offshore, platforms that MAS and the Police have repeatedly warned against due

to the high incidence of fraud and potential for total loss of capital.⁸

MAS's regulatory strategy appears deliberately focused on controlling the supply side (licensing and supervising intermediaries) and discouraging demand (through investor education and warnings), rather than imposing legal sanctions on the individual trader. This approach aligns with common financial regulatory practices that prioritize market integrity and consumer protection by regulating the entities offering the products, rather than penalizing the consumers who may engage with them, often without fully understanding the risks or the platform's lack of legitimacy.

The absence of an explicit ban on the act of trading might also reflect the practical challenges of enforcing such a prohibition against individuals accessing global online platforms, many of which operate from obscure or shifting jurisdictions.⁸

Concentrating regulatory efforts on entities within Singapore's reach (through licensing) and issuing clear public warnings represents a more feasible and pragmatic approach to mitigating the harm associated with these high-risk products.

VII. Enforcement Actions and Reported Losses

The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) collaborates closely with the Commercial Affairs Department (CAD) of the Singapore Police Force to investigate and take action against financial misconduct and crime.¹¹ This joint investigation arrangement covers offences under key legislation like the SFA, including cases involving unlicensed activities and market manipulation.⁵⁵

The risks associated with unregulated online trading platforms, including those offering binary options, are not merely theoretical. Authorities have documented significant financial harm to Singaporean investors. In December 2016, the CAD reported receiving over 30 complaints involving losses exceeding S\$1 million related to unregulated binary options platforms.¹¹ By May 2018, a joint CAD/MAS advisory stated that in 2017 alone, the CAD received 142 reports from consumers who lost a total of S\$7.8 million from trading with various unlicensed online platforms (offering products including forex, shares, commodities, and binary options), marking a sharp increase from 40 reports in 2016.¹³ These substantial losses underscore the real dangers faced by investors and provide concrete justification for the strong cautionary stance adopted by MAS.

MAS employs a range of enforcement tools against regulated entities and individuals who breach financial regulations. These actions can include issuing warnings, letters of advice, reprimands, composition fines, civil penalties, prohibition orders (barring individuals from the industry), and pursuing criminal prosecutions leading to fines or

imprisonment.¹⁰ Enforcement priorities include tackling market misconduct (like false trading and insider trading), financial services misconduct, and breaches related to unlicensed activity or AML/CFT requirements.⁵⁵ Recent enforcement reports highlight substantial penalties imposed for various breaches, including unlicensed conduct.⁵⁵

However, specific public records of MAS enforcement actions (such as fines or prohibition orders) levied directly against named *binary options providers* operating unlicensed within Singapore are not readily apparent in the available materials.⁶⁰ This is likely attributable to the fact that many such platforms operate from outside Singapore's jurisdiction, making direct regulatory enforcement challenging.⁸ The primary response mechanism appears to be public warnings, placement on the Investor Alert List, blocking of websites where possible, and collaboration with the CAD to investigate associated fraud and money laundering activities targeting Singapore residents.⁸

The close partnership between MAS and CAD in addressing issues related to unregulated platforms effectively frames the problem primarily as one of potential fraud and criminal activity, rather than merely a matter of regulatory non-compliance.¹¹ This criminal justice perspective explains the severity of the warnings issued, the emphasis on scams, and the consistent advice for victims to lodge reports with the Police.⁸ It positions the activity beyond typical concerns about product suitability or disclosure adequacy, treating it as a significant law enforcement issue due to the high incidence of fraudulent conduct associated with these platforms.

VIII. Conclusion: Overall Regulatory Stance and Recommendations

The regulatory environment in Singapore concerning binary options is characterized by stringent oversight and a strong emphasis on investor protection, spearheaded by the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS). The key findings of this analysis are as follows:

1. **Regulatory Authority and Framework:** MAS is the integrated financial regulator, and the Securities and Futures Act (SFA) governs the offering of derivatives, including binary options.¹
2. **Licensing Requirement:** Offering binary options trading to persons in Singapore requires a Capital Markets Services (CMS) Licence from MAS.⁷
3. **Inherent Risks:** MAS explicitly identifies binary options as highly speculative and risky instruments, carrying a significant risk of total capital loss for investors, even

if offered by regulated entities.⁸

4. **Focus on Unregulated Platforms:** MAS, often in conjunction with the CAD, has issued numerous strong warnings specifically cautioning against dealing with unregulated binary options platforms.⁸ These warnings highlight the prevalence of fraud, misleading marketing, difficulties in fund recovery, lack of dispute resolution, and the common overseas location of such operators.⁸
5. **Documented Investor Harm:** Significant financial losses have been reported by Singapore residents who engaged with unregulated online trading platforms offering products like binary options.¹¹
6. **Lack of Retail Regulated Offerings:** Despite the theoretical possibility under the SFA, there is no evidence that MAS has approved or licensed any entity to offer binary options specifically to retail investors in Singapore. The practical avenues for trading appear limited to the high-risk unregulated sphere.
7. **Legality of Trading for Residents:** While the act of trading itself is not explicitly illegal for a Singapore resident (provided it were through a regulated channel), engaging with unregulated platforms carries substantial risks and offers no regulatory protection.⁸

Overall Regulatory Stance: MAS adopts a highly cautious, protective, and dissuasive stance towards retail investor participation in binary options. The regulatory approach prioritizes shielding consumers from fraud and unsuitable speculation. This is achieved primarily through strict licensing controls on entities operating within its jurisdiction and persistent, unambiguous public warnings, rather than by attempting to create or facilitate a regulated retail market for these specific products. The collaboration with law enforcement underscores the view that unregulated binary options trading is frequently linked to fraudulent and potentially criminal activities.

Recommendations for Individuals: Based on MAS guidance, individuals in Singapore considering any involvement with binary options should adhere strictly to the following precautions:

- **Verify Regulation:** Before engaging with any platform, confirm its regulatory status by checking the MAS Financial Institutions Directory.⁸ Assume any platform not listed is unregulated.
- **Consult the Investor Alert List:** Check the MAS IAL for entities that may be wrongly perceived as licensed.⁸
- **Exercise Extreme Skepticism:** Be wary of any platform promising high, easy, or guaranteed returns, especially those using aggressive marketing tactics.⁸ If an offer seems too good to be true, it likely is fraudulent.
- **Understand the Risks:** Recognize that binary options are inherently high-risk,

speculative products where the loss of the entire investment is a significant possibility.⁸

- **Protect Information:** Do not provide excessive personal or financial details (e.g., copies of ID, credit cards) to online platforms, especially unregulated ones.¹¹
- **Beware of Overseas Transfers:** Exercise extreme caution when asked to transfer funds to overseas bank accounts, particularly if the recipient name differs from the platform operator.¹¹
- **Report Suspicious Activity:** If fraud is suspected, immediately cease engagement and report the matter to the Singapore Police Force through official channels.⁸

Works cited

1. www.mas.gov.sg, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation#:~:text=MAS%20is%20the%20integrated%20regulator,%2C%20regulations%2C%20directions%20and%20notices.>
2. Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) | Practical Law - Westlaw, accessed on April 20, 2025, [https://content.next.westlaw.com/practical-law/document/I2ef152ad1ed511e38578f7ccc38dcbee/Monetary-Authority-of-Singapore-MAS?viewType=FullText&transitionType=Default&contextData=\(sc.Default\)](https://content.next.westlaw.com/practical-law/document/I2ef152ad1ed511e38578f7ccc38dcbee/Monetary-Authority-of-Singapore-MAS?viewType=FullText&transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default))
3. 1. Who regulates banking and financial services in your jurisdiction? | Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://resourcehub.bakermckenzie.com/en/resources/global-financial-services-regulatory-guide/asia-pacific/singapore/topics/who-regulates-banking-and-financial-services-in-your-jurisdiction>
4. What is The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS)? - Dow Jones, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.dowjones.com/professional/risk/glossary/regulatory-bodies/monetary-authority-singapore/>
5. Monetary Authority of Singapore - Wikipedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monetary_Authority_of_Singapore
6. Securities and Futures Act 2001 - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation/acts/securities-and-futures-act>
7. MAS (Singapore) Reporting Regulation | LSEG, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.lseg.com/en/post-trade/regulatory-reporting/regulation/mas-singapore-reporting>
8. MAS Cautions Investors on Risks in Trading Binary Options with Unregulated Platforms - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/news/media-releases/2017/mas-cautions-investors-on-risks-in-trading-binary-options-with-unregulated-platforms>
9. SECURITIES AND FUTURES ACT - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/~media/MAS/Regulations%20and%20Financial%20Stabil>

[ity/Regulations%20Guidance%20and%20Licensing/Securities%20Futures%20and%20Fund%20Management/SF%20FIN%20AND%20MARGIN%20REQMTS%20FOR%20HOLDERS%20OF%20CMSL%20REGS.pdf](https://www.mas.gov.sg/Regulations%20Guidance%20and%20Licensing/Securities%20Futures%20and%20Fund%20Management/SF%20FIN%20AND%20MARGIN%20REQMTS%20FOR%20HOLDERS%20OF%20CMSL%20REGS.pdf)

10. Regulation - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation>
11. Police warn of rise in online scams involving binary options; over \$1 million lost to unregulated trading platforms | The Straits Times, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.straitstimes.com/singapore/courts-crime/police-warn-of-rise-in-online-scams-involving-binary-options-over-1-million>
12. Singapore Watchdog Issues Investor Warning On Binary Options - Wealth Briefing, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.wealthbriefing.com/html/article.php/singapore-watchdog-issues-investor-warning-on-binary-options->
13. CAD and MAS warn investors about trading on unregulated online ..., accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/news/media-releases/2018/cad-and-mas-warn-investors-about-trading-on-unregulated-online-platforms>
14. Singapore Police and Monetary Authority Highlight Forex and Binary Scams, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.financemagnates.com/forex/brokers/singapore-police-monetary-authority-highlight-forex-binary-scams/>
15. Fraud incidents from unlicensed online trading jumped 255% in 2017 | Singapore Business Review, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://sbr.com.sg/financial-services/news/fraud-incidents-unlicensed-online-trading-jumped-255-in-2017>
16. Central Bank of Ireland Binary Options Intervention Measure pursuant to Article 42 of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.centralbank.ie/docs/default-source/Regulation/industry-market-sectors/investment-firms/mifid-firms/regulatory-requirements-and-guidance/central-bank-binary-options-intervention-measure.pdf>
17. Investor Alert: Binary options and Fraud - SEC.gov, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/investor/alerts/ia_binary.pdf
18. Binary option - Wikipedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_option
19. Binary Options Fraud | Investor.gov, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.investor.gov/protect-your-investments/fraud/types-fraud/binary-options-fraud>
20. Singapore Watchdog Issues Investor Warning On Binary Options - Wealth Briefing Asia, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.wealthbriefingasia.com/article.php?id=173594>
21. Singapore agency warns investors on unregulated binary options trading platforms, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://en.imsilkroad.com/p/61277.html>
22. Beyond the Hype: Risks and Regulations in Binary Options Trading - IndiaCorpLaw, accessed on April 20, 2025,

<https://indiacorplaw.in/2024/02/beyond-the-hype-risks-and-regulations-in-binary-options-trading.html>

23. Statement on Concerns Related to Binary Options, accessed on April 20, 2025, http://www.csrc.gov.cn/csrc/c100220/c1003110/1003110/files/1631593297339_49319.pdf
24. Binary Options: Legal Considerations for Novice Traders - IT Supply Chain, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://itsupplychain.com/binary-options-legal-considerations-for-novice-traders/>
25. Binary Options Trading | Finance Magnates, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.financemagnates.com/tag/binary-options-trading/>
26. Europe wide ban on risky binary options - DLA Piper, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.dlapiper.com/es-pr/insights/publications/2018/10/finance-and-markets-global-insight-issue-15/europe-wide-ban-on-risky-binary-options>
27. CSA Multilateral Notice of Multilateral Instrument 91-102 Prohibition of Binary Options and Related Companion Policy - Ontario Securities Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.osc.ca/sites/default/files/pdfs/irps/csa_20170927_91-102_binary-options.pdf
28. Binary Options Fraud | CFTC, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.cftc.gov/BinaryOptionsFraud/index.htm>
29. The Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) | NameScan Insights, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://namescan.io/insights/knowledgebase/the-monetary-authority-of-singapore-mas/>
30. How the Monetary Authority Singapore (MAS) Drives Financial Compliance - Flagright, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.flagright.com/post/how-the-monetary-authority-singapore-mas-drives-financial-compliance>
31. Top 5 Things to Know About the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS) - Ripjar, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://ripjar.com/blog/top-5-things-to-know-about-the-monetary-authority-of-singapore-mas/>
32. FAQs on the Securities and Futures (Reporting of Derivatives Contracts) Regulations 2013 - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/-/media/mas/regulations-and-financial-stability/regulations-guidance-and-licensing/securities-futures-and-fund-management/regulations-guidance-and-licensing/faqs/faqs-on-the-securities-and-futures-reporting-of-derivatives-contracts-regulations-2013-15-feb-2024-3.pdf>
33. SECURITIES AND FUTURES ACT (CAP. 289), accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.cftc.gov/sites/default/files/groups/public/@otherif/documents/ifdocs/orgfbotapdxddsfamr160615.pdf>
34. Guidelines on the Regulations of Markets - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.mas.gov.sg/-/media/MAS/resource/legislation_guidelines/securities_f

- [utures/sub_legislation/Guidelines_Regulation_of_Markets.pdf](#)
35. MAS issues warning on trading of binary options with unregulated platforms, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.straitstimes.com/business/companies-markets/mas-issues-warning-on-trading-of-binary-options-with-unregulated>
 36. MAS warns investors about binary options with unregulated platforms - Wealth Briefing, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.wealthbriefing.com/html/article.php/MAS-warns-investors-about-binary-options-with-unregulated-platforms>
 37. MAS warns investors about binary options with unregulated platforms, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.familywealthreport.com/article.php?id=173610>
 38. MAS warns investors about binary options with unregulated platforms - WealthBriefingAsia, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.wealthbriefingasia.com/article.php?id=173610>
 39. Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/>
 40. The Rise of Binary Options Trading in Asia: Trends and Opportunities - Faisal Khan, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://faisalkhan.com/knowledge-center/industry-perspective-commentary/the-rise-of-binary-options-trading-in-asia-trends-and-opportunities/>
 41. Binary options trading legality: A global perspective - Nantwich News, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://thenantwichnews.co.uk/2024/01/23/binary-options-trading-legality-a-global-perspective/>
 42. Monetary Authority of Singapore Proposes Allowing Singapore Retail Investors Access to Private Market Investment Funds - Sidley Austin LLP, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.sidley.com/en/insights/newsupdates/2025/04/mas-proposes-allowing-singapore-retail-investors-access-to-private-market-investment-funds>
 43. MAS proposes long-term investment fund framework for retail access to private markets, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.reedsmith.com/en/perspectives/2025/04/mas-proposes-long-term-investment-fund-framework-for-retail-access-to>
 44. MAS seeks feedback on proposals to provide retail access to private market investment funds - Allen & Gledhill, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.allenandgledhill.com/mm/publication/articles/30315/mas-seeks-feedback-on-proposals-to-provide-retail-access-to-private-market-investment-funds>
 45. Consultation Paper on Providing Retail Access to Private Market Investment Funds - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/publications/consultations/2025/consultation-paper-on-providing-retail-access-to-private-market-investment-funds>
 46. MAS Proposes a Regulatory Framework for Retail Private Market Investment Funds - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/news/media-releases/2025/mas-proposes-a-regulatory->

[framework-for-retail-private-market-investment-funds](#)

47. MAS proposes measures to reduce risks to consumers from cryptocurrency trading and enhance standards of stablecoin-related activities - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.mas.gov.sg/news/media-releases/2022/mas-proposes-measures-to-reduce-risks-to-consumers-from-cryptocurrency-trading-and-enhance-standards-of-stablecoin-related-activities>
48. Singapore Proposes Measures to Prevent Unfair Crypto Trading and Address Market Integrity Risks - Sidley Austin LLP, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.sidley.com/en/insights/newsupdates/2023/07/singapore-proposes-measures-to-prevent-unfair-crypto-trading-and-address-market-integrity-risks>
49. Beware the Binary Options Scam | Blockchain Intelligence Reveals Network of Vietnamese Operators | TRM Blog, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.trmlabs.com/resources/blog/beware-the-binary-options-scam>
50. Beware of Off-Exchange Binary Options Trades | CFTC, accessed on April 20, 2025,
https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/beware_of_off_exchange_binary_options.htm
51. Beware binary options platforms - Ontario Securities Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.osc.ca/en/news-events/news/beware-binary-options-platforms>
52. (PDF) Binary options trading: A deep dive into user perspective and satisfaction - ResearchGate, accessed on April 20, 2025,
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377180474_Binary_options_trading_A_deep_dive_into_user_perspective_and_satisfaction
53. Investor Alert List - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.mas.gov.sg/investor-alert-list?page=1&q=Global%20Options%20BTC%20Global%20Mining%20%26%20Trading>
54. FR17/2018 Report on Retail OTC Leveraged Products, accessed on April 20, 2025,
http://www.csrc.gov.cn/csrc_en/c102060/c1372481/1372481/files/P020190429509157548028.pdf
55. MAS Enforcement Reports 39 Convictions and \$20.8m in Penalties - MyComplianceOffice, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://mco.mycomplianceoffice.com/blog/mas-enforcement-reports-39-convictions-and-20.8m-in-penalties>
56. Acceptable Use Policy - Wise, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://wise.com/acceptable-use-policy>
57. Civil Penalty Actions Taken Against Five Individuals for False and Unauthorised Trading - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation/enforcement/enforcement-actions/2025/civil-penalty-actions-taken-against-five-individuals-for-false-and-unauthorised-trading>
58. Joint Statement by Commercial Affairs Department, Singapore Police Force (CAD) & Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS), accessed on April 20, 2025,
<https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation/enforcement/enforcement-actions/2025/civil->

[penalty-action-taken-against-gui-boon-sui-for-false-trading-and-unauthorised-trading](#)

59. Newly Released MAS Enforcement Report Demonstrates Strong Action Against Financial Misconduct, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.grcreport.com/post/newly-released-mas-enforcement-report-demonstrates-strong-action-against-financial-misconduct>
60. Enforcement Actions - Monetary Authority of Singapore, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mas.gov.sg/regulation/enforcement/enforcement-actions>