The History and Evolution of Binary Options

I. Introduction: Defining Binary Options

Binary options represent a distinct category of financial instruments characterized by their unique payout structure and operational mechanics. Understanding their fundamental nature is crucial before delving into their historical trajectory.

A. Core Concept: The "All-or-Nothing" Proposition

At its heart, a binary option is a type of options contract where the payout is predetermined and fixed, contingent entirely on the outcome of a simple yes/no proposition concerning an underlying asset's price movement relative to a specified level at a specific point in time.[1] Will the price of Asset X be above Price Y at Time Z? The answer dictates the outcome. If the proposition holds true (the option expires "in-the-money"), the holder receives a fixed monetary amount. If it proves false (the option expires "out-of-the-money"), the holder receives nothing, typically losing the initial investment.[1] This definitive, dual outcome gives rise to the term "binary" [5] and alternative names such as "all-or-nothing options," "digital options" (particularly in foreign exchange and interest rate markets), and "fixed-return options" or "FROs".[1]

The mechanics involve several key components: an underlying asset, which can range from stocks, market indices (like the S&P 500), foreign currency pairs (forex), and commodities (like gold or oil) to specific economic events [4]; a strike price, the predetermined price level central to the yes/no question [5]; an expiration date and time, defining the precise moment the proposition is evaluated [4]; and the fixed payout structure.[1] Unlike many other options, once acquired, binary options typically exercise automatically at expiration; there is no further decision for the holder regarding exercise.[1] The potential profit or loss is known upfront.[4] Some platforms or brokers may offer a bid and ask price that fluctuates until expiration, reflecting the perceived probability of the option finishing in-the-money.[2]

While often presented as straightforward wagers or bets on price movements [5], binary options function within the framework of financial derivatives. However, their structure often results in an unfavorable risk-reward profile for the trader, where the potential loss significantly outweighs the potential gain on a probabilistic basis, giving the broker or platform an inherent edge.[1]

B. Key Types: Cash-or-Nothing vs. Asset-or-Nothing

The two primary forms of binary options are distinguished by their payout method [2]:

1. **Cash-or-Nothing:** This is the most prevalent type, especially in the online retail trading sphere. If the option expires in-the-money, it pays a predetermined, fixed amount of cash. If it expires out-of-the-money, it pays nothing.[2]
2. **Asset-or-Nothing:** This type pays the value of the underlying asset itself (or sometimes its cash equivalent at expiration) if the option expires in-the-money, and nothing otherwise.[2] While the name might suggest physical delivery, settlement often occurs in cash based on the asset's price.[14] This form is less commonly encountered in the context of the online platforms that dominated the market's growth phase.

Binary options can also be categorized by their exercise style, typically being either European or American.[5] European-style options can only be settled at the exact expiration time.[5] American-style binary options, unlike their traditional counterparts, might exercise automatically the moment the condition (being in-the-money) is met, even before the final expiration time, similar to "one-touch" options.[6] The exchange-traded binary options introduced in the US in 2008 were generally European-style.[17]

C. Distinction from Vanilla Options

Binary options differ significantly from traditional ("vanilla") options [4]:

- **Ownership Rights:** Vanilla options grant the holder the *right* (but not the obligation) to buy (call) or sell (put) the underlying asset at the strike price, potentially leading to ownership of the asset.[4] Binary options confer no such right; they are purely contracts based on a price proposition, without the possibility of taking a position in the underlying asset itself.[1]
- **Risk/Reward Profile:** In binary options, both the maximum potential profit and the maximum potential loss (usually the entire amount paid for the option) are fixed and known before entering the trade.[4] For vanilla options, while the risk for buyers is limited to the premium paid, the potential profit can, in theory, be unlimited (for calls) or substantial (for puts), varying directly with the magnitude of the underlying asset's price movement beyond the strike price.[4]
- **Complexity:** Vanilla options pricing involves intricate factors like the underlying asset's price, strike price, time to expiration, market volatility (implied volatility), and interest rates, often summarized by "the Greeks".[9] Binary options strip this down to a single directional forecast against a fixed payout, making them appear much simpler.[4]

This perceived simplicity and the capped-risk nature of binary options proved highly attractive, particularly to retail traders seeking accessible ways to speculate on market movements.[3] The reduction of complex market dynamics to a straightforward yes/no question with a known potential outcome lowered the apparent barrier to entry compared to traditional options or leveraged forex trading.[3] However, this very simplicity became a double-edged sword. It made the product easy to understand and market, but it also effectively masked the often unfavorable probabilities embedded in the payout structures, which typically favored the platform or broker.[1] This combination of accessibility and obscured risk dynamics created an environment ripe for exploitation, making binary options an attractive instrument for fraudulent operators targeting less sophisticated investors.[3]

II. Conceptual Precursors and the Dawn of Modern Binary Options

While the specific financial instrument known as the binary option is a relatively recent development, the underlying concepts of fixed payouts based on event outcomes have historical roots.

A. Ancient Concepts and Early Market Practices (Brief Mention)

The idea of contracts with option-like features dates back centuries. Aristotle's account of Thales of Miletus predicting an olive harvest and securing rights to olive presses for a fixed fee represents an early form of a call option.[24] Similarly, the history of gambling involves fixed-odds betting, where wagers are placed on event outcomes at predetermined odds, sharing the concept of a known potential payout.[22] However, these historical parallels are conceptually distinct from the standardized, often regulated, financial derivatives known as binary options today. The development of modern, organized options trading, significantly marked by the establishment of the Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE) in 1974, created the infrastructure and regulatory framework necessary for the later emergence of standardized derivatives like binary options.[24]

B. The 2008 Milestone: Regulatory Approval and Exchange Introduction

The year **2008** stands as the watershed moment for the formal introduction and legitimization of binary options as exchange-tradable financial instruments, particularly in the United States.[4] This occurred against the backdrop of the Global Financial Crisis, a period marked by extreme market volatility and a crisis of confidence in complex, opaque financial products.[30]

Key developments included:

- **SEC Approval:** The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) approved rule changes allowing cash-or-nothing binary options to be listed and traded on regulated national securities exchanges.[4] A specific SEC order approving the CBOE's proposal to list binary options on broad-based security indexes was issued on **May 22, 2008**.[17] This regulatory green light was crucial for establishing binary options within the mainstream financial system.
- **Exchange Listings:**
  - The **American Stock Exchange (AMEX)** became the first major US exchange to launch exchange-traded, European-style, cash-or-nothing binary options in **May 2008**.[20] The SEC acknowledged Amex's filing related to listing broad-based index binary options in late July 2008.[19]
  - The **Chicago Board Options Exchange (CBOE)** followed shortly after, initiating the listing and trading of binary options on the S&P 500 Index (SPX) and the CBOE Volatility Index (VIX) starting **July 1, 2008**.[17] A CBOE regulatory circular (RG08-074) dated June 23, 2008, formally announced this launch and outlined the initial product specifications.[34]
- **OCC Foundation:** These listings were facilitated by a rule change proposed by the Options Clearing Corporation (OCC) in 2007, which adapted the clearance and settlement infrastructure for these new products.[20] The SEC approved the relevant OCC rule change (SR-OCC-2007-08) later that year.[18]

The timing of this formal introduction during the height of the 2008 financial crisis is noteworthy.[30] The crisis had exposed the dangers of highly complex and poorly understood derivatives like certain mortgage-backed securities. In this climate of fear and distrust, binary options, with their apparent simplicity and clearly defined, capped risk profile [4], may have been perceived—or actively marketed—as a safer, more transparent alternative for speculation or hedging.[30] The SEC's approval lent an initial layer of legitimacy [4], potentially creating fertile ground for their acceptance and early growth as a reaction against the perceived dangers of financial complexity revealed by the crisis.

C. Early Exchange-Traded Environment (US)

In the United States, financial regulations generally mandate that options trading for retail clients occurs on regulated exchanges.[1] Alongside CBOE and AMEX, the **North American Derivatives Exchange (Nadex)** emerged as a key venue specifically focused on offering binary options (and later, related products like knock-outs and call spreads) directly to retail traders.[4] Nadex operates as a Designated Contract Market (DCM) and a Derivatives Clearing Organization (DCO) under the oversight of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC).[14] Originally designated as

HedgeStreet, Inc. in 2004, it was acquired and renamed Nadex in 2009.[30]

Trading on these regulated exchanges featured standardized contracts with defined strike prices and expiration times, and crucially, utilized a central clearinghouse (like the OCC or Nadex's own clearing function) to guarantee trades and mitigate counterparty risk.[4] This structured environment aimed to provide transparency and investor protection, contrasting sharply with the over-the-counter (OTC) market that would soon explode globally.[8]

Timeline: Key Milestones in Modern Binary Options History

The following table summarizes the key events in the development and subsequent regulation of modern binary options:

Year	Event	Key Entities Involved	Significance	Source Snippets
2007	OCC proposes rule change for binary options	OCC, SEC	Paves way for exchange listing and clearing	20
2008 (May)	SEC approves CBOE rule change for listing binary options	SEC, CBOE	Formal regulatory approval for exchange trading in the US	17
2008 (May)	AMEX launches exchange-traded binary options	AMEX	First major US exchange listing	19
2008 (July)	CBOE launches binary options on S&P 500 (SPX), VIX	CBOE	Major US options exchange adoption	20
2008	Binary options gain traction post-Global Financial Crisis	Market	Potential appeal as simpler, capped-risk alternative amid crisis	30

2009	Nadex established (renamed from HedgeStreet, designated 2004)	Nadex, CFTC	Key regulated US retail platform emerges	30
~2010	SpotOption founded / launched	SpotOption	Major unregulated online platform provider enters market, based in Israel	30
2012	CySEC (Cyprus) classifies binary options as financial instruments	CySEC	Key moment in European/offshore regulatory landscape; enables licensing	30
2013	CFTC fines Banc De Binary (major SpotOption client) for soliciting US	CFTC, Banc De Binary	Early significant regulatory action against major offshore broker	30
2013/2014	CFTC & SEC issue joint investor alerts about fraudulent platforms	CFTC, SEC	Official warnings highlighting risks of unregulated online trading	15
2017	Israel approves ban on binary options industry	Israeli Govt/Knesset	Major crackdown in a global hub country for the industry	2
2018 (Jan)	Israeli ban takes effect; FBI raids SpotOption offices	Israel, FBI	Enforcement actions follow legislative ban	2

2018 (July)	ESMA implements EU-wide ban on retail binary options	ESMA	Pan-European prohibition due to investor protection concerns	2
2018 (Aug)	Facebook, Google, Twitter ban binary options ads	Tech Companies	Major platforms restrict promotion due to association with scams	2
2021 (May)	ASIC implements Australian ban on retail binary options	ASIC	Major Asia-Pacific jurisdiction prohibits retail sales due to client detriment	2
2021 (Apr)	SEC charges SpotOption and executives with $100M+ fraud	SEC, SpotOption	Enforcement action against a core infrastructure provider of the online market	23

III. The Proliferation of Online Binary Options Trading

While binary options gained a foothold on regulated US exchanges in 2008, their most explosive growth occurred subsequently through a burgeoning ecosystem of online, often unregulated, trading platforms operating globally.

A. The Shift to Online/OTC Platforms

Following the 2008 exchange introductions, the binary options market saw a dramatic surge in internet-based trading platforms.[1] These platforms offered binary options directly to retail clients, often operating over-the-counter (OTC) rather than through a centralized exchange. This online model allowed for rapid global reach, transcending geographical boundaries. A significant portion of this burgeoning market operated outside the purview of stringent US regulations, with many platforms and brokers based in jurisdictions like Cyprus (following CySEC's 2012 classification) and Israel.[1] This stood in stark contrast to the limited, exchange-bound environment mandated for US retail traders.

B. The Rise of Platform Providers: The Case of SpotOption

A key driver of this rapid online expansion was the emergence of specialized technology providers that supplied the underlying trading infrastructure. Among the most dominant was **SpotOption**, a privately held firm founded around 2010 and based primarily in Israel.[7] SpotOption operated on a "white-label" model.[23] It developed and maintained the core trading platform software, which it then licensed to hundreds of other companies worldwide. These companies operated as customer-facing "brokers" or "brands," marketing binary options trading services under their own names but using SpotOption's technology backbone.[41]

SpotOption claimed at its peak to power around 70% of the global binary options market, servicing over 300 brands and processing billions in annual trading volume.[30] Notable brokers utilizing its platform included the now-defunct Banc De Binary (once its largest client), itrader, OptionRally, BDSwiss, and others.[23] The company continually updated its platform, releasing versions like Spot2 in 2013 [43] and Spot5 in 2017 [41], incorporating new features and trade types.

The white-label platform model employed by SpotOption and its competitors proved to be a powerful catalyst for the industry's exponential growth. Developing a sophisticated online trading platform requires substantial technical and financial resources.[42] By offering a ready-made, customizable solution, providers like SpotOption significantly lowered the barriers to entry for aspiring binary options brokers.[42] This enabled a vast number of entities to quickly launch operations and reach a global customer base.[41] However, this model also concentrated significant operational control and risk at the level of the platform provider. Crucially, if the platform software itself contained flaws, was designed with manipulative features, or facilitated practices detrimental to traders, these issues were instantly replicated across the entire network of white-label partners.[23] This structure, while efficient for scaling the business, simultaneously magnified the potential for widespread compliance failures and investor harm, laying the groundwork for the controversies that followed.

C. Factors Driving Growth

Several factors contributed to the rapid uptake of online binary options trading:

- **Simplicity and Accessibility:** The straightforward yes/no proposition and fixed payout structure made binary options seem less intimidating than traditional financial instruments.[3] Online platforms offered easy access with relatively low initial deposit requirements.[1]
- **Aggressive Marketing:** Many online brokers employed aggressive digital

marketing strategies, including social media campaigns, affiliate marketing, and sometimes misleading advertisements promising high and rapid returns.[2]

- **High Potential Returns and Short Durations:** The prospect of high percentage returns (though often paired with high risk of total loss) within very short timeframes – sometimes minutes or even seconds – appealed to traders seeking quick profits and excitement.[7]
- **Broad Market Access:** Platforms typically offered binary options on a wide array of underlying assets (forex, indices, stocks, commodities) across global markets, allowing trading virtually around the clock.[8]

IV. Controversy, Fraud, and the Regulatory Hammer

The rapid, often unregulated, expansion of the online binary options industry quickly became marred by widespread controversy and allegations of fraud, eventually triggering a forceful global regulatory response.

A. Emergence of Widespread Fraud and Investor Complaints

From relatively early in its online boom, the binary options sector developed a strong association with fraudulent practices and generated numerous investor complaints.[1] Regulatory bodies and investigative reports documented a range of deceptive and harmful activities perpetrated by unscrupulous brokers and platforms:

- **Withdrawal Issues:** Refusing to credit customer accounts or process withdrawal requests, effectively trapping client funds.[1]
- **Identity Theft:** Misappropriating clients' personal and financial information provided during account setup.[1]
- **Platform Manipulation:** Rigging the trading software to generate losing trades, manipulate price feeds, or alter payout structures to ensure client losses.[1] SpotOption itself was accused by the SEC of designing its platform with a manipulative payout structure.[23] Internal documents from platform providers reportedly indicated that only a small fraction (e.g., 20%) of investors ever recovered any funds.[41]
- **Misrepresentation and Aggressive Sales:** Marketing binary options as low-risk, high-profit investments, using high-pressure sales tactics, and failing to disclose the inherent risks and conflicts of interest.[2]
- **Conflict of Interest:** In the prevalent OTC model, the broker often acted as the counterparty to the client's trade. This meant the broker directly profited when the client lost, creating a fundamental conflict of interest embedded in the business model.[30] Regulated exchanges like Nadex attempted to mitigate this by facilitating peer-to-peer trading.[30]

The scale of the problem was significant. The FBI estimated that binary options scams were costing victims US$10 billion annually worldwide.[2] Regulatory reviews consistently found staggeringly high loss rates among retail clients; ASIC in Australia reported approximately 80% of retail clients lost money [47], while ESMA cited analyses across EU jurisdictions showing loss rates between 74% and 89%.[46]

B. Early Regulatory Warnings and Actions

Regulators began to take notice of the growing problems. In Cyprus, a major hub for brokers targeting the European market, the Cyprus Securities and Exchange Commission (CySEC) classified binary options as financial instruments in 2012, bringing them under its regulatory umbrella.[30] However, by 2013, CySEC itself was issuing warnings about the risks associated with unregulated platforms operating from or targeting the region.[30]

In the US, the CFTC and SEC issued joint investor alerts, such as one in June 2014, explicitly warning the public about fraudulent internet-based binary options trading platforms, many operating offshore and unregistered.[15] Enforcement actions also began. In 2013, the CFTC imposed a significant fine (nearly $11 million) on Banc De Binary, one of the largest offshore brokers and a major client of SpotOption, for illegally soliciting US customers.[30]

C. The Israeli Connection and Crackdown

Investigations revealed that Israel had become a major global center for the binary options industry, hosting not only key platform providers like SpotOption but also a vast network of brokerages and call centers aggressively marketing these products internationally.[2] Groundbreaking investigative journalism by *The Times of Israel* exposed the immense scale of the fraud originating from the country, detailing manipulative practices and the devastating impact on victims worldwide.[2]

This public exposure and international pressure led to decisive action by Israeli authorities. The Israeli cabinet approved a ban on the sale of binary options in June 2017, and the Knesset (parliament) passed legislation enacting a complete ban on the industry operating from Israel in October 2017. The ban took effect in January 2018.[2] This move effectively shut down a major global hub for the industry. In January 2018, reports emerged of FBI raids on the offices of SpotOption in Israel, signaling coordinated international enforcement efforts.[44]

D. Global Regulatory Bans and Restrictions

The Israeli crackdown was part of a broader global wave of regulatory action aimed at curbing the risks posed by binary options to retail investors.

- **European Union (ESMA):** The European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) took unprecedented action by implementing an EU-wide temporary prohibition on the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail clients, effective from July 2, 2018.[2] ESMA justified the ban based on significant investor protection concerns, citing the products' complexity, lack of transparency, structural expected negative returns, inherent conflicts of interest, and the documented high percentage of retail client losses.[46] This temporary ban was renewed several times (e.g., October 2018 [45], April 2019 [49]), with minor exclusions for very specific, low-risk structures in later renewals.[45] Many national regulators within the EU subsequently moved to make these restrictions permanent within their jurisdictions.[50]
- **Australia (ASIC):** Following its own reviews finding significant retail client detriment (including an 80% loss rate and estimated net losses of $490 million in 2018 before market size reduced), the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) banned the issue and distribution of binary options to retail clients, effective from May 3, 2021.[2] ASIC concluded the products were incompatible with investment or risk management use by retail clients.[47] The initial ban was for 18 months, with ASIC later consulting on making it permanent.[51]
- **Other Jurisdictions:** Similar bans or severe restrictions were imposed in other major markets, including the United Kingdom and Canada.[4] Additionally, major technology companies like Facebook, Google, and Twitter took steps in 2018 to ban advertisements for binary options trading, further restricting the industry's ability to reach potential customers.[2]

This coordinated global shift towards prohibition for retail clients, primarily between 2018 and 2021, marked a significant departure from earlier regulatory approaches that focused on warnings or licensing (like CySEC's 2012 move). The initial attempts at oversight proved insufficient to stem the tide of fraud and investor losses escalating rapidly through the online, often cross-border, OTC model.[1] Investigations revealed systemic issues, including deliberate manipulation by platforms and brokers.[1] Faced with overwhelming evidence of harm and the conclusion that the product's inherent characteristics made it fundamentally unsuitable and dangerous for retail investors [46], regulators in key markets determined that outright prohibition was necessary. This wave of bans reflected a consensus that the risks associated with the dominant online binary options model could not be adequately managed through disclosure or conduct regulations alone for the retail segment.

E. Enforcement Actions Against Providers

Beyond broad market bans, regulators also pursued enforcement actions against key players within the industry infrastructure. A landmark case was the SEC's charges filed in April 2021 against the Israeli firm SpotOption (by then renamed Spot Tech House Ltd.) and its two former top executives, founder Pini Peter (Malhaz Patarkazishvili) and president Ran Amiran.[23] The SEC alleged they orchestrated a scheme that defrauded US investors out of more than $100 million through the binary options sold via their white-label platform partners. The charges included deceiving investors, instructing partners on aggressive marketing, enabling withdrawal restrictions, and designing a manipulative trading platform and payout structure.[23] This action, following earlier cases against brokers using the platform, underscored regulators' commitment to holding platform providers accountable.[23] Following these pressures, SpotOption reportedly announced its exit from the binary options business.[41]

V. Conclusion: Legacy and Current Status

The history of binary options charts a dramatic course from niche financial theory to regulated exchange product, followed by an explosive and controversial expansion through online platforms, culminating in widespread regulatory intervention and prohibition in many parts of the world.

A. Summarizing the Trajectory

Binary options, conceptually rooted in fixed-payout propositions, were formalized as exchange-tradable instruments in the US in 2008, coinciding with the Global Financial Crisis. While finding a place on regulated venues like CBOE, AMEX, and Nadex, their growth trajectory was dominated by the proliferation of online, often unregulated, OTC platforms operating globally after 2008. Fueled by perceived simplicity, aggressive marketing, and platform technology providers like SpotOption, this online market expanded rapidly. However, this expansion was deeply intertwined with widespread fraudulent activities, leading to significant investor losses and intense regulatory scrutiny. Starting around 2017-2018, a wave of regulatory crackdowns occurred, spearheaded by Israel's ban and followed by prohibitions for retail clients in major jurisdictions including the European Union, Australia, the UK, and Canada.

B. The Dichotomy Today: Regulated vs. Unregulated/Banned

The current landscape for binary options is sharply divided. In the United States, binary options remain legally available to retail clients, but *only* through trading on exchanges designated and regulated by either the SEC or, more commonly for retail-focused products, the CFTC (like Nadex).[1] These regulated environments offer standardized contracts and oversight aimed at investor protection.

Conversely, in many other major developed markets – including the entire European Union, the United Kingdom, Australia, Canada, and Israel – the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail clients are prohibited.[2] Despite these bans, concerns remain about unregulated online platforms, often based in loosely regulated jurisdictions, continuing to illegally target investors in these regions, posing significant risks of fraud and financial loss.[1]

C. Final Assessment: High-Risk Profile

The history of binary options underscores their high-risk profile, particularly in the OTC online context where most of the growth and controversy occurred. The frequent comparisons to gambling, rather than traditional investing, are rooted in the all-or-nothing payout structure, the often short-term nature of the contracts, and the documented negative expected returns for traders over time.[2]

The global regulatory crackdown reflects a broad consensus among financial authorities in many nations that binary options, particularly as offered through easily accessible online platforms with inherent conflicts of interest, pose unacceptable risks to retail investors and are generally unsuitable for their investment or risk management needs. The story of binary options serves as a stark case study in how financial innovation, particularly when amplified by technology and operating across borders, can rapidly outpace regulatory frameworks. It highlights the challenges regulators face in supervising online financial services and demonstrates how products marketed for their simplicity can mask significant underlying risks and complexities, ultimately necessitating drastic intervention measures to safeguard consumers from widespread harm. While regulated forms persist in specific markets like the US, the broader legacy of binary options is heavily marked by controversy, fraud, and the eventual regulatory conclusion that, for most retail investors, the risks far outweigh any potential benefits.

Works cited

1. CFTC/SEC Investor Alert: Binary Options and Fraud | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/fraudadv_binaryoptions.html
2. Binary option - Wikipedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_option
3. The Basics of Investing In Binary Options - Washington State Department of Financial Institutions, accessed April 24, 2025,

https://dfi.wa.gov/financial-education/information/basics-investing-binary-options
4. Binary Option: Definition, How They Trade, and Example - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/binary-option.asp
5. What is Binary Options? Definition of Binary Options, Binary Options Meaning - The Economic Times, accessed April 24, 2025, https://m.economictimes.com/definition/binary-options
6. FIX 5.0 SP2 EP264: Glossary – FIX Dictionary - OnixS, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.onixs.biz/fix-dictionary/5.0.sp2.ep264/glossary.html
7. VinegarHill-FinanceLabs - Binary Options - Google Sites, accessed April 24, 2025, https://sites.google.com/view/vinegarhill-financelabs/exotic-options/binary-options
8. Trading Forex With Binary Options - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/forex/022415/trading-forex-binary-options.asp
9. Binary Options Strategies You Should Know - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/052014/binary-options-strategies.asp
10. Introduction to Binary Options Trading - NerdWallet, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.nerdwallet.com/article/investing/binary-options-trading
11. How to Trade Binary Options | Nadex, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.nadex.com/learning/how-to-trade-binary-options/
12. Expiration time and date definition - Nadex, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.nadex.com/glossary/expiration-time-date-definition/
13. A Guide to Trading Binary Options in the US - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/061114/guide-trading-binary-options-us.asp
14. Cash-or-Nothing Call: What it Means, How it Works, Example - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/conc.asp
15. Beware the Binary Options Scam | Blockchain Intelligence Reveals Network of Vietnamese Operators - TRM Labs, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.trmlabs.com/post/beware-the-binary-options-scam
16. Asset-Or-Nothing Call Option: What It Is, How It Works, Example - Investopedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/aonc.asp
17. Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 2, Regarding the Listing and Trading of Binary Options on B - SEC.gov, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/files/rules/sro/cboe/2008/34-57850.pdf
18. Federal Register/Vol. 73, No. 105/Friday, May 30, 2008/Notices - GovInfo, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2008-05-30/pdf/E8-12031.pdf
19. Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Listing and Trading of Broad-Based Index - SEC.gov, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/files/rules/sro/amex/2008/34-58272.pdf

20. Binary Options: Portfolio Destruction Theory or Market Wizardry?, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.gfmi.com/articles/binary-options-portfolio-destruction-theory-or-market-wizardry/
21. Binary options - MarketsWiki, A Commonwealth of Market Knowledge, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.marketswiki.com/wiki/Binary_options
22. A Player's Guide to the World of Financial Betting - EveryMatrix, accessed April 24, 2025, https://everymatrix.com/players-guide-world-of-financial-betting/
23. SEC Charges Binary Options Trading Platform and Two Top Executives with Fraud, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.sec.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021-66
24. History of Options Trading - How Options Came About - OptionsTrading.org, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.optionstrading.org/history/
25. Financial betting: Explained | TIOmarkets, accessed April 24, 2025, https://tiomarkets.com/en/article/financial-betting-guide
26. Spread betting - Wikipedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spread_betting
27. Fixed-odds betting - Wikipedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fixed-odds_betting
28. Vetting the Vigorish: The Long History of Sports Betting, accessed April 24, 2025, https://stephenhardywriter.com/f/vetting-the-vigorish-the-long-history-of-sports-betting
29. Derivatives and the History of Spread Betting, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.financial-spread-betting.com/Derivatives-history.html
30. The History of Binary Options Trading – Infographic LeapRate, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.leaprate.com/news/the-history-of-binary-options-trading-infographic/
31. Binary Option, New Modus of Ponzi Followers Outsmarting Legal Loopholes, accessed April 24, 2025, https://muc.co.id/en/article/binary-option-new-modus-of-ponzi-followers-outsmarting-legal-loopholes
32. Federal Register/Vol. 73, No. 105/Friday, May 30, 2008/Notices - GovInfo, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2008-05-30/pdf/E8-12030.pdf
33. Industry Filings: Clearing Organization Rules | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/IndustryOversight/IndustryFilings/ClearingOrganizationRules?col=Status&dir=ASC&page=7
34. RG08-074 - Product Description & Margin and Net Capital Requirements - CBOE Binary Options on the S&P 500, CBOE Volatili, accessed April 24, 2025, https://cdn.cboe.com/resources/regulation/circulars/regulatory/RG08-074.pdf
35. CFTC's Review of Nadex Sports Contract Submissions | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/PressRoom/PressReleases/9033-25
36. notified the North American Derivatives Exchange, Inc. (Nadex) d/b/a Crypto.com it will initiate a review of the two ... and/or the National Collegiate Athletic Association. Nadex's publicly-filed title event contract submission is ... date in

recognition of the outcome of the Title Event. Nadex's publicly-filed hometown celebration contract - Search Content | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/solr-search/content?keys=Nadex
37. Industry Filings: Designated Contract Markets (DCM) | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/IndustryOversight/IndustryFilings/TradingOrganizations?col=Remarks&dir=ASC&page=1
38. CFTC Issues Proposed Rules on Event Contracts - Morrison Foerster, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.mofo.com/resources/insights/240520-cftc-issues-proposed-rules-on-event-contracts
39. Event Contracts - Federal Register, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2024/06/10/2024-12125/event-contracts
40. CFTC Issues Order Prohibiting North American Derivatives Exchange's Political Event Derivatives Contracts | CFTC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/PressRoom/PressReleases/6224-12
41. SpotOption - Wikipedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SpotOption
42. Binary Options Platforms - TradingPedia, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.tradingpedia.com/binary-options-academy/platforms/
43. SpotOption Launches Upgraded Binary Options Platform, Spot2 | Finance Magnates, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.financemagnates.com/forex/products/spotoption-launches-upgraded-binary-options-platform-spot2/
44. SpotOption launches latest platform version Spot5 - LeapRate, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.leaprate.com/binary-options/platform/spotoption-launches-latest-platform-version-spot5/
45. Europe wide ban on risky binary options - DLA Piper, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.dlapiper.com/en/insights/publications/2018/10/finance-and-markets-global-insight-issue-15/europe-wide-ban-on-risky-binary-options
46. ESMA agrees to prohibit binary options and restrict CFDs to protect retail investors, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-agrees-prohibit-binary-options-and-restrict-cfds-protect-retail-investors
47. 21-064MR ASIC bans the sale of binary options to retail clients, accessed April 24, 2025, https://asic.gov.au/about-asic/news-centre/find-a-media-release/2021-releases/21-064mr-asic-bans-the-sale-of-binary-options-to-retail-clients/
48. Breaking: ESMA Rolls-Out Draconian CFD Leverage Restrictions, Kills Binary, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.financemagnates.com/forex/brokers/breaking-esma-rolls-draconian-cfd-leverage-restrictions-kills-binary/
49. ESMA renews binary options prohibition for a further three months from 2 April

2019, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-renews-binary-options-prohibition-further-three-months-2-april-2019
50. Retail Clients: Central Bank to restrict sale of CFDs and ban binary options - Arthur Cox, accessed April 24, 2025, https://www.arthurcox.com/knowledge/retail-client-june-2019/
51. Consultation Paper CP 362 Extension of the binary options product intervention order - ASIC, accessed April 24, 2025, https://download.asic.gov.au/media/mjkhommx/cp362-published-26-may-2022.pdf