An Assessment of the Safety of Binary Options Trading for Retail Investors

I. Executive Summary

Binary options represent a high-risk, speculative financial product fundamentally different from traditional investment vehicles. Characterized by a simple yes/no proposition, fixed expiry times, and an all-or-nothing payout structure, they offer a predetermined profit if a specific market condition is met at expiration, or the loss of the entire invested amount if it is not. While presented as simple, this structure often carries unfavorable odds for the trader, requiring a high win rate to achieve profitability.

Regulatory bodies across major financial markets, including North America, Europe, and the Asia-Pacific region, have increasingly recognized the significant risks these products pose to retail investors. Concerns stem from their inherent complexity masked by apparent simplicity, lack of transparency, structural features leading to negative expected returns, embedded conflicts of interest between providers and clients, and documented high rates of consumer losses. Consequently, a strong global trend has emerged towards banning or severely restricting the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail clients.

While legally permissible trading exists on a few regulated exchanges in jurisdictions like the United States, a substantial portion of the binary options market operates through internet-based platforms located offshore, often outside the reach of robust regulatory oversight. These unregulated platforms are strongly associated with widespread fraudulent activities, including refusal to return client funds, identity theft, and manipulation of trading software. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has estimated that binary options scams result in annual global losses amounting to billions of dollars.

Marketing practices employed by many binary options providers, particularly unregulated ones, frequently exacerbate the risks. Tactics often involve emphasizing ease of use and the potential for quick, high returns while downplaying or obscuring the significant probability of loss and the inherent conflicts of interest. Aggressive online advertising, use of social media, and high-pressure sales techniques are common.

Overall, binary options trading, especially through the prevalent unregulated online channels, presents substantial safety concerns for retail investors. The combination of inherent product risks, unfavorable odds, pervasive fraud, misleading marketing, and decisive negative regulatory actions globally leads to the assessment that binary options are generally unsafe for this demographic.

related posts : Best Binary Options Brokers (in 2025)

II. Defining Binary Options: Structure and Distinction

A. Core Concept: The Yes/No Proposition

At its core, a binary option is a type of financial derivative contract where the payoff depends entirely on the outcome of a simple 'yes' or 'no' question.[1] This proposition typically relates to whether the price of an underlying asset—such as a stock, commodity, currency pair, or market index—will be above or below a specific price level (the strike price) at a predetermined future time (the expiry time).[1] For example, a binary option might ask: "Will the price of XYZ stock be above $50 per share at 4:00 PM today?".[2] The trader places a wager based on their prediction of the outcome.[11] If they believe the condition will be met (price above $50), they might buy a "call" binary option; if they believe it will not (price at or below $50), they might buy a "put" binary option (or sell the call, depending on the platform's structure).[3]

The term "binary" reflects the two possible outcomes: either the condition is met, or it is not.[1] This structure leads to alternative names such as "all-or-nothing options," "digital options," or "fixed-return options".[2] A crucial distinction from traditional financial instruments is that binary options typically do not grant the holder the right or possibility to own the underlying asset itself.[1] They function purely as speculative contracts based on predicting price direction or the occurrence of a predefined event relative to the strike price and expiry time.[1]

B. Structure: Fixed Payout, Fixed Expiry Time

Two defining structural elements of binary options are their fixed payouts and fixed expiry times.

- **Fixed Payout:** The potential profit or loss on a binary option trade is known from the outset.[1] If the trader's prediction proves correct at expiration (the option finishes "in the money"), they receive a predetermined, fixed payout amount.[1] This payout is often expressed as a percentage of the initial investment, typically ranging from 60% to 90%.[1] Conversely, if the trader's prediction is incorrect (the option finishes "out of the money"), they lose the entire amount invested in that specific trade.[1] While some platforms might offer a minimal refund (e.g., 5% or 15%) on losing trades, the fundamental risk remains the loss of the vast majority, if not all, of the capital staked on that particular outcome.[2]

- **Fixed Expiry Time:** Every binary option contract has a precisely defined expiration date and time.[1] The duration can vary significantly depending on the platform and the specific contract, ranging from extremely short periods like 60 seconds or five minutes, to hourly, daily, or weekly expiries.[3] Short-term expiries are particularly common in the binary options market.[1] The outcome of the trade—whether it is in or out of the money—is determined exactly at this moment of expiration.[1]

Furthermore, binary options typically exercise automatically. Upon expiration, the gain or loss is automatically credited or debited to the trader's account based on the outcome, requiring no further decision or action from the holder regarding exercise.[1]

C. Distinction from Traditional (Vanilla) Options

Binary options differ significantly from traditional options, often referred to as "vanilla" options, in several key aspects:

1. **Ownership Potential:** Traditional options provide the holder the *right* (but not the obligation) to buy (call option) or sell (put option) the underlying asset at the agreed-upon strike price on or before the expiration date (for American-style options) or only on the expiration date (for European-style options).[1] This structure offers the potential for the option holder to eventually take ownership of the underlying asset.[1] Binary options, in contrast, offer no such possibility; they are purely cash-settled contracts based on price speculation.[1]
2. **Payout Structure:** The profit potential for a traditional option buyer is theoretically unlimited (for calls) or substantial (for puts), depending on the *magnitude* of the underlying asset's price movement beyond the strike price.[1] The loss for the buyer is limited to the premium paid. For binary options, the payout is a fixed, predetermined amount if the option expires in the money, irrespective of how far the price moves beyond the strike.[1] The loss is also fixed at the amount invested if the option expires out of the money.[1]
3. **Complexity and Strategy:** Traditional options pricing is influenced by multiple complex factors, including the underlying asset's price, strike price, time remaining until expiration (time decay or theta), implied volatility (vega), and interest rates, often summarized by the "Greeks".[3] This complexity allows for a wide array of sophisticated trading strategies.[7] Binary options are marketed as simpler due to their binary outcome and predefined risk/reward profile, requiring only a directional prediction.[1]
4. **Regulation and Trading Venues:** Traditional options are predominantly traded on established, highly regulated exchanges (e.g., CBOE, NYSE American), offering

significant investor protection mechanisms.[1] While a limited number of binary options contracts are available on regulated US exchanges like Nadex or CME [1], a vast segment of the binary options market operates through online platforms based offshore, which are often unregulated or poorly regulated.[1] This regulatory disparity is a critical factor affecting investor safety.[1]

5. **Flexibility and Exercise:** Holders of traditional American-style options can typically exercise their option at any time before expiration. Moreover, traditional options positions can usually be sold to other traders in the secondary market before they expire, allowing for profit-taking or loss mitigation.[7] Binary options, however, usually must be held until their fixed expiration time.[7] While some platforms may permit closing a position early, this often comes with a significantly reduced payout if the option is in the money, or may not be allowed at all.[1]

D. Implications of Structure and Distinction

The deliberate simplification inherent in the binary option structure—the yes/no outcome, fixed payout or loss, and absence of complex variables like the Greeks—forms a core part of their marketing appeal, particularly to novice traders.[5] This apparent simplicity, however, can be misleading. It effectively masks an underlying probability structure that is often unfavorable to the trader. Because the payout for a winning trade is typically less than 100% of the amount risked (e.g., 70-90%), while a losing trade results in a 100% loss of the stake, a trader must win significantly more often than they lose (a win rate well above 50%) merely to break even.[2] This creates a statistical disadvantage, akin to the house edge in casino games, suggesting that over time, the average retail trader is likely to lose money.[2] This gambling-like characteristic is frequently cited by regulators and analysts as a primary concern.[3] Therefore, the very simplicity marketed as an advantage acts as a significant risk factor, lowering the barrier to entry for a product with inherently disadvantageous odds for the typical user.[44]

Furthermore, the detachment of binary options from any potential ownership of the underlying asset fundamentally differentiates them from traditional investments like stocks or even standard options.[1] Binary options function as pure speculation on short-term price movements or event outcomes.[1] Unlike owning stock, which represents a stake in a company's value and potential growth, or holding a traditional option, which confers a conditional right related to the asset, binary options derive their value solely from the correctness of the yes/no prediction within a fixed timeframe. This lack of connection to underlying asset value limits their utility primarily to short-term directional betting and makes them unsuitable for long-term capital appreciation, income generation, or sophisticated hedging strategies often pursued through traditional market instruments.

III. Regulatory Environment and Legality

A. Overview of Regulatory Stance

Financial regulators across the globe have expressed significant and growing concerns about the risks binary options pose to retail investors.[11] Key issues cited include the products' complexity masked by apparent simplicity, a lack of transparency in their operation and pricing, excessive risk stemming from the all-or-nothing payout structure, inherent conflicts of interest between providers and clients, misleading marketing practices, the prevalence of fraud, and consistently high loss rates among retail participants.[2] Consequently, a robust international regulatory trend has emerged, favoring outright bans or severe restrictions on the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail clients in numerous major jurisdictions.[1]

B. North America

- **United States (US):** In the US, binary options are legal but operate under strict regulatory requirements. They must be listed and traded on exchanges registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) or on designated contract markets (DCMs) overseen by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC).[1] Examples of such regulated venues include Nadex, Cantor Exchange, and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME).[13] Trading binary options through platforms that are not registered or designated in this manner, particularly those based offshore and soliciting US residents, is considered illegal activity by the soliciting platform and poses extreme risks to investors.[1] Both the SEC and CFTC actively issue warnings regarding the high prevalence of fraud associated with unregistered online binary options platforms.[1] They provide resources for investors to verify registration, such as the SEC's EDGAR database, the CFTC's list of DCMs, and the CFTC's Registration Deficient (RED) List identifying foreign entities believed to be soliciting US residents without proper registration.[2]
- **Canada:** Canadian securities regulators have taken a definitive stance against binary options for retail investors. The Canadian Securities Administrators (CSA) implemented Multilateral Instrument 91-102, which explicitly prohibits the advertising, offering, selling, or any form of trading of binary options with a term to maturity of *less than 30 days* involving any individual.[1] Canadian regulators have stated unequivocally that no individuals or firms are registered or permitted to trade binary options products in Canada.[15] They have identified binary options as the leading type of investment fraud targeting Canadians and strongly warn

against engaging with offshore, unregistered platforms, which are common sources of such offerings.[15]

C. Europe

- **European Union (EU):** Responding to widespread investor protection concerns, the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) utilized its product intervention powers under MiFIR to implement an EU-wide temporary prohibition on the marketing, distribution, or sale of binary options to retail clients, initially effective from July 2, 2018.[1] These temporary measures were renewed multiple times as concerns persisted.[51] Subsequently, many national competent authorities (NCAs) within the EU moved to make these restrictions permanent through national measures, ensuring continued protection for retail investors within their jurisdictions.[35]
- **United Kingdom (UK):** Following ESMA's temporary measures (which applied while the UK was subject to EU law), the UK's Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) established a permanent ban on the sale, marketing, and distribution of all binary options, including certain types initially exempted by ESMA (like 'securitised binary options'), to retail clients.[1] This ban took effect on April 2, 2019. The FCA justified this action by citing significant evidence of consumer harm, misleading marketing practices, inherent product risks leading to likely losses, and conflicts of interest.[31] The FCA estimates the ban saves UK retail consumers up to £17 million annually.[37]
- **France:** The French financial regulator, the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (AMF), also adopted permanent national measures, effective July 2, 2019, prohibiting the marketing, distribution, and sale of binary options to retail investors in or from France, thereby continuing the protections established by ESMA's temporary ban.[51] The AMF actively warns the public against unauthorized Forex and binary options platforms, highlighting significant estimated losses from such illegal operations.[51]

D. Asia-Pacific

- **Australia:** The Australian Securities & Investments Commission (ASIC) implemented a product intervention order banning the issue and distribution of binary options to retail clients, effective from May 3, 2021.[1] Citing reviews conducted in 2017 and 2019 which found approximately 80% of retail clients lost money, and further analysis showing 74–77% of active retail clients lost money with aggregate net losses of $14 million in the 13 months prior to the ban, ASIC deemed the products harmful and high-risk.[19] Finding the ban effective in preventing further losses, ASIC has extended it until October 1, 2031.[19]

- **Other Regions (e.g., India):** The regulatory status of binary options in many other jurisdictions, particularly in Asia, is often ambiguous or explicitly negative. In India, for instance, binary options trading is not specifically regulated or authorized by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI).[6] Furthermore, many binary options platforms facilitate trading in forex derivatives. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) maintains an "Alert List" of unauthorized electronic trading platforms (ETPs) and warns that resident Indians undertaking forex transactions on unauthorized platforms or for non-permitted purposes may face penalties under the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA).[7] Trading binary options, especially via offshore platforms common in the industry, is therefore considered highly risky and potentially illegal for Indian residents.[6]

E. The Critical Risk of Unregulated Platforms

A recurring theme across regulatory warnings and market analysis is the danger posed by the vast number of unregulated online binary options platforms.[1] These entities, often operating from offshore jurisdictions with minimal oversight, constitute a significant portion, likely the majority, of the binary options market accessible to retail investors globally. They are not bound by the standards and investor protection rules imposed by regulators in major financial centers. This lack of oversight creates a fertile ground for fraudulent activities, including manipulating trading software, refusing payouts, stealing personal information for identity theft, and employing aggressive and misleading marketing tactics.[1] Victims of such platforms often find they have little or no legal recourse to recover their funds.[15] Consequently, regulators worldwide strongly advise investors to meticulously verify the registration and authorization status of any platform before engaging with it and to avoid any entity not demonstrably regulated within their own jurisdiction.[2]

F. Implications of Regulatory Landscape

The actions taken by major, independent financial regulatory bodies across the globe—ESMA in the EU, the FCA in the UK, ASIC in Australia, the CSA in Canada—reveal a striking international consensus on the dangers of binary options for retail investors. While not initially a coordinated global effort, these regulators arrived at similar conclusions based on observing comparable patterns of extreme consumer harm within their respective jurisdictions. High loss rates, frequently reported in the range of 74% to 89% [31], combined with pervasive fraud and misleading marketing, led these authorities to independently determine that banning or severely restricting the product was necessary for investor protection.[11] This convergence strongly suggests that the identified risks are fundamental to the binary options product as it has typically been offered and marketed to the retail segment, rather than being isolated issues with a few bad actors.

The existence of a small, regulated segment of the binary options market, primarily in the US [25], inadvertently creates a challenge for investor protection. Unscrupulous offshore operators often leverage the existence of regulated entities to create a misleading facade of legitimacy for the entire industry. Retail investors, faced with sophisticated online marketing [10] and sometimes confusing claims of regulation or false addresses [20], may struggle to differentiate between the few legally operating, regulated exchanges and the multitude of illegal, unregulated, and often fraudulent platforms that dominate the online space.[1] The ease with which online platforms can be established in jurisdictions with lax regulatory oversight facilitates this problem of regulatory arbitrage, making it difficult for investors to navigate safely. The widespread bans implemented by regulators effectively remove this ambiguity for retail investors in those regions, acknowledging the difficulty and risk involved in distinguishing legitimate from illegitimate offerings.

IV. Financial Risks Inherent in Binary Options

Beyond the regulatory and fraud concerns, binary options possess inherent structural characteristics that contribute significantly to their high-risk profile for retail investors.

A. The All-or-Nothing Payout Structure

The defining feature of a binary option is its discontinuous, all-or-nothing payout.[1] A correct prediction results in a fixed, predetermined profit, while an incorrect prediction results in the loss of the entire amount wagered on that trade. This structure creates a high probability of loss for the trader due to the typical payout percentages offered. Payouts on winning trades are almost always less than 100% of the amount risked (commonly 70-90%), whereas the loss on an incorrect prediction is 100% (or very close to it, even with minor rebates).[1]

This asymmetry means that a trader needs to be correct significantly more often than they are wrong simply to break even. For example, with an 80% payout on wins and a 100% loss on losses, a trader needs a win rate of approximately 55.6% ($100 loss / ($80 profit + $100 loss) = 0.556) to avoid losing money over time.[2] Achieving such a high win rate consistently, especially on short-term price movements, is extremely challenging. This inherent statistical disadvantage leads to a negative expected return for the average trader, meaning that over a large number of trades, they are mathematically likely to lose money.[2] This characteristic frequently draws comparisons between binary options trading and fixed-odds gambling, where the "house" (the broker/platform) has a built-in edge.[7]

B. Broker Conflicts of Interest and Counterparty Risk

A significant risk, particularly prevalent among unregulated online platforms, stems from the typical business model where the binary options provider acts as the direct counterparty to the client's trade.[27] Unlike traditional brokerage models where the broker facilitates trades between buyers and sellers or routes orders to an exchange (often earning commissions or spreads regardless of the client's trading outcome), this counterparty model means the platform's profit is directly derived from the client's losses.[31]

This creates a fundamental conflict of interest. The provider is financially incentivized to ensure that its clients lose money. This incentive is particularly potent for unregulated entities that lack oversight and accountability. The conflict can manifest in various detrimental ways, such as designing payout structures heavily favoring the platform, manipulating price feeds or the trading software itself to disadvantage traders, or creating obstacles to withdrawing funds.[2]

Furthermore, dealing with unregulated brokers introduces significant counterparty risk. This is the risk that the broker, being the other side of the trade, will default on its obligations, refuse to pay out legitimate winnings, or simply cease operations and disappear with client funds.[2] Given the offshore and often opaque nature of these platforms, recovering funds in such scenarios is extremely difficult, if not impossible.

C. Short-Term Nature and Speculation vs. Investment

The operational structure of binary options, particularly the prevalence of very short expiration times (ranging from seconds to minutes or hours), encourages a style of trading that is highly speculative rather than investment-oriented.[1] This rapid turnover facilitates high-frequency betting on momentary price fluctuations, which can be difficult to predict reliably through fundamental or even technical analysis.

This short-term focus carries several risks. It can foster addictive, gambling-like behavior, leading traders to make impulsive decisions and potentially accumulate significant losses quickly.[6] The extremely short timeframes reduce the opportunity for thoughtful analysis and increase the influence of random market noise on trade outcomes. Consequently, binary options are generally considered unsuitable for achieving traditional long-term investment goals such as steady capital growth, income generation, or wealth preservation. Their primary function is as a vehicle for high-risk, short-term speculation.[1]

D. Comparative Risk Analysis: Binary Options vs. Other Trading Forms

To contextualize the safety concerns surrounding binary options, it is useful to compare their risk profile, potential returns, regulatory oversight, complexity, and typical time horizons against other common forms of trading and investment accessible to retail participants.

Feature	Binary Options	Stock Trading	Forex Trading (Traditional)	Traditional (Vanilla) Options
Risk Profile	High, Fixed (Loss limited to stake, but 100% loss on failure) [1]	Variable (Market risk, company risk), potential for total loss	High (Leverage risk, market volatility), potential losses can exceed deposit without stops	High, Fixed for buyer (Premium), Variable/High for seller. Complex risk factors (Greeks) [1]
Potential Return	Fixed, Capped (Predetermined % payout, e.g., 70-90%) [1]	Variable (Capital gains, dividends), potentially unlimited upside over time	Variable (Depends on price movement magnitude and leverage), potentially high	Variable (Depends on price movement magnitude relative to strike), potentially high [1]
Regulatory Oversight	Mixed: Few regulated exchanges (US), mostly unregulated/banned offshore [1]	Generally Robust (SEC, etc.), well-established exchanges and rules	Varies by jurisdiction, major brokers typically regulated (FCA, ASIC, CySEC, etc.) [36]	Generally Robust (Regulated exchanges like CBOE, CFTC/SEC oversight) [1]
Complexity	Marketed as Simple (Yes/No outcome) [6]	Moderate (Company analysis, market trends)	High (Leverage, technical/fundamental analysis, global macro factors) [4]	High (Greeks, strategy development, pricing models) [3]

Typical Timeframe	Very Short-Term (Seconds, minutes, hours, days) [6]	Short to Long-Term (Days, weeks, years)	Short to Medium-Term (Minutes, hours, days, weeks) [4]	Short to Long-Term (Days, weeks, months, years) [6]
Ownership Potential	No [1]	Yes (Direct ownership of shares)	No (Trading currency value differences)	Yes (Right to buy/sell underlying asset) [1]

Table based on information synthesized from multiple sources including.[1]

E. Implications of Inherent Risks

The combination of the all-or-nothing payout structure (with payouts typically below 100% on wins versus 100% loss on failures) and the frequent broker conflict of interest (where the platform profits directly from client losses) creates a trading environment structurally biased against the retail client.[2] This inherent bias makes sustained profitability mathematically challenging, if not improbable, for the majority of participants, particularly when dealing with unregulated platforms where the conflict of interest can be exploited without oversight. Unlike traditional markets where intermediaries often earn neutral commissions, the common binary options model fundamentally pits the platform against its users, posing a significant structural barrier to trader success and safety.

The prevalence of extremely short expiration times further amplifies the risks associated with binary options.[1] These short durations encourage high-frequency betting, significantly reducing the time available for meaningful analysis and increasing the impact of random short-term price 'noise' over fundamental trends or considered technical signals.[31] This environment can foster impulsive decision-making and addictive, gambling-like behavior, as noted by regulators.[6] This contrasts sharply with the potential for more considered, analysis-driven approaches available in markets with longer time horizons, such as traditional stock or options trading.

The comparative analysis presented in the table above underscores the unique and often unfavorable position of binary options within the broader landscape of financial instruments available to retail traders. Compared to stock trading, traditional options, or even leveraged forex trading (when conducted through regulated brokers with risk management tools like stop-losses), binary options typically offer a less favorable risk/reward profile due to the capped upside and high probability of total loss per trade, coupled with significantly higher regulatory and fraud risks, especially in the dominant offshore market segment.

V. Pervasive Fraud and Investor Protection Issues

The binary options market, particularly the segment operating outside of stringent regulatory frameworks, is notoriously plagued by fraudulent activities and scams, posing a severe threat to investor protection.

A. Documented Prevalence of Scams and Fraudulent Brokers

Binary options trading has become almost synonymous with financial fraud in the eyes of many regulators and law enforcement agencies worldwide.[1] The scale of this problem is immense; the FBI has estimated that binary options scams defraud victims globally of approximately US$10 billion each year.[11] This figure underscores the systemic nature of the issue.

Regulatory bodies in numerous countries have reported receiving a high volume of complaints related to binary options fraud.[2] At the peak of concern, some European nations reported that binary options fraud accounted for as much as 25% of all fraud complaints received.[10] While operations target victims globally through online platforms, many fraudulent firms historically operated from specific geographical hubs, such as Israel, often exploiting regulatory loopholes or weak enforcement in those locations.[10]

B. Common Fraudulent Practices

Fraudulent binary options operators employ a range of deceptive and illegal tactics to defraud investors. Common practices reported to regulators and law enforcement include:

1. **Refusal to Credit Accounts or Reimburse Funds:** A frequent complaint involves platforms accepting client deposits but subsequently blocking or ignoring withdrawal requests. Operators may cancel withdrawal requests without justification, fail to respond to customer communications, invent prohibitive fees or conditions for withdrawal, or even freeze accounts entirely, sometimes falsely accusing the customer of fraud.[2]
2. **Identity Theft:** Representatives of fraudulent platforms may solicit sensitive personal information, such as copies of credit cards, passports, driver's licenses, or utility bills, often under the false pretense of regulatory requirements or account verification. This information is then potentially used for identity theft or other illicit purposes.[2]
3. **Manipulation of Trading Software and Pricing:** Some platforms are alleged to

manipulate their trading software or price feeds to artificially generate losing trades for customers. This can involve distorting asset prices displayed on the platform or manipulating the expiration parameters, for example, by extending the expiry time of a winning trade until market movement turns it into a loss.[2]

4. **False Advertising and Misrepresentation:** As detailed further in Section VI, fraudulent operators rely heavily on misleading advertising that promises unrealistic high returns with low risk. They may also misrepresent their location, regulatory status, or affiliations to gain trust.[20]
5. **Unauthorized Charges:** Instances have been reported where firms make unauthorized charges to clients' credit cards used for depositing funds.[42]
6. **"Reload" Scams:** A particularly predatory tactic involves re-victimizing individuals who have already lost money. Scammers (sometimes the original fraudsters, sometimes others who have acquired the victim's details) contact the victim claiming they can help recover the previously lost funds, but only after the victim pays an upfront fee (e.g., for taxes, legal costs, or transaction fees). Once the fee is paid, the scammers disappear again.[20]

C. Regulatory Warnings and Investor Alerts

The widespread nature of binary options fraud has prompted numerous financial regulators globally to issue specific warnings and investor alerts:

- **US (SEC & CFTC):** Have issued joint alerts detailing the common fraudulent schemes (fund refusal, identity theft, software manipulation), warning about unregistered platforms, and advising investors on how to check registration status through official databases (EDGAR, CFTC DCM list, NFA BASIC, FINRA BrokerCheck) and consult the CFTC's RED List.[2]
- **Europe (ESMA):** Justified its EU-wide prohibition by citing significant investor protection concerns arising from the product's complexity, lack of transparency, negative expected returns, conflicts of interest, and documented high loss rates among retail investors.[11]
- **UK (FCA):** Warns consumers that most binary options offers are likely scams, particularly those advertised on social media. Highlights risks of manipulation and refusal to pay funds. Mandated checking the Financial Services (FS) Register for authorized firms and banned the product for retail clients.[31]
- **Australia (ASIC):** Implemented and extended its ban based on findings of significant harm and high loss rates (74-77%) among retail clients trading binary options.[11]
- **Canada (CSA):** Identified binary options as the leading type of investment fraud facing Canadians, banned short-term binary options trading for individuals,

warned against offshore platforms, and directed investors to verify registration.[15]

- **Other Authorities:** Numerous other national (e.g., France AMF [58], Ireland CBI [32]) and regional (e.g., US state regulators like Missouri [42] and Ohio [34]) bodies have issued similar warnings and taken action against fraudulent binary options operations.

D. Implications of Pervasive Fraud

The sheer scale of the fraud, estimated at US$10 billion annually [11], the consistency of deceptive tactics employed across numerous platforms globally [2], the historical concentration of fraudulent operators in certain jurisdictions [11], and the dedicated focus of international law enforcement agencies like the FBI [10] collectively suggest that fraud is not merely an incidental risk within the unregulated binary options sector. Instead, it appears to be a deeply embedded, systemic feature, often operating with the characteristics of organized crime targeting financial consumers across borders.[11] The regulatory bans enacted in many major markets implicitly recognize this, concluding that the risk of fraud is often inseparable from the product itself as typically offered to retail investors.[15]

While regulators diligently advise investors to perform due diligence and check registration status [2], the operational sophistication of fraudulent entities presents a significant challenge. These operators often create highly professional-looking websites [10], use false addresses or VOIP phone numbers to mask their true locations [20], and aggressively market their services, making it extremely difficult for the average retail investor to reliably distinguish legitimate (and rare) regulated offerings from the multitude of fraudulent ones. Unregulated brokers, by definition, are not held to any particular standard of conduct or transparency.[1] The practical difficulty for consumers to navigate this landscape safely, coupled with the limited recourse available against offshore entities [15], underscores why many regulators have opted for outright bans—effectively acknowledging that placing the entire burden of due diligence on the individual consumer provides insufficient protection against such pervasive and predatory fraud.

VI. Marketing Practices and Transparency Concerns

The marketing strategies employed by binary options providers, particularly those operating in unregulated spaces, often contribute significantly to the risks faced by retail investors by promoting unrealistic expectations and obscuring the true nature of the product.

A. Analysis of Common Advertising Tactics

Several recurring tactics are evident in binary options marketing:

- **Emphasis on Simplicity and Accessibility:** Marketing materials consistently highlight the straightforward "yes/no" nature of the trades and the user-friendliness of the online platforms. This is designed to appeal to individuals with little or no prior trading experience, lowering the perceived barrier to entry.[5]
- **Promises of High and Quick Returns:** Advertisements frequently flaunt the potential for substantial profits within very short timeframes (e.g., "Earn up to 95% in 1 Hour!").[12] This appeals to the desire for quick financial gains and can create unrealistic expectations about potential profitability.
- **Downplaying or Omitting Risk:** A critical issue is the tendency for marketing to minimize, obscure, or completely omit the significant risks involved, especially the high probability of losing the entire invested amount on any given trade.[1] Claims that binary options are "low risk" are frequently cited as red flags by regulators and fraud awareness campaigns.[10]
- **Use of Bonuses and Promotions:** Many platforms offer incentives like welcome bonuses, deposit matching funds, or supposedly "risk-free" trades to attract new clients and encourage larger initial deposits.[1] However, these promotions often come with complex terms and conditions, such as high trading volume requirements, that make it very difficult or impossible to withdraw the bonus funds or even the initial deposit.[44]
- **Leveraging Educational Resources:** Some brokers provide educational materials like webinars, e-books, or tutorials.[9] While seemingly helpful, these resources can also serve as a marketing tool to build unwarranted trust and onboard users onto potentially risky platforms without providing sufficiently prominent or clear warnings about the dangers involved.
- **Exploitation of Behavioral Psychology:** Marketing tactics often exploit cognitive biases. Success stories (which may be fabricated or atypical) are highlighted, playing on optimism bias and the allure of quick wealth, while the high statistical likelihood of losses is downplayed or ignored.[20]

B. Use of Social Media and Aggressive Sales Techniques

Binary options providers have historically made extensive use of online channels to reach potential customers:

- **Online Advertising:** Aggressive advertising campaigns have been run across various platforms, including major social media networks (like Facebook, before bans were implemented [11]), search engines (Google, Bing), financial websites, message boards, and through spam email.[10]
- **Misleading Websites:** These advertisements often direct users to websites

designed to appear professional and legitimate, even if the underlying operation is fraudulent.[10]

- **Aggressive Sales:** Potential clients are often subjected to high-pressure sales tactics, typically via phone calls or online chats from individuals presenting themselves as brokers or account managers (often operating from offshore call centers).[20] Tactics include unsolicited contact, pressure to deposit funds quickly, and sometimes even financial threats.[20]

C. Assessment of Risk Disclosure and Transparency

A fundamental problem in the binary options market, especially among unregulated providers, is the profound lack of transparency and inadequate risk disclosure:

- **Lack of Transparency:** Key information is often obscured or misrepresented. This includes the true statistical odds of success (negative expected return), the inherent conflict of interest when the broker acts as the counterparty, the potential for platform manipulation, and the precise terms and conditions attached to bonuses or withdrawals.[30]
- **Insufficient Risk Warnings:** While some platforms might include generic risk disclaimers buried in fine print, these are frequently inadequate to convey the true level of risk. They are often overshadowed by prominent marketing messages emphasizing potential profits and ease of use.[31] This contrasts with regulated environments where standardized, prominent risk warnings, sometimes including specific loss percentages for the provider's clients, are mandated (as seen in ESMA's related CFD restrictions [48]). Such clear, standardized warnings are typically absent or misleading on unregulated binary options sites.
- **Misleading Simplicity:** The marketing focus on simplicity itself contributes to the lack of transparency. By presenting binary options as easy-to-understand wagers, the marketing distracts from the unfavorable mathematical probabilities and structural disadvantages that make sustained profitability highly unlikely for most retail traders.[24]

D. Implications of Marketing Practices

The marketing strategies commonly deployed in the binary options industry, particularly by unregulated entities, appear strategically designed to obscure the product's fundamental nature as a high-risk, negative-expectancy wager. By emphasizing simplicity, exaggerating the potential for rapid gains, and utilizing sophisticated online targeting methods, these campaigns effectively lure inexperienced or vulnerable individuals into a financially disadvantageous proposition.[9] The systematic downplaying or omission of risks [1], coupled with the exploitation of psychological biases through success narratives and bonus offers [20], suggests that the lack of transparent risk disclosure is not merely an oversight but rather a deliberate component of the customer acquisition strategy.

The decisive actions taken by regulators—specifically implementing bans on the *marketing* and *distribution* of binary options to retail clients [15] and, in related contexts like CFDs, mandating standardized risk warnings and banning incentive bonuses [48]—directly address these problematic promotional practices. This regulatory focus underscores the view that the misleading way these products are often sold is itself a primary driver of consumer harm, compounding the risks inherent in the product structure.[21]

VII. Conclusion: An Assessment of Safety

A. Synthesis of Findings

This report has examined the structure, regulation, risks, and marketing of binary options to assess their safety for retail investors. Key findings include:

- **Product Nature:** Binary options are simplified, fixed-outcome derivatives based on a yes/no proposition about future price movements within a set, often very short, timeframe. They function as speculative wagers rather than traditional investments and do not involve ownership of the underlying asset (Section II).
- **Regulatory Response:** A strong global consensus among financial regulators has led to widespread bans or severe restrictions on offering binary options to retail clients in major markets (North America, Europe, Australia). This response is driven by documented evidence of significant consumer harm, high loss rates, and pervasive fraud (Section III). The market is bifurcated between a small, regulated segment (mainly US exchanges) and a large, high-risk unregulated offshore segment.
- **Inherent Financial Risks:** The all-or-nothing payout structure creates unfavorable odds (negative expected return) for traders. The common practice of brokers acting as counterparties introduces significant conflicts of interest. The typically short expiry times encourage high-risk speculation and potentially addictive behavior (Section IV).
- **Pervasive Fraud:** The unregulated binary options sector is rife with fraudulent schemes, estimated to cause billions in annual losses globally. Common tactics include withholding funds, identity theft, and manipulating trading platforms. Multiple international law enforcement and regulatory bodies actively warn against these scams (Section V).
- **Misleading Marketing:** Marketing practices frequently emphasize simplicity and

high/quick returns while downplaying or omitting substantial risks. Aggressive online advertising and sales tactics target inexperienced investors, often lacking transparency about the product's true nature and the provider's potential conflicts of interest (Section VI).

B. Overall Verdict on Safety for Retail Investors

Based on the comprehensive analysis of regulatory actions, inherent product structure, documented investor outcomes, and the extreme prevalence of fraud, **binary options trading must be assessed as highly unsafe for the vast majority of retail investors.**

The risks associated with binary options are multi-dimensional and severe:

- **Financial Risk:** The structural bias (negative expected return due to payout asymmetry) makes sustained profitability highly improbable for most retail participants.
- **Counterparty Risk:** Engaging with unregulated offshore platforms carries an exceptionally high risk of the provider defaulting, refusing payouts, or engaging in outright fraud.
- **Operational Risk:** The potential for manipulation of prices or software by unscrupulous providers further disadvantages traders.
- **Regulatory Risk:** In many jurisdictions, engaging with unauthorized offshore platforms may violate local financial regulations (e.g., FEMA in India).

While trading binary options on the few strictly regulated exchanges available (primarily in the US) mitigates some of the counterparty and operational fraud risks, the fundamental financial structure remains. Even in a regulated environment, binary options are a very high-risk speculative activity with capped profit potential and a high probability of loss on each trade, making them unsuitable for typical investment objectives like wealth accumulation or retirement planning.

For the vast majority of binary options offerings encountered by retail investors—those promoted online by offshore, unregulated entities—the risks are deemed unacceptably high. The environment is predatory, characterized by misleading information, conflicts of interest, and a high likelihood of financial loss and potential fraud.

C. Recommendations for Individuals Encountering Binary Options

Individuals approached with or considering binary options trading should exercise extreme caution and adhere to the following recommendations:

1. **Assume High Risk and Skepticism:** Treat any offer, advertisement, or promotion related to binary options, especially unsolicited ones, with extreme skepticism. Recognize that these are high-risk products often associated with scams.
2. **Verify Regulation Rigorously:** If considering trading in a jurisdiction where it is legally permitted for retail clients, **only** use platforms explicitly registered and regulated by a reputable financial authority *within that specific jurisdiction* (e.g., CFTC/SEC in the US). Independently verify the platform's status on the official regulator's website.[2] Do not rely on claims made by the platform itself. Assume any platform whose registration cannot be officially verified is unregulated and potentially fraudulent.
3. **Understand the Product and Odds:** Before committing any capital, even on a regulated platform, ensure a full understanding of the all-or-nothing payout structure, the fixed expiry, the capped profit potential versus the potential for total loss of stake per trade, and the unfavorable odds (negative expected return). Recognize it as speculation, not traditional investing.
4. **Strictly Avoid Offshore, Unregulated Platforms:** Under no circumstances should individuals send money or provide personal information (including identification documents or credit card details) to offshore binary options platforms that are not demonstrably regulated by a major, reputable authority in their home jurisdiction. The risk of fraud, identity theft, and total loss of funds is exceptionally high, with virtually no possibility of recourse.
5. **Identify Marketing Red Flags:** Be acutely aware of common marketing tactics used by potentially fraudulent operators: promises of guaranteed, high, or easy returns; claims of low risk; pressure to invest quickly; excessive bonuses tied to deposits; and overly simplistic presentations that ignore complexities and downsides.[10] Treat these as strong indicators of potential scams.
6. **Consider Safer Alternatives:** Individuals seeking to invest or speculate in financial markets should explore more traditional, regulated instruments like stocks, bonds, mutual funds, ETFs, or even traditional options and regulated forex trading (with appropriate risk management). These markets generally offer greater transparency, better regulatory protection, and potentially more favorable risk/reward profiles for achieving diverse financial goals. Seeking advice from a qualified, independent financial advisor is recommended before engaging in any high-risk trading activity.

Works cited

1. Binary Option: Definition, How They Trade, and Example - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/binary-option.asp
2. CFTC/SEC Investor Alert: Binary Options and Fraud | CFTC, accessed on April 20,

2025,
https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/fraudadv_binaryoptions.html
3. Binary Options Strategies You Should Know - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/052014/binary-options-strategies.asp
4. Understanding Binary Options: A Beginner's Guide - Deeside.com, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.deeside.com/understanding-binary-options-a-beginners-guide/
5. Binary Options - Trading - Entities | International Finance Bank LTD, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.intfiba.com/entities/trading/binary-options/
6. Binary Options Trading: Risks, Benefits & Strategies | Bajaj Broking, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.bajajbroking.in/blog/binary-option-trading
7. Difference Between Binary Options vs Regular Vanilla Options and the Legality of Binary Options - Marketcalls, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.marketcalls.in/forex/difference-between-binary-options-vs-regular-vanilla-options-and-the-legality-of-binary-options.html
8. The Most Important Technical Indicators for Binary Options - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/022615/most-important-technical-indicators-binary-options.asp
9. How to Learn Binary Options Trading: A Comprehensive Guide - Ball State Daily, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.ballstatedaily.com/article/2024/01/how-to-learn-binary-options-trading-a-comprehensive-guide?ct=content_open&cv=cbox_latest
10. Binary Options Fraud - FBI, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.fbi.gov/news/stories/binary-options-fraud
11. Binary option - Wikipedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Binary_option
12. What Are Binary Options? The Key Risks And Rewards - Bankrate, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.bankrate.com/investing/what-are-binary-options/
13. A Guide to Trading Binary Options in the US - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/061114/guide-trading-binary-options-us.asp
14. Futures Glossary | CFTC, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/CFTCGlossary/index.htm
15. Canadian securities regulators announce ban on binary options | ASC, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.asc.ca/news-and-publications/news-releases/2018/10/canadian-securities-regulators-announce-ban-on-binary-options
16. CSA Multilateral Notice of Multilateral Instrument 91-102 Prohibition of Binary Options and Related Companion Policy - Financial and Consumer Affairs

Authority of Saskatchewan, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://fcaa.gov.sk.ca/public/CKeditorUpload/Securities/2017/September/91-102-mi-notice-of-mi-91-102-september-28-2017.pdf
17. CSA Multilateral Notice of Multilateral Instrument 91-102 Prohibition of Binary Options and Related Companion Policy - Ontario Securities Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.osc.ca/sites/default/files/pdfs/irps/csa_20170927_91-102_binary-options.pdf
18. Arbitrage Strategies With Binary Options - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/040115/arbitrage-strategies-binary-options.asp
19. Beyond the Hype: Risks and Regulations in Binary Options Trading - IndiaCorpLaw, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://indiacorplaw.in/2024/02/beyond-the-hype-risks-and-regulations-in-binary-options-trading.html
20. A Losing Bet: Binary Options - Canadian Securities Administrators, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.securities-administrators.ca/uploadedFiles/General/pdfs/CSA_BinaryOptionsFraud_EN_2017.pdf
21. CSA Multilateral Notice of Multilateral Instrument 91-102 Prohibition of Binary Options and Related Companion Policy - Ontario Securities Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.osc.ca/en/securities-law/instruments-rules-policies/9/91-102/csa-multilateral-notice-multilateral-instrument-91-102-prohibition-binary-options-and-related
22. Companion Policy 91-102 Prohibition of Binary Options Introduction - Alberta Securities Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.asc.ca/-/media/ASC-Documents-part-1/Regulatory-Instruments/2018/10/5348393-91-102-CP.pdf
23. Understanding Binary Options: A Simple Guide to Trading - Liquidity Provider, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://liquidity-provider.com/articles/what-are-binary-options-a-simple-guide-to-trading/
24. Basics of Binary Trading for Beginners - Matter, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://matterapp.com/blog/basics-of-binary-trading-for-beginners
25. Trading Forex With Binary Options - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/forex/022415/trading-forex-binary-options.asp
26. Taking a directional view on BTC price movements with binary or one-touch options, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://wintermute.com/taking-a-directional-view-on-btc-price-movements-with-binary-or-one-touch-options
27. Are Binary Options vs. Classic Options The Same Things?, accessed on April 20, 2025,

https://www.globalbankingandfinance.com/are-binary-options-vs-classic-options-the-same-things
28. Trade Forex with Binary Options - Nadex, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.nadex.com/learning/understand-forex-trading-with-binary-options/
29. What are Binary Options? - Financial Commission, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://financialcommission.org/what-are-binary-options/
30. A Beginner's Guide to Binary Options Trading - TIOmarkets, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://tiomarkets.com/en/article/a-beginner-s-guide-to-binary-options-trading
31. Consumer warning about the risks of investing in binary options | FCA, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.fca.org.uk/news/news-stories/consumer-warning-about-risks-investing-binary-options
32. Central Bank of Ireland Binary Options Intervention Measure pursuant to Article 42 of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.centralbank.ie/docs/default-source/Regulation/industry-market-sectors/investment-firms/mifid-firms/regulatory-requirements-and-guidance/central-bank-binary-options-intervention-measure.pdf
33. Binary Options Trading vs. Day Trading: Key Differences - Fortunly, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://fortunly.com/articles/binary-trading-vs-day-trading/
34. BINARY OPTIONS: BUYER BEWARE - Ohio.gov, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://dam.assets.ohio.gov/image/upload/com.ohio.gov/documents/secu_Bulletin2015SecondQuarter.pdf
35. www.fca.org.uk, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/impact-assessments/product-intervention-retail-binary-options.pdf
36. Understanding Binary Trading: A Beginner's Guide - StoxBox, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://stoxbox.in/financial-news-hotbox/binary-trading-guide
37. Product intervention measures for retail binary options Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) RPC rating: validated - GOV.UK, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5d1df9c340f0b609f39009e5/RPC-4371_1_-HMT-FCA_-_product_intervention_measures_for_retail_binary_options_-_IA_f__opinion.pdf
38. 22-243MR ASIC's binary options ban extended until 2031 | ASIC, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://asic.gov.au/about-asic/news-centre/find-a-media-release/2022-releases/22-243mr-asic-s-binary-options-ban-extended-until-2031/
39. Trading Binary Options: Strategies and Tactics: Cofnas, Abe, Wiggin, Addison - Amazon.com, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.amazon.com/Trading-Binary-Options-Strategies-Tactics/dp/0470952849
40. Beware of Off-Exchange Binary Options Trades | CFTC, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.cftc.gov/LearnAndProtect/AdvisoriesAndArticles/beware_of_off_exc

hange_binary_options.htm
41. Are Binary Options Legal? | Nadex, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.nadex.com/blog/are-binary-options-legal-in-the-us/
42. Beware of Online Binary Options Schemes, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.sos.mo.gov/bewareofonlinebinaryoptionsschemes
43. What Are Binary Options: Definition, How Do They Work, and Example | LiteFinance, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.litefinance.org/blog/for-beginners/what-are-binary-options/
44. The Principles of Binary Options Marketing: A Closer Look at Broker Strategies, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://creativepool.com/magazine/industry/the-principles-of-binary-options-marketing-a-closer-look-at-broker-strategies.30413
45. Exposing Binary Options Trading (with DATA), accessed on April 20, 2025, https://tradingrush.net/exposing-binary-options-trading-with-data/
46. Binary options scams | FCA, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.fca.org.uk/consumers/binary-options-scams
47. The end of CFDs and Binary Options? – Why the EU has put a stop & what comes next, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.planetcompliance.com/news/the-end-of-cfds-and-binary-options-why-the-eu-has-put-a-stop-what-comes-next/
48. ESMA agrees to prohibit binary options and restrict CFDs to protect retail investors, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-agrees-prohibit-binary-options-and-restrict-cfds-protect-retail-investors
49. Compliance Corner: UK Bans Binary Options For Retail Investors - Family Wealth Report, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.familywealthreport.com/article.php/Compliance-Corner:-UK-Bans-Binary-Options-For-Retail-Investors-
50. Beware the Binary Options Scam | Blockchain Intelligence Reveals Network of Vietnamese Operators - TRM Labs, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.trmlabs.com/post/beware-the-binary-options-scam
51. Binary options and CFDs: the AMF adopts national intervention measures - Autorité des marchés financiers, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.amf-france.org/en/news-publications/news-releases/amf-news-releases/binary-options-and-cfds-amf-adopts-national-intervention-measures
52. UK FCA Charges Four Individuals with £1.2M Binary Options Fraud - Finance Magnates, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.financemagnates.com/binary-options/uk-fca-charges-four-persons-in-12m-binary-options-fraud/
53. Derivatives, Legislative and Regulatory Weekly Update (February 21, 2025) - Gibson Dunn, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.gibsondunn.com/derivatives-legislative-and-regulatory-weekly-update-february-21-2025/
54. ESMA renews binary options prohibition for a further three months from 2 April 2019, accessed on April 20, 2025,

https://www.esma.europa.eu/press-news/esma-news/esma-renews-binary-options-prohibition-further-three-months-2-april-2019
55. Binary option ban extended, subject to certain exclusions - Taylor Wessing, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.taylorwessing.com/en/insights-and-events/insights/2018/09/binary-option-ban-extended-subject-to-certain-exclusions
56. ESMA decision to renew ban on marketing, distribution or sale of binary options enters into force | Global Regulation Tomorrow, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.regulationtomorrow.com/eu/esma-decision-to-renew-ban-on-marketing-distribution-or-sale-of-binary-options-enters-into-force/
57. UK Financial Conduct Authority Implements Permanent Ban of Sale of Binary Options to Retail Consumers - A&O Shearman | FinReg, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://finreg.aoshearman.com/UK-Financial-Conduct-Authority-Implements-Permane
58. Forex, binary options and online financial scams: the AMF, the Paris public prosecutor, consumer affairs watchdog DGCCRF, and prudential authority ACPR joined forces to eradicate the problem, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.amf-france.org/en/news-publications/news-releases/amf-news-releases/forex-binary-options-and-online-financial-scams-amf-paris-public-prosecutor-consumer-affairs
59. ASIC's binary options ban extended until 2031 - Consumers' Federation of Australia, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://consumersfederation.org.au/asics-binary-options-ban-extended-until-2031/
60. ASIC extends binary options ban - Money Management, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.moneymanagement.com.au/news/policy-regulation/asic-extends-binary-options-ban
61. Australian regulator extends binary options ban to 2031 | Investment Executive, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.investmentexecutive.com/news/from-the-regulators/australian-regulator-extends-binary-options-ban-to-2031/
62. ASIC Extends Binary Options Ban until October 2031 - Finance Magnates, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.financemagnates.com/binary-options/regulation/asic-extends-binary-options-ban-until-october-2031/
63. ASIC's Binary Options Ban Extended - LeapRate, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.leaprate.com/binary-options/regulation/asic-binary-options-ban-extended/
64. FBI places public warning against 'Binary Options Fraud' at top of its main website, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.timesofisrael.com/fbi-places-public-warning-against-binary-options-fraud-at-top-of-its-main-website/
65. Forex & Binary Trading - Faisal Khan, accessed on April 20, 2025,

https://faisalkhan.com/knowledge-center/essays/forex-binary-trading/
66. FBI arrests Israeli binary options CEO as she disembarks El Al flight at JFK, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.timesofisrael.com/fbi-arrests-israeli-binary-options-ceo-as-she-disembarks-el-al-flight-at-jfk/
67. Amid police inaction on binary options fraud, FBI pursues suspects in Israel, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.timesofisrael.com/amid-police-inaction-on-binary-options-fraud-fbi-pursues-suspects-in-israel/
68. Don't let the lobbyists derail the law to ban binary options | The Times of Israel, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.timesofisrael.com/dont-let-the-lobbyists-derail-the-law-to-ban-binary-options/
69. As Israel-based financial fraud soars, police swoop on 20 suspects as part of global, FBI-led sting, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.timesofisrael.com/as-israel-based-financial-fraud-soars-police-swoop-on-20-suspects-as-part-of-global-fbi-led-sting/
70. Binary Options | TBIJ, accessed on April 20, 2025, https://www.thebureauinvestigates.com/projects/binary-options