

# The Legality and Regulatory Framework of Algorithmic Trading in India

## Executive Summary

Algorithmic trading, commonly referred to as bot trading or automated trading, is legal within the Indian financial markets. However, its operation is subject to a complex and evolving regulatory landscape overseen primarily by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) for securities and commodity derivatives, and the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) for foreign exchange (Forex) transactions. A significant development is SEBI's comprehensive regulatory framework, detailed in Circular SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD/P/CIR/2025/0000013 dated February 4, 2025, which is set to be fully implemented from August 1, 2025.<sup>1</sup> This framework specifically addresses the growing participation of retail investors, aiming to enhance safety, transparency, and accountability while establishing clear responsibilities for brokers, exchanges, and algorithmic trading solution providers ('algo providers'). The legality and applicable rules vary significantly across asset classes, with distinct regulations governing equities, derivatives, commodities, Forex, and cryptocurrencies. Notably, algorithmic trading in cryptocurrencies currently operates outside the direct purview of SEBI and RBI's trading regulations, existing in a largely unregulated space from a market conduct perspective, though subject to specific taxation rules. Navigating this environment requires a thorough understanding of the specific rules applicable to the investor type, the asset class being traded, and the nature of the algorithms employed.

related posts : [Best Binary Options Brokers \(in 2025\)](#)

## I. Understanding Algorithmic Trading in India

### A. Definition and Scope

Algorithmic trading, often shortened to 'algo trading', refers to the practice of using computer programs to execute trading orders automatically based on predefined instructions or strategies.<sup>4</sup> These strategies utilize various inputs such as price movements, trading volume, timing parameters, mathematical models, and technical indicators like Moving Averages, Relative Strength Index (RSI), Bollinger Bands, and Moving Average Convergence Divergence (MACD) to make trading decisions without direct human intervention.<sup>1</sup> The core objectives of employing algorithmic trading are to eliminate emotional biases inherent in manual trading, enhance the speed and efficiency of order execution, minimize human errors, and capitalize on market

inefficiencies or fleeting trading opportunities that may be difficult for human traders to capture.<sup>4</sup>

SEBI, the primary regulator for India's securities market, formally defines algorithmic trading as "any order that is generated using automated execution logic".<sup>11</sup> This definition encompasses a wide range of automated trading activities, from simple rule-based order placement to complex high-frequency trading strategies.

## **B. Evolution and Market Context**

The journey of algorithmic trading in India began formally in 2008 when SEBI permitted institutional investors to utilize Direct Market Access (DMA).<sup>1</sup> This facility allowed institutional clients to place orders directly onto the stock exchange trading systems through their broker's infrastructure, paving the way for faster execution. Initially, the domain of algo trading was largely confined to institutional players, such as hedge funds, foreign portfolio investors (FPIs), and proprietary trading desks, often employing high-frequency trading (HFT) techniques.<sup>1</sup> The introduction of co-location facilities by exchanges like the National Stock Exchange (NSE) in 2010 further facilitated the growth of HFT by allowing participants to place their servers within the exchange premises, minimizing latency.<sup>13</sup>

A significant shift occurred with the proliferation of Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) provided by stockbrokers.<sup>7</sup> These APIs allowed retail investors and third-party developers to connect their own software and algorithms directly to the brokers' trading platforms, enabling automated order placement and strategy execution for a much broader audience.<sup>5</sup> This technological enablement led to a surge in retail participation in algorithmic trading.<sup>5</sup>

The substantial impact and profitability of algorithmic trading, particularly for institutional investors, became evident. Studies cited by SEBI indicated that in Fiscal Year 2024, algorithmic trading accounted for approximately 97% of FPI profits and 96% of proprietary trader profits in the Futures & Options (F&O) segment.<sup>1</sup> This stark figure highlighted the potential advantages conferred by automated strategies and underscored the growing disparity between institutional capabilities and retail access. This disparity, coupled with the rise of unregulated third-party platforms offering algorithmic solutions to retail investors<sup>14</sup>, prompted SEBI to develop a more structured regulatory framework aimed at ensuring safer participation, managing risks, and attempting to create greater parity, or at least a controlled environment, for retail investors engaging in algorithmic trading.<sup>7</sup> The evolution from exclusive institutional access to wider, albeit regulated, retail participation reflects a significant

democratization trend, but one that necessitates robust oversight to protect investors and maintain market integrity.

### C. Common Strategies and Technologies

Algorithmic trading encompasses a diverse range of strategies, broadly distinguishable by their trading frequency and underlying logic:

- **Low-Frequency Trading (LFT):** These strategies typically involve holding positions for longer durations (hours, days, or longer) and execute fewer trades compared to HFT. Common LFT strategies include:
  - *Trend Following:* Identifying and capitalizing on established market trends using indicators like moving averages or momentum oscillators.<sup>8</sup>
  - *Mean Reversion:* Based on the assumption that prices will revert to their historical averages, these strategies identify potentially overbought or oversold assets.<sup>8</sup>
  - *Arbitrage:* Exploiting price discrepancies of the same asset in different markets or related assets (e.g., statistical arbitrage between correlated stocks or between cash and futures markets).<sup>8</sup>
- **High-Frequency Trading (HFT):** HFT is a subset of algorithmic trading characterized by extremely short holding periods (milliseconds or seconds), high portfolio turnover, high order-to-trade ratios (OTR), and sensitivity to latency.<sup>8</sup> HFT strategies often require sophisticated infrastructure, including co-location services. Examples include:
  - *Market Making:* Placing simultaneous buy and sell orders to profit from the bid-ask spread, providing liquidity to the market.<sup>8</sup>
  - *Latency Arbitrage:* Exploiting minute speed advantages to capitalize on price differences across exchanges or data feeds.<sup>9</sup>
  - *Statistical Arbitrage:* Using complex statistical models to identify and trade on short-term pricing anomalies.<sup>8</sup>

The technological backbone for most modern algorithmic trading, especially for retail participants, involves **Application Programming Interfaces (APIs)**.<sup>7</sup> APIs act as messengers, allowing a trader's algorithm (often written in languages like Python or Java) to communicate directly with their broker's trading system. Different types of APIs cater to varying needs: REST APIs are often used for lower-frequency trading, WebSocket APIs provide real-time data streaming crucial for faster strategies, and FIX APIs are typically standard for institutional high-frequency trading.<sup>7</sup> These APIs facilitate real-time market data access, automated order placement based on algorithmic logic, high-speed execution, and portfolio management functions.<sup>7</sup>

Emerging technologies like Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) are increasingly being integrated to enhance the predictive accuracy of trading algorithms and adapt strategies to changing market conditions.<sup>5</sup> Cloud-based trading platforms offer flexibility, while blockchain technology is explored for potential applications in enhancing trade execution transparency and security.<sup>8</sup>

## **II. Regulatory Authorities Governing Trading**

The regulation of trading activities in India, including algorithmic trading, involves primarily two key authorities, SEBI and RBI, each with distinct domains of oversight.

### **A. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)**

SEBI is the apex statutory body responsible for regulating the securities market in India.<sup>21</sup> Established under the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, SEBI's mandate is multifaceted.<sup>25</sup> Its core objectives are to protect the interests of investors in securities, promote the development of the securities market, and regulate the market and its participants.<sup>22</sup>

SEBI exercises comprehensive oversight over various market segments, including equities, equity derivatives, and commodity derivatives traded on recognized stock exchanges like the National Stock Exchange (NSE) and the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE).<sup>5</sup> It regulates a wide array of market intermediaries such as stockbrokers, sub-brokers, depositories (NSDL, CDSL), depository participants, asset management companies (AMCs) running mutual funds, portfolio managers (PMS), credit rating agencies, investment advisers, and research analysts.<sup>24</sup>

SEBI possesses significant powers, including the authority to frame regulations, conduct investigations and inspections, issue directions, and impose penalties for violations such as insider trading, market manipulation, and fraudulent or unfair trade practices.<sup>22</sup> Its official website, [www.sebi.gov.in](http://www.sebi.gov.in), serves as the primary source for regulations, circulars, and other official communications.<sup>21</sup> SEBI's regulations form the bedrock of the legal framework for algorithmic trading in the securities and commodity derivatives markets.

### **B. Reserve Bank of India (RBI)**

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) functions as India's central bank and is the primary regulator for the foreign exchange market under the provisions of the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA).<sup>23</sup> RBI's responsibilities include managing the country's foreign exchange reserves, formulating and implementing monetary

policy, regulating and supervising the banking system, and overseeing payment and settlement systems.<sup>23</sup>

In the context of trading, RBI's crucial role lies in regulating forex transactions.<sup>36</sup> It designates 'authorised persons' (primarily banks and authorized money changers) permitted to deal in foreign exchange.<sup>36</sup> FEMA regulations stipulate that resident individuals can only undertake forex transactions with authorized persons and for purposes explicitly permitted under the Act.<sup>36</sup> For retail forex trading on exchanges, RBI regulations restrict transactions to specific currency pairs involving the Indian Rupee (INR), namely USD/INR, EUR/INR, GBP/INR, and JPY/INR.<sup>35</sup> Engaging in forex transactions involving other currency pairs or using unauthorized electronic trading platforms (ETPs) is prohibited for resident Indians and can attract penalties under FEMA.<sup>36</sup> RBI maintains an "Alert List" of entities not authorized to deal in forex or operate ETPs.<sup>36</sup>

### **C. Interplay between Regulators**

While SEBI and RBI have distinct primary domains, their regulatory functions intersect, particularly concerning certain financial instruments. SEBI is the undisputed regulator for algorithmic trading activities conducted in the equity, equity derivatives, and commodity derivatives segments listed on Indian stock exchanges.<sup>24</sup>

However, for exchange-traded currency derivatives, the regulatory landscape involves both authorities. RBI governs the underlying foreign exchange market, dictates permissible currency pairs for trading by residents, regulates authorized dealers, and sets rules under FEMA concerning forex transactions, including restrictions on speculative activities and the use of ETPs.<sup>34</sup> SEBI, concurrently, regulates the exchanges where these currency derivatives are traded and oversees market conduct, broker operations, and specific trading mechanisms, including algorithmic trading rules applicable to these exchange-traded products.<sup>34</sup>

This dual oversight necessitates that participants engaging in algorithmic trading of currency derivatives comply with both RBI's FEMA regulations regarding the underlying currency transactions and SEBI's rules concerning market operations and algorithmic trading protocols. This bifurcated structure means the legality and specific rules governing bot trading are not uniform across all markets; they depend critically on the asset class. Strategies involving multiple asset types, such as those combining equity trades with forex derivative hedges, face a heightened compliance burden, requiring adherence to potentially differing requirements from both SEBI and RBI. Navigating potential overlaps or gaps in jurisdiction can also present challenges

for market participants and regulators alike.<sup>13</sup>

### III. SEBI's Comprehensive Framework for Algorithmic Trading (Effective August 1, 2025)

Recognizing the increasing retail participation and associated risks, SEBI has established a detailed framework to govern algorithmic trading, particularly focusing on retail investors using APIs. This framework, primarily outlined in Circular No. SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD/P/CIR/2025/0000013 dated February 4, 2025<sup>1</sup>, aims to create a safer, more transparent, and accountable ecosystem.

#### A. Analysis of Circular SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD/P/CIR/2025/0000013

This circular serves as the cornerstone of the current regulatory approach to retail algorithmic trading. Its stated objective is to facilitate "safer participation of retail investors in Algorithmic trading" by establishing clear roles, responsibilities, and risk management protocols for all stakeholders – investors, brokers, algo providers, and exchanges.<sup>1</sup> The framework aims to prevent market manipulation, enhance transparency through better tracking of algo orders, ensure fair access, improve security against unauthorized trading, and establish robust grievance redressal mechanisms.<sup>1</sup> The detailed implementation standards were to be formulated by the Brokers' Industry Standards Forum by April 1, 2025 (though an extension was granted<sup>40</sup>), with the framework becoming fully effective from August 1, 2025.<sup>1</sup>

#### B. Regulation of API Access

The framework places significant emphasis on controlling how algorithms connect to the market via APIs:

- **Broker as Principal:** Brokers are designated as the principal entity when providing API access for algorithmic trading.<sup>14</sup> Any third-party algo provider using the broker's API acts as the broker's agent.<sup>14</sup>
- **No Open APIs:** The framework explicitly prohibits the use of open APIs, which could potentially allow less controlled access.<sup>1</sup>
- **Secure Access Keys:** Access must be granted only through unique vendor/client-specific API keys provided by the broker.<sup>1</sup>
- **Authentication Standards:** Brokers are mandated to implement robust authentication protocols. Specifically, OAuth-based authentication combined with two-factor authentication (2FA) is required.<sup>1</sup> Other authentication methods are disallowed.<sup>14</sup>
- **Static IP Whitelisting:** To further enhance security and traceability, retail



investors using APIs for algo trading must use a static IP address, which needs to be whitelisted by their broker.<sup>3</sup> This measure helps prevent unauthorized access and the misuse of accounts by unregistered entities managing multiple accounts.<sup>5</sup>

### C. Broker Obligations

The framework places significant responsibilities on stockbrokers to act as gatekeepers and ensure compliance:

- **Algo Approval:** Brokers must obtain prior approval from the relevant stock exchange for every algorithmic trading strategy they offer or permit clients to use via their APIs.<sup>1</sup> Any subsequent modification to an approved algorithm requires fresh exchange approval.<sup>1</sup>
- **Unique Order Tagging:** Brokers are responsible for ensuring that all orders generated by algorithms are tagged with a unique identifier (Algo ID) provided by the stock exchange. This is crucial for creating a verifiable audit trail and enabling effective monitoring by exchanges and regulators.<sup>1</sup>
- **Risk Management and Monitoring:** Brokers must implement adequate pre-trade risk controls for all algo orders.<sup>7</sup> They are required to have systems to monitor API activity, detect orders potentially exceeding defined thresholds (see Section IV.A), and prevent prohibited activities.<sup>2</sup>
- **Grievance Redressal:** Brokers bear the sole responsibility for handling all investor complaints and grievances related to algorithmic trading facilitated through their platforms or APIs.<sup>2</sup>
- **Algo Provider Due Diligence:** Before onboarding any third-party algo provider and granting them API access, brokers must conduct thorough due diligence to ensure the provider is empaneled with the exchange and meets necessary standards.<sup>18</sup>
- **System Audits:** Brokers' systems related to algorithmic trading are subject to periodic system audits (e.g., half-yearly for those providing algo facilities) to ensure compliance with SEBI and exchange requirements.<sup>7</sup>

### D. Algo Provider Requirements

Entities (often fintech companies or individual developers) that provide algorithmic trading strategies or platforms to investors via broker APIs face specific requirements:

- **Exchange Empanelment:** Algo providers are not directly regulated by SEBI but must be empaneled with the stock exchanges before brokers can onboard them or offer their services.<sup>1</sup> The specific eligibility criteria and process for empanelment are to be defined by the exchanges.<sup>18</sup> This requirement aims to

bring these entities under indirect regulatory oversight.

- **Broker Agency:** As mentioned, when using a broker's API, the algo provider is considered an agent of the broker.<sup>14</sup>
- **Fee Transparency:** All fees and charges associated with using the algo provider's services (e.g., subscription fees) must be clearly and completely disclosed to the client.<sup>2</sup> Brokers are permitted to have revenue-sharing arrangements with providers, but must manage potential conflicts of interest and ensure transparency.<sup>17</sup>

## E. Algorithm Classification and Implications

A key aspect of the framework is the categorization of algorithms, which carries significant regulatory consequences:

- **White Box Algorithms:** These are defined as execution algorithms where the underlying logic is transparent, disclosed, and potentially replicable by the user.<sup>1</sup> These algorithms, once registered with the exchange, can generally be offered to traders.<sup>20</sup>
- **Black Box Algorithms:** These algorithms operate based on proprietary logic that is *not* disclosed to the end-user.<sup>1</sup> Due to their opaque nature, providers offering Black Box algorithms face a much higher regulatory hurdle: they **must** register with SEBI as a **Research Analyst (RA)**.<sup>1</sup> This entails complying with all SEBI (Research Analysts) Regulations, including maintaining detailed research reports justifying the logic and functionality of the algorithm, and seeking fresh registration/approval if the underlying logic is significantly modified.<sup>2</sup>

This distinction reflects a regulatory approach that demands greater transparency and accountability for strategies whose inner workings are hidden from users and potentially regulators.

## F. Unique Identifiers and Audit Trail Mechanisms

The mandate for a unique identifier (Algo ID) assigned by the stock exchange for every single order generated by an algorithm is a critical component of the framework.<sup>1</sup> This tagging requirement applies to all algo orders, whether from institutional investors, retail investors using provider algos, or retail investors using self-developed algos (especially those exceeding the threshold). The primary purpose is to enable robust monitoring, surveillance, and the creation of a clear audit trail, allowing regulators and exchanges to track the origin and behaviour of automated orders and investigate any potential irregularities or manipulative activities.<sup>1</sup>



The stringent requirements imposed on brokers (acting as principals with significant liability for monitoring, approvals, and grievances <sup>9)</sup> and algo providers (requiring exchange empanelment and, for black box strategies, a demanding RA license <sup>9)</sup>) are a direct consequence of the perceived risks associated with previously unregulated retail algorithmic trading platforms.<sup>14</sup> While aimed at enhancing safety, this regulatory structure significantly increases the compliance burden and operational costs for these intermediaries.<sup>42</sup> This shift could potentially favour larger, well-resourced brokerage firms and established algo providers over smaller fintech startups or individual developers, potentially impacting market structure and the diversity of available solutions.

Furthermore, the decision to ban open APIs <sup>1</sup> and impose the RA registration requirement for black box algorithms <sup>3</sup> – often the locus of proprietary innovation – may inadvertently dampen the pace of technological advancement in the retail algo trading space.<sup>42</sup> While control and risk mitigation are paramount, these restrictions could limit the flexibility and experimentation that often drive innovation in financial technology, creating a potential tension between regulatory caution and market development. The framework establishes a clear hierarchy of oversight: Exchanges supervise brokers and empanel providers; brokers act as principals overseeing the activities of algo providers (their agents) and are directly accountable for retail client interactions and grievances.<sup>14</sup> This structured relationship is designed to ensure accountability flows upward, providing regulators with clear points of contact and responsibility within the ecosystem.

**Table 1: Summary of Key SEBI Algo Trading Provisions (Effective Aug 1, 2025)**

Provision Area	Key Requirement(s)	Primary Responsibility	Supporting References
API Security	No Open APIs; Unique Vendor/Client Keys; OAuth + 2FA Authentication; Static IP Whitelisting for Retail	Broker	<sup>1</sup>
Broker Responsibility - Algo Approval	Prior Exchange Approval required for each Algo offered/used; Fresh	Broker / Exchange	<sup>1</sup>

	approval for modifications		
<b>Broker Responsibility - Monitoring</b>	Tag all Algo Orders with Unique ID; Monitor API Activity; Pre-Trade Risk Checks; Detect Orders above Threshold	Broker / Exchange	1
<b>Broker Responsibility - Grievance</b>	Sole responsibility for handling investor complaints related to Algo Trading	Broker	7
<b>Algo Provider - Empanelment</b>	Mandatory Empanelment with Exchanges required before Broker onboarding	Algo Provider / Exchange / Broker	1
<b>Algo Provider - Black Box</b>	Must obtain SEBI Research Analyst (RA) License; Comply with RA regulations (reports, etc.)	Algo Provider / SEBI	5
<b>Retail Investor - Self-Developed Algo</b>	Must Register with Exchange (via Broker) <i>only if</i> Order Per Second (OPS) threshold is exceeded	Retail Investor / Broker / Exchange	1
<b>Retail Investor - Algo Sharing</b>	Self-developed registered algos shareable <i>only</i> with immediate family members	Retail Investor	1

#### IV. Differentiated Regulations: Retail vs. Institutional Investors

SEBI's regulatory framework acknowledges the different characteristics, capabilities,

and risk profiles of retail and institutional investors, leading to differentiated rules and access mechanisms for algorithmic trading.

## A. Retail Investor Access and Rules

The 2025 framework explicitly permits and regulates retail investor participation in algorithmic trading, moving away from a previously ambiguous or unregulated environment for API-based automation.<sup>1</sup> Key rules governing retail access include:

- **API Usage Threshold:** Retail investors can use broker-provided APIs to automate their trading strategies without needing to register the specific algorithm, *provided* their order placement frequency remains below a certain threshold (measured in orders per second, or OPS).<sup>3</sup> This threshold is to be defined by the stock exchanges (NSE, BSE) and, as of early 2025, had not yet been finalized, creating a point of uncertainty for traders.<sup>3</sup> Use of APIs mandates whitelisting a static IP address with the broker.<sup>3</sup>
- **Self-Developed Algos:** If a retail investor develops their own algorithm and its usage exceeds the exchange-prescribed OPS threshold, they are required to register that specific algorithm with the exchange through their broker.<sup>1</sup> This registration requirement targets higher-frequency retail strategies, bringing them under closer regulatory scrutiny.
- **Algo Sharing Restrictions:** Once registered, a self-developed retail algorithm can only be used for the investor's own account and the accounts of their immediate family members (defined as self, spouse, dependent children, and dependent parents).<sup>1</sup> Sharing or selling these algorithms to unrelated third parties, particularly through marketplaces for a fee, is prohibited unless the individual or platform complies with the full algo provider requirements, including exchange empanelment and potentially an RA license.<sup>3</sup> This effectively curtails informal algo-sharing networks and pushes commercial algo provision into the regulated framework.
- **Using Third-Party Algos:** Retail investors wishing to use algorithms developed by others must ensure they are sourced from providers who are empaneled with the stock exchanges and offered through a registered broker who has obtained exchange approval for that specific algorithm.<sup>2</sup>

## B. Institutional Investor Framework (Brief Overview)

Institutional investors (like FPIs, mutual funds, insurance companies, proprietary trading firms) have operated under a more established, albeit evolving, regulatory framework for algorithmic trading for a longer period. Key features include:

- **Direct Market Access (DMA):** Permitted since 2008, allowing direct order routing to exchanges.<sup>1</sup>
- **Co-location Services:** Access to exchange co-location facilities for minimizing latency, subject to SEBI guidelines ensuring fair access.<sup>11</sup>
- **Existing Algo Guidelines:** Subject to earlier SEBI circulars on algorithmic trading, risk management, and system audits.<sup>11</sup>
- **Strategy Complexity:** Institutional players typically deploy more sophisticated, often high-frequency and latency-sensitive, trading strategies compared to the assumed profile of most retail algo users.<sup>11</sup>

### C. Bridging the Gap: Intent vs. Reality of Parity

SEBI has expressed the intention behind the new framework as promoting trading parity or creating a more level playing field between institutional and retail investors.<sup>7</sup> By providing a formal, regulated route for retail participation in algorithmic trading, the framework aims to grant access to tools previously dominated by institutions.

However, achieving true parity remains challenging. Institutional investors retain significant advantages in terms of capital, access to sophisticated technology (including co-location, which remains expensive<sup>50</sup>), research capabilities, and potentially higher operational thresholds.<sup>15</sup> While the new framework grants retail investors formal access, it simultaneously imposes layers of oversight and compliance – broker mediation, API restrictions, provider empanelment, potential registration based on order frequency – that are not identical to the institutional framework.<sup>2</sup> These measures, designed to protect retail investors and manage systemic risk, implicitly acknowledge a difference in capability and risk profile. Therefore, the framework might be better understood as creating a *tiered* regulatory system that facilitates controlled retail access, rather than one achieving absolute parity with institutional capabilities and freedoms. The introduction of the yet-to-be-defined "order per second threshold"<sup>3</sup> is a critical differentiator within the retail segment itself, separating casual users from those deemed active enough to warrant registration, but the lack of a defined number currently creates significant uncertainty for retail traders and developers.

### V. Compliance, Approvals, and Enforcement

The operationalization of SEBI's algorithmic trading framework relies on a multi-layered system of compliance checks, approvals, monitoring, and enforcement actions.

## A. Required Registrations and Approvals (Summary Checklist)

Compliance necessitates adhering to several key registration and approval mandates:

1. **Broker Approval per Algorithm:** Brokers must secure exchange approval for each specific algorithm offered or used via their platform.<sup>7</sup>
2. **Algo Provider Empanelment:** Third-party algo providers must be empaneled with the stock exchanges.<sup>8</sup>
3. **Research Analyst (RA) License:** Providers of Black Box algorithms must obtain an RA license from SEBI.<sup>20</sup>
4. **Retail Algo Registration (Conditional):** Retail investors must register self-developed algorithms via their broker if usage exceeds the exchange-defined OPS threshold.<sup>1</sup>

## B. Monitoring Mechanisms

Continuous monitoring is essential for the framework's integrity:

- **Exchange Surveillance:** Stock exchanges are tasked with overall supervision. This includes monitoring post-trade activities using data from the unique Algo IDs<sup>1</sup>, establishing Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for algorithm testing and simulation<sup>2</sup>, and possessing the capability to deploy "kill switches" – emergency functions to halt trading activity from specific Algo IDs deemed problematic or potentially disruptive.<sup>1</sup>
- **Broker Systems:** Brokers must implement systems to monitor API usage, enforce risk controls (like pre-trade checks), detect orders exceeding the OPS threshold, categorize them as algo orders, maintain records, and report relevant data to regulators.<sup>2</sup>
- **System Audits:** Regular system audits of brokers' algorithmic trading infrastructure are mandated to verify compliance with technological and procedural requirements.<sup>7</sup>

## C. Consequences of Non-Compliance

Failure to adhere to the regulatory framework can lead to significant penalties for various market participants:

- **For Traders/Providers:** Non-compliance can result in actions such as trading account suspension, imposition of monetary penalties, or even blacklisting from trading on the exchanges.<sup>5</sup>
- **For Brokers/Unregistered Providers:** Brokers facilitating non-compliant activities or algo providers operating without necessary empanelment/registration

can face regulatory actions from SEBI and/or the exchanges.<sup>5</sup>

The effectiveness of this entire compliance and enforcement structure hinges significantly on the technological capabilities of the exchanges and brokers, as well as the precise definitions and protocols yet to be finalized, such as the OPS threshold and the specific triggers and governance around the use of kill switches.<sup>1</sup> Concerns exist regarding the potential for arbitrary application of measures like the kill switch, which could unfairly disadvantage traders.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the multi-layered approval and monitoring process (Exchange -> Broker -> Provider/Investor), while designed for robustness, introduces potential bottlenecks and delays, such as in getting algorithms approved by brokers or exchanges, which could hinder timely strategy deployment.<sup>5</sup>

## **VI. Legality Across Different Asset Classes**

The legal status and regulatory requirements for algorithmic trading in India are not uniform; they vary considerably depending on the asset class being traded.

### **A. Equities and Equity Derivatives**

Algorithmic trading in Indian equities (stocks) and equity derivatives (futures and options) listed on recognized exchanges like NSE and BSE is unequivocally legal.<sup>5</sup> This segment falls squarely under the regulatory purview of SEBI. The comprehensive framework detailed in SEBI Circular

SEBI/HO/MIRSD/MIRSD-PoD/P/CIR/2025/0000013, effective August 1, 2025, provides the primary set of rules governing API access, broker responsibilities, provider empanelment, algorithm classification, and retail participation for these asset classes.

### **B. Commodity Derivatives**

Similar to equities, algorithmic trading in commodity derivatives listed on Indian exchanges (like MCX, NCDEX, which are also regulated by SEBI) is legal. SEBI has issued specific guidelines and circulars pertaining to algorithmic trading in the commodity derivatives segment over the years.<sup>51</sup> These address aspects such as risk management, system audits, and order limits (e.g., Orders Per Second limits were revised in March 2022<sup>51</sup>). While specific circulars exist, the general principles outlined in the main 2025 circular regarding API usage, broker responsibilities, and provider empanelment are expected to apply or be adapted for consistency across SEBI-regulated markets.

### **C. Forex (Foreign Exchange)**



The regulation of Forex trading, including algorithmic approaches, is more complex due to the dual oversight of RBI and SEBI:

- **RBI's Role (FEMA):** Forex trading itself is legal but strictly regulated by the RBI under FEMA.<sup>35</sup> Resident individuals are permitted to undertake forex transactions *only* for specific permitted purposes and *only* through authorized persons or platforms.<sup>36</sup>
- **Permitted Instruments/Platforms:** For retail trading on exchanges, RBI regulations limit transactions to specific currency pairs involving the INR (USD/INR, EUR/INR, GBP/INR, JPY/INR).<sup>35</sup> These trades must occur on recognized stock exchanges (NSE, BSE, MSE) or authorized Electronic Trading Platforms (ETPs).<sup>36</sup> Trading in other currency pairs or on unauthorized international platforms is illegal for resident Indians.<sup>36</sup> Sending money abroad under the Liberalised Remittance Scheme (LRS) for margin calls related to online forex trading on unauthorized platforms is generally not permitted.<sup>36</sup>
- **Hedging Requirement:** RBI maintains a stance against purely speculative forex trading by residents. Recent clarifications reinforce that participation in exchange-traded currency derivatives should be for hedging underlying currency exposures.<sup>37</sup> Rules introduced in 2014 allowed positions up to a certain limit (\$100 million eventually) without *immediate* proof of underlying exposure, but RBI clarified this did not remove the *requirement* for such exposure.<sup>54</sup> The enforcement of this underlying exposure requirement, effective from early 2024 after a deferment, has reportedly led to a drastic reduction in trading volumes in the currency derivatives segment, impacting liquidity and speculative opportunities.<sup>37</sup>
- **Algo Trading Legality:** Algorithmic trading *within these strict boundaries* – using permitted INR pairs, on authorized exchanges/ETPs, and ostensibly for hedging purposes – is technically possible. Such trading would be subject to RBI's overarching FEMA regulations and specific directives for authorized ETPs (which include requirements for algo system testing and risk management<sup>55</sup>), as well as SEBI's market conduct rules and algorithmic trading framework as applicable to exchange-traded derivatives.<sup>34</sup>

## D. Cryptocurrencies

The regulatory status of algorithmic trading in cryptocurrencies is markedly different:

- **Legal Status of Crypto:** Cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin and Ethereum are *not* recognized as legal tender in India.<sup>57</sup> While the Supreme Court overturned an RBI ban on banks providing services to crypto exchanges in 2020, allowing individuals to legally hold and trade cryptocurrencies, the market itself remains largely

unregulated from a trading perspective.<sup>57</sup>

- **SEBI/RBI Purview:** SEBI's algorithmic trading framework (including the 2025 circular) *does not apply* to cryptocurrency trading, as cryptocurrencies are not currently classified as securities or commodities under SEBI's jurisdiction. Similarly, RBI does not authorize or regulate cryptocurrency trading platforms as authorized ETPs.<sup>58</sup>
- **Taxation vs. Regulation:** The Indian government has implemented a taxation regime for cryptocurrencies, treating them as Virtual Digital Assets (VDAs). This includes a 30% tax on gains and a 1% Tax Deducted at Source (TDS) on transactions above certain thresholds.<sup>57</sup> However, it is crucial to understand that taxation does *not* equate to regulatory approval of the trading activity or the platforms facilitating it.<sup>57</sup> The Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill, 2021, which aimed to potentially ban private cryptocurrencies, has not been enacted.<sup>57</sup>
- **Algo Trading Status:** Consequently, algorithmic trading of cryptocurrencies in India operates in a regulatory vacuum. There are no specific SEBI or RBI rules governing crypto algo strategies, platform requirements, API security standards, or investor protections comparable to those in regulated markets. Participants engage in this activity without the safeguards (like broker accountability, approved algorithms, regulated platforms, dispute resolution mechanisms) mandated for securities or commodities, exposing them to significantly higher risks, including platform failures, cybersecurity threats, fraud, and market manipulation.<sup>58</sup>

This fragmentation across asset classes is a critical takeaway. The legality, governing rules, and associated risks of deploying trading bots vary substantially depending on whether one is trading stocks, commodities, regulated Forex derivatives, or cryptocurrencies. The recent tightening of RBI rules for currency derivatives, emphasizing underlying exposure<sup>37</sup>, serves as a stark reminder of how regulations governing the underlying asset can significantly impact the feasibility of algorithmic strategies, irrespective of SEBI's framework for market access.

**Table 2: Regulatory Snapshot for Algo Trading Across Asset Classes in India**

Asset Class	Primary Regulator(s)	Legality Status for Algo Trading	Key Algo Trading Rules/Restrictions	Supporting References

Equities	SEBI	Legal, subject to SEBI Framework (Circular 2025/13)	SEBI Circular 2025/13 applies fully (API rules, Broker/Provider roles, Algo approval/registration, etc.)	5
Equity Derivatives (Futures & Options)	SEBI	Legal, subject to SEBI Framework (Circular 2025/13)	SEBI Circular 2025/13 applies fully	5
Commodity Derivatives	SEBI	Legal, subject to SEBI Framework & specific commodity rules	SEBI Algo rules apply (general principles of 2025/13 likely aligned), specific commodity circulars (e.g., order limits) also apply	51
Forex (Exchange-Traded INR Pairs)	SEBI / RBI	Legal but restricted (Pairs, Hedging intent) & subject to SEBI/RBI rules	RBI restrictions (INR pairs only, hedging requirement), SEBI market conduct & algo rules (2025/13) apply	35
Forex (Other Pairs/Unauthorized ETPs)	RBI / None (Platform Regulation)	Illegal for Residents to transact	Prohibited by RBI/FEMA for residents	36
Cryptocurrencies	None (Trading Regulation)	Legal to hold/trade, but Algo Trading is Unregulated by SEBI/RBI	SEBI/RBI Algo rules N/A; Operates in regulatory grey area; Tax rules (30% gain, 1% TDS) apply to	57

			VDAs	
--	--	--	------	--

## VII. Official Stance, Risks, and Market Impact

SEBI's official communications, particularly the December 2021 consultation paper <sup>1</sup> and the February 2025 circular <sup>38</sup>, clearly articulate the regulator's intent to balance the facilitation of technology adoption with robust investor protection and market integrity measures. RBI's FAQs and Alert List regarding Forex transactions emphasize adherence to FEMA and warn against using unauthorized platforms.<sup>36</sup>

### A. Identified Risks

The adoption and regulation of algorithmic trading present several categories of risk:

- Systemic and Operational Risks:** The reliance on technology introduces risks of system failures, software bugs, connectivity disruptions, and cybersecurity threats, any of which could lead to erroneous trades or significant financial losses.<sup>1</sup> The speed of HFT can amplify errors, potentially contributing to market volatility or "flash crashes" – rapid, severe price drops followed by quick rebounds.<sup>1</sup> While mechanisms like exchange-level "kill switches" are designed to mitigate runaway algorithms <sup>1</sup>, their activation criteria and potential for unintended consequences remain points of concern.<sup>1</sup>
- Manipulative Practices:** The speed and automation of algo trading can potentially be exploited for manipulative purposes, such as "spoofing" (placing non-genuine orders to create false impressions of supply or demand) or "quote stuffing" (overwhelming market data feeds with excessive orders/cancellations).<sup>15</sup> SEBI's framework includes measures like unique IDs and monitoring to detect and prevent such practices.<sup>2</sup>
- Compliance Burden and Costs:** The comprehensive nature of the 2025 framework imposes significant compliance obligations and associated costs on brokers (infrastructure for monitoring, approvals, security, grievance handling) and algo providers (empanelment process, RA licensing for black box, transparency requirements).<sup>5</sup> This could disproportionately affect smaller firms, potentially leading to market consolidation or acting as a barrier to entry.<sup>42</sup> Implementation challenges, including adapting systems by the August 2025 deadline and clarifying ambiguities (like liability between brokers and providers <sup>1</sup>), are also significant hurdles.<sup>1</sup>
- Retail Investor Risks:** Despite the protective measures, retail investors engaging in algo trading face risks stemming from potential lack of sophistication, the complexity of strategies (especially opaque black box algos), reliance on

third-party providers, and the potential for amplified losses due to automation.<sup>1</sup>

## B. Potential Market Impact

The implementation of SEBI's framework is likely to have several impacts on the Indian market:

- **Liquidity and Volatility:** While algorithmic trading, particularly market making, can enhance market liquidity<sup>5</sup>, certain HFT practices can also contribute to increased short-term volatility.<sup>11</sup> Regulatory measures like Order-to-Trade Ratio (OTR) limits, aimed at curbing excessive messaging, might inadvertently discourage liquidity provision, especially in less liquid segments.<sup>50</sup>
- **Innovation:** The stringent regulations, particularly the ban on open APIs, the requirement for RA licenses for black box strategies, and the multi-layered approval processes, may slow down the pace of innovation in the algo trading space, especially for fintech startups.<sup>42</sup> The focus on control could potentially stifle the development of novel trading tools and strategies.
- **Accessibility and Costs:** Although the framework formally enables retail access, the associated compliance requirements and costs borne by intermediaries might translate into higher costs or more complex onboarding processes for retail users.<sup>5</sup> This could make algo trading less accessible, particularly for novice traders or those seeking low-cost solutions, potentially contradicting the goal of democratization.<sup>42</sup>
- **Market Structure:** The increased compliance burden could favour larger brokers and established algo providers with greater resources, potentially leading to consolidation within the industry.<sup>42</sup> If compliance becomes overly onerous, there is also a risk that some sophisticated trading activity might shift towards alternative or less-regulated avenues, although options are limited within the Indian context.<sup>42</sup>

The regulatory framework, therefore, presents a paradox: it aims to mitigate the inherent risks of algorithmic trading<sup>1</sup>, but its implementation introduces new challenges related to operational complexity, cost, potential stifling of innovation, and the risk that the very investors it seeks to protect might find the regulated environment too burdensome or costly to navigate effectively.<sup>5</sup> The ultimate success and market impact will depend heavily on practical implementation details, such as the final OPS threshold definition<sup>20</sup>, the efficiency of exchange approval and empanelment processes<sup>43</sup>, and the overall adaptability of the market ecosystem by the August 2025 deadline.<sup>43</sup>

## VIII. Conclusion and Recommendations

### A. Final Assessment of Legality and Conditions

Algorithmic (bot) trading is legal in India within the regulated financial markets encompassing equities, equity derivatives, commodity derivatives, and, under specific restrictions, exchange-traded Forex derivatives involving the Indian Rupee. Its operation is governed by a comprehensive regulatory architecture primarily enforced by SEBI, with significant oversight from RBI concerning Forex transactions under FEMA.

The cornerstone of the current regime, particularly for retail participation, is SEBI's framework effective August 1, 2025. This framework mandates a structured approach characterized by:

- **Broker Mediation:** Brokers act as principals, responsible for API provision, algo approval, monitoring, and grievance handling.
- **Enhanced API Security:** Prohibition of open APIs, use of unique keys, OAuth/2FA authentication, and static IP whitelisting.
- **Algorithm Approval/Registration:** Exchange approval required for all offered algos; retail self-developed algos need registration only if exceeding a defined OPS threshold.
- **Algo Provider Empanelment:** Third-party providers must be empaneled with exchanges; providers of non-transparent 'Black Box' algos require SEBI RA registration.
- **Transparency and Monitoring:** Mandatory unique Algo IDs for all automated orders enable tracking and surveillance by exchanges and brokers.

Crucially, algorithmic trading in cryptocurrencies falls outside this regulatory ambit. While holding and trading crypto is not illegal, the absence of specific SEBI/RBI regulations for crypto trading platforms and instruments means algo trading in this asset class operates without the investor protections and market integrity rules applicable elsewhere.

### B. Actionable Recommendations

Navigating this regulated environment requires diligence from all participants:

- **For Retail Investors:**
  - **Understand Regulations:** Familiarize yourself with SEBI's framework, particularly rules on API usage, the OPS threshold (once defined), and algo sharing restrictions.



- **Choose Compliant Partners:** Engage only with SEBI-registered brokers who offer compliant algo trading facilities and utilize algorithms from exchange-empaneled providers. Verify provider credentials.
- **Assess Risks:** Be acutely aware of the risks associated with algorithmic trading, especially the lack of transparency in Black Box strategies. Understand that past performance is not indicative of future results.
- **Comply with Technical Requirements:** Ensure you can meet requirements like using a static IP address if using APIs for automation.
- **Protect Credentials:** Never share your trading account login credentials.
- **For Brokers:**
  - **Invest in Compliance:** Build robust technological and procedural infrastructure to meet requirements for API security, algo approval workflows, order tagging, real-time monitoring, threshold detection, and efficient grievance redressal.
  - **Due Diligence:** Implement rigorous processes for vetting and onboarding exchange-empaneled algo providers.
  - **System Audits:** Prepare for and facilitate regular system audits of algo trading infrastructure.
  - **Transparency & Conflicts:** Ensure clear disclosure of all charges and proactively manage potential conflicts of interest in revenue-sharing arrangements with providers.
  - **Client Education:** Provide clear information to clients about the risks and rules of algorithmic trading.
- **For Algo Providers/Fintechs:**
  - **Seek Empanelment:** Engage with stock exchanges early to understand and complete the empanelment process.
  - **Broker Partnerships:** Establish formal agreements with brokers, clarifying roles and responsibilities as agents.
  - **Transparency:** Ensure full transparency regarding algorithm logic (for White Box) and all associated fees and charges.
  - **RA License (if applicable):** If offering Black Box strategies, secure SEBI Research Analyst registration and adhere strictly to RA regulations.
  - **Compliance:** Ensure algorithms and platforms comply with all technical (API security) and regulatory standards.
- **For Regulators (SEBI/Exchanges):**
  - **Provide Clarity:** Expedite the finalization and clear communication of pending details, especially the critical OPS threshold for retail registration and the specific criteria/process for provider empanelment.
  - **Efficient Processes:** Design and implement efficient, timely processes for

algorithm approval and provider empanelment to avoid creating undue bottlenecks that stifle market participation or innovation.

- **Monitor Impact:** Closely monitor the market impact of the new framework on liquidity, volatility, innovation, competition among intermediaries, and retail investor participation and outcomes.
- **Dispute Resolution:** Consider establishing or clarifying streamlined dispute resolution mechanisms specifically tailored to address conflicts arising from algorithmic trading, potentially involving arbitration as suggested by some analyses.<sup>1</sup>

In conclusion, India's regulatory approach to algorithmic trading reflects a concerted effort to integrate technological advancements into the market while prioritizing investor protection and systemic stability. The 2025 framework represents a significant step towards formalizing retail access but introduces substantial compliance obligations. The overarching theme is one of control and accountability, a necessary response to potential risks but one that requires careful implementation to avoid inadvertently hindering market development or disadvantaging smaller participants. Successful navigation demands a clear understanding of the rules, robust compliance efforts by intermediaries, and ongoing vigilance from regulators.

## Works cited

1. Algorithmic Trading in India: Critical Analysis of SEBI's Framework for Retail Investor, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.irccl.in/post/algorithmic-trading-in-india-critical-analysis-of-sebi-s-framework-for-retail-investor>
2. An Overview on SEBI's New Rules on Algo Trading for Retail Investors - marketfeed, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.marketfeed.com/read/en/an-overview-on-sebis-new-rules-on-algo-trading-for-retail-investors>
3. Nithin Kamath Decodes Sebi's New Algo Trading Rules For Retail Traders - Outlook Money, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.outlookmoney.com/invest/equity/nithin-kamath-decodes-sebis-new-algo-trading-rules-for-retail-traders>
4. tradetron.tech, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://tradetron.tech/blog/sebi-algo-trading-rules-in-india-2025#:~:text=Algorithmic%20trading%2C%20or%20algo%20trading,overall%20efficiency%20in%20financial%20markets.>
5. SEBI's New Algo Trading Rules: Impact on Retail Traders - mastertrust, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.mastertrust.co.in/blog/sebi-s-new-algo-trading-rules-impact-on-retail-traders>
6. SEBI announces new 'algo trading' rules: Report - Hindustan Times, accessed on

April 20, 2025,

<https://www.hindustantimes.com/business/sebi-announces-new-algo-trading-rules-report-101738732597438.html>

7. SEBI Algo Trading New Rules: A Game Changer for Retail Investors - ICICI Direct, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.icicidirect.com/futures-and-options/articles/sebi-algo-trading-new-rules-fno-a-game-changer-for-retail-investors>
8. What is Algorithmic Trading in India? SEBI Guidelines - Jainam, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.jainam.in/what-is-algorithmic-trading/>
9. SEBI Algo Trading Rules in India-2025 | Tradetron Blog, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://tradetron.tech/blog/sebi-algo-trading-rules-in-india-2025>
10. SEBI Algo Trading Regulations 2025: Key Rules & Impact - Maheshwari & Co., accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.maheshwariandco.com/blog/sebi-algo-trading-regulations-2025/>
11. Measures for Strengthening Algorithmic Trading Framework - SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025, [https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebi\\_data/meetingfiles/apr-2018/1524113320566\\_1.pdf](https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebi_data/meetingfiles/apr-2018/1524113320566_1.pdf)
12. Consultation Paper on Algorithmic Trading by Retail Investors - SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025, [https://www.sebi.gov.in/reports-and-statistics/reports/dec-2021/consultation-paper-on-algorithmic-trading-by-retail-investors\\_54515.html](https://www.sebi.gov.in/reports-and-statistics/reports/dec-2021/consultation-paper-on-algorithmic-trading-by-retail-investors_54515.html)
13. SEBI'S CRACKDOWN ON ALGO TRADING: A STEP FORWARD OR A REGULATORY PUZZLE? - RFMLR, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.rfmlr.com/post/sebi-s-crackdown-on-algo-trading-a-step-forward-or-a-regulatory-puzzle>
14. SEBI Proposes New Rules for Retail Investors in Algo Trading: Key Highlights - Groww, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://groww.in/blog/sebi-proposes-new-rules-for-retail-investors-in-algo-trading>
15. Sebi opens algorithmic trading to retail investors: Opportunities, risks, and the future of HFT in India - The Economic Times, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://m.economictimes.com/opinion/et-commentary/sebi-opens-algorithmic-trading-to-retail-investors-opportunities-risks-and-the-future-of-hft-in-india/articleshow/116923245.cms>
16. 笈憐〰汨俱 广沔涸慵泐沅〰,沚趵 沅蹄沅躲姐俱 恒泐: - SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025, [https://www.sebi.gov.in/cms/sebi\\_data/attachdocs/1470393485587.pdf](https://www.sebi.gov.in/cms/sebi_data/attachdocs/1470393485587.pdf)
17. SEBI's New Rules for Retail Algo Trading: Key Changes Retail Traders Can Expect, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.angelone.in/news/sebi-new-rules-for-retail-algo-trading-key-changes-retail-traders-can-expect>
18. SEBI's New Algo Trading Rules: A Boon for Retail Investors? - Teji mandi, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://tejimandi.com/blogs/feature-articles/sebis-new-algo-trading-rules-a-boon-for-retail-investors>
19. Sebi allows safer participation of retail investors in algo trading - The Economic

- Times, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://m.economictimes.com/markets/stocks/news/sebi-allows-safer-participation-of-retail-investors-in-algo-trading/articleshow/117918452.cms>
20. Explaining the latest SEBI algo trading regulations – Z-Connect by Zerodha, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://zerodha.com/z-connect/business-updates/explaining-the-latest-sebi-algo-trading-regulations>
  21. Securities and Exchange Board of India - Wikipedia, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Securities\\_and\\_Exchange\\_Board\\_of\\_India](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Securities_and_Exchange_Board_of_India)
  22. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) 2024 - Groww, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://groww.in/p/sebi-securities-and-exchange-board-of-india>
  23. Who is the principal regulatory authority for stock market in India? - Testbook, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://testbook.com/question-answer/who-is-the-principal-regulatory-authority-for-stoc--5f7b1d6d6d9600b93df4a851>
  24. How is the Stock Market Regulated in India? SEBI and Market Oversight | Share.Market, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://share.market/buzz/learn/how-is-the-stock-market-regulated-in-india/>
  25. Website of Securities and Exchange Board of India - National Portal of India, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.india.gov.in/official-website-securities-and-exchange-board-india>
  26. About SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.sebi.gov.in/about-sebi.html>
  27. An introduction to the Indian stock market regulator | Angel One, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.angelone.in/knowledge-center/share-market/an-introduction-to-the-indian-stock-market-regulator>
  28. Securities and Exchange Board of India, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.sebi.gov.in/>
  29. Regulators, the guardians of capital markets – Varsity by Zerodha, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://zerodha.com/varsity/chapter/regulators/>
  30. Indian Stock Market: Exchanges and Indexes - Investopedia, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/stocks/09/indian-stock-market.asp>
  31. Protecting investors from unscrupulous traders - SAS Institute, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.sas.com/en\\_us/customers/securities-and-exchange-board-india.html](https://www.sas.com/en_us/customers/securities-and-exchange-board-india.html)
  32. Guide to Regulatory Bodies in the Indian Stock Market: RBI, SEBI, NSE, BSE, and More, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.wrightresearch.in/blog/the-indian-stock-markets-regulatory-bodies-rbi-sebi-bse-nse/>
  33. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) | ICGN, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.icgn.org/india-securities-and-exchange-board-india-sebi>
  34. AI and Automation Revolutionizing Forex and Fintech - LawBhoomi, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://lawbhoomi.com/ai-and-automation-revolutionizing-forex-and-fintech/>

35. What You Need to Know Before You Start Trading in Forex in India, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.shareindia.com/knowledge-center/currency-trading/what-you-need-to-know-before-you-start-trading-in-forex-in-india>
36. Q1. Can a resident person undertake forex transaction? - Reserve Bank of India, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/English/scripts/FAQs.aspx?Id=3347>
37. Forex Trading in India: The Definitive Guide for Beginners - Grip Invest, accessed on April 20, 2025, <https://www.gripinvest.in/blog/forex-trading-for-beginners>
38. Safer participation of retail investors in Algorithmic trading - SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/feb-2025/safer-participation-of-retail-investors-in-algorithmic-trading\\_91614.html](https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/feb-2025/safer-participation-of-retail-investors-in-algorithmic-trading_91614.html)
39. Algorithmic Trading: Expanding Access to Retail Investors and Regulatory Supervision, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://elplaw.in/leadership/algorithmic-trading-expanding-access-to-retail-investors-and-regulatory-supervision/>
40. Extension of timeline for formulation of implementation standards pertaining to SEBI Circular on "Safer participation of retail investors in Algorithmic trading", accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/apr-2025/extension-of-timeline-for-formulation-of-implementation-standards-pertaining-to-sebi-circular-on-safer-participation-of-retail-investors-in-algorithmic-trading-\\_93166.html](https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/apr-2025/extension-of-timeline-for-formulation-of-implementation-standards-pertaining-to-sebi-circular-on-safer-participation-of-retail-investors-in-algorithmic-trading-_93166.html)
41. SEBI Approves Algo Trading for Retail Investors, Introduces New Framework for Brokers and Exchanges - NDTV Profit, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.ndtvprofit.com/law-and-policy/sebi-approves-algo-trading-retail-investors-new-framework>
42. SEBI's algo trading's success depends on its enforcement - Economic Times Legal, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://legal.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/editors-desk/sebi-introduces-new-regulations-for-algo-trading-to-enhance-investor-protection-and-market-transparency/118148187>
43. Sebi takes its investor-protection crusade to algo trading turf | Stock Market News - Mint, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.livemint.com/market/sebi-framework-algo-algorithmic-trading-rules-retail-investors-api-market-11738681654852.html>
44. SEBI Issues Guidelines on Retail Participation in Algorithmic Trading - Finsec Law Advisors, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.finseclaw.com/article/finsec-tracker-on-sebi-issues-guidelines-on-retail-participation-in-algorithmic-trading>
45. Understanding SEBI's New Algo Trading Regulations for Retail Investors - AlgoBulls, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://algotrading.com/blog/industry-insights-and-updates/sebi-new-algotrading-regulations-for-retail-investors>
46. Is SEBI banning Algo Trading? : Rules & Impact for Traders - Swastika Investmart,

- accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.swastika.co.in/blog/sebi-banning-algo-trading-everything-you-need-to-know>
47. of 142 CHAPTER 2: TRADING SOFTWARE AND TECHNOLOGY 1. INTERNET TRADING - SEBI, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebi\\_data/commndocs/jul-2021/Chapter%202%20-%20Trading%20Software%20and%20Technology\\_p.pdf](https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebi_data/commndocs/jul-2021/Chapter%202%20-%20Trading%20Software%20and%20Technology_p.pdf)
48. Algo trading norms welcome but risks higher, say analysts - The Financial Express, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.financialexpress.com/market/algo-trading-norms-welcome-but-risk-s-higher-say-analysts-3748719/>
49. Zerodha's Nithin Kamath on SEBI's new algo trading rules: API limits, strategy restrictions and other key details - Market News | The Financial Express, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.financialexpress.com/market/zerodhas-nithin-kamath-on-sebis-new-algo-trading-rules-api-limits-strategy-restrictions-and-other-key-details-3740796/>
50. Governing the Algorithm: Implications of SEBI's Proposal for Retail Algorithmic Trading: Part II - NLS Business Law Review, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.nlsbl.com/post/governing-the-algorithm-implications-of-sebi-s-proposal-for-retail-algorithmic-trading-part-ii>
51. Commodity Derivatives - SEBI | News List All, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.sebi.gov.in/sebiweb/home/HomeAction.do?doListingAll=yes&search=Commodity%20Derivatives>
52. Broad Guidelines on Algorithmic Trading for National Commodity Derivatives Exchanges, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/sep-2016/broad-guidelines-on-algorithmic-trading-for-national-commodity-derivatives-exchanges\\_33344.html](https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/sep-2016/broad-guidelines-on-algorithmic-trading-for-national-commodity-derivatives-exchanges_33344.html)
53. What are the Forex Trading Challenges in India?, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.shareindia.com/knowledge-center/currency-trading/forex-trading-challenges>
54. RBI wants traders out of currency derivatives (a fun, detailed read) : r/IndiaInvestments, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.reddit.com/r/IndiaInvestments/comments/1cdh8i6/rbi\\_wants\\_traders\\_out\\_of\\_currency\\_derivatives\\_a/](https://www.reddit.com/r/IndiaInvestments/comments/1cdh8i6/rbi_wants_traders_out_of_currency_derivatives_a/)
55. LEI for Electronic Trading Platforms: RBI's New Guidelines for 2024, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.mnscredit.com/blog/rbi-new-directives-for-electronic-trading-platforms-etps>
56. RESERVE BANK OF INDIA - FIMMDA, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
[https://www.fimmda.org/pdf/PublicationsAndPolicies/RegulatoryCirculars/Electronic\\_trading\\_platforms\\_5-10-18.pdf](https://www.fimmda.org/pdf/PublicationsAndPolicies/RegulatoryCirculars/Electronic_trading_platforms_5-10-18.pdf)
57. Cryptocurrency Regulations in India: A Guide for 2025 - KYC Hub, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.kychub.com/blog/cryptocurrency-regulations-in-india/>



58. The RBI's Draft Master Directions on ETPs: Advancements and Missed Opportunities: Part I, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.nlsblr.com/post/the-rbi-s-draft-master-directions-on-etps-advancements-and-missed-opportunities-part-i>
59. Regulating cryptocurrency in India | International Bar Association, accessed on April 20, 2025,  
<https://www.ibanet.org/article/2e4fb646-4ffd-4660-a5be-5e41e79c5576>